1	COUNSEL IDENTIFICATION AT END		
2			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – FRESNO DIVISION		
7 8	THE DELTA SMELT CASES		
8 9 10 11	SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY et al. v. SALAZAR et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-407)	1:09-cv-00407-OWW-GSA 1:09-cv-00422-OWW-GSA 1:09-cv-00631-OWW-GSA 1:09-cv-00892-OWW-GSA PARTIALLY CONSOLIDATED WITH: 1:09-cv-00480-OWW-GSA	
12 13	STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v. SALAZAR et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-422)	SECOND STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION TO FILE JUDGMENT ON REMAINING	
14 15 16	COALITION FOR A SUSTAINABLE DELTA et al. v. UNITED STATES FISH AND WIDLIFE SERVICE et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv- 480)	CLAIMS Judge: Honorable Oliver W. Wanger	
17 18 19	METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT v. UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-631)		
20 21	STEWART & JASPER ORCHARDS et al. v. UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-892)		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
DIEPENBROCK HARRISON A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSIO	ON TO FILE PROPOSED JUDGMENT	

1	Pursuant to Minute Order, Document 782, in the Consolidated Delta Smelt Cases, the			
2	Court requested a proposed judgment by February 14, 2011, consistent with the prior orders of			
3	this court, entering judgment on all claims in the consolidated cases for which final judgment has			
4	not yet been entered. The parties subsequently stipulated to and the Court granted an extension			
5	of this deadline to February 22, 2011. The parties have been discussing the issue but require			
6	more time to research the issue and finalize their positions. All parties in this action, by and			
7	through their respective counsel of record, as identified below, therefore stipulate as follows:			
8	All parties request a further extension to and including March 15, 2011 by which time			
9	the parties will submit (a) a proposed judgment; (b) their respective positions with respect to the			
10	proposed judgment, and/or (c) a request for a conference with the Court regarding the proposed			
11	judgment.			
12	SO STIPULATED			
13				
14	Dated: February 22, 2011 KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD, A Law Corporation			
15	DIEPENBROCK HARRISON A Professional Corporation			
16				
17	By: <u>/s/ [Eileen M. Diepenbrock]</u> EILEEN M. DIEPENBROCK			
18	Attorneys for Plaintiffs SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER			
19	AUTHORITY AND WESTLANDS WATER DISTRICT			
20				
21	Dated: February 22, 2011 BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK LLP			
22				
23	By: : <u>/s/_[Steve O. Sims] (as authorized)</u> STEVE O. SIMS			
24 25	Attorneys for Plaintiff WESTLANDS WATER DISTRICT			
23 26				
20 27				
27 28				
DIEPENBROCK	- 1 -			
HARRISON A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION TO FILEJUDGMENT			
PDF created with pdfFactory trial version www.pdffactory.com				

1	Dated: February 22, 2011	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
2		
3		By: <u>/s/ [Gregory K. Wilkinson](as authorized)</u> GREGORY K. WILKINSON STEVEN M. ANDERSON
5		PAETER E. GARCIA MELISSA R. CUSHMAN
6		Attorneys for Plaintiff STATE WATER CONTRACTORS
7	Dated: February 22, 2011	NOSSAMAN LLP
8		
9		By: <u>/s/[Paul S. Weiland](as authorized)</u> ROBERT D. THORNTON
10		PAUL S. WEILAND AUDREY HUANG
11		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
12		COALITION FOR A SUSTAINABLE DELTA and KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY
13		
14	Dated: February 22, 2011	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
15		
16		By: <u>/s/ [William M. Sloan](as authorized)</u> CHRISTOPHER J. CARR
17		WILLIAM M. SLOAN
18		Attorneys for Plaintiff THE METROPOLITAN WATER
19		DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
20		
21	Dated: February 22, 2011	PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION
22		
23		By: /s/ [Brandon M. Middleton](as authorized) M. REED HOPPER
24		DAMIEN M. SCHIFF
25		BRANDON M. MIDDLETON Attorneys for Plaintiffs
26		STEWART & JASPER ORCHARDS; ARROYO FARMS, LLC; and KING
27		PISTACHIO GROVE
28		
DIEPENBROCK HARRISON		- 2 -
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	STIPULATION AND ORE	DER FOR EXTENSION TO FILEJUDGMENT

PDF created with pdfFactory trial version www.pdffactory.com

1	Dated: February 22, 2011	THE BRENDA DAVIS LAW GROUP
2		
3		By: <u>/s/_[Brenda W. Davis](as authorized)</u> BRENDA W. DAVIS LESLIE R. WAGLEY
4		Attorneys For Plaintiff FAMILY FARM ALLIANCE
5		
6	Dated: February 22, 2011	KAMALA DEVI HARRIS, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
7		
8		By:_/s/ [Cecilia L. Dennis](as authorized)_
9		CLIFFORD T. LEE
10		CECILIA L. DENNIS MICHAEL M. EDSON
11		ALLISON GOLDSMITH
12		Deputies Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff-In-Intervention
12		CALIFÓRNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
14	Dated: February 22, 2011	IGNACIA S. MORENO, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
15		United State Department of Justice, Environmental & Natural Resources Division
16		JEAN E. WILLIAMS, CHIEF
17		
18		By: /s/ [Ethan Carson Eddy] (as authorized)
19		ETHAN CARSON EDDY, Trial Attorney Wildlife & Marine Resources Section
20		Attorneys for FEDERAL DEFENDANTS
21	Dated: February 22, 2011	NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
22		
23		By: : /s/_[Katherine Poole] (as authorized)
24		KATHERINE POOLE DOUG OBEGI
25		Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE
26		COUNCIL
27		
28		
DIEPENBROCK HARRISON		- 3 -
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION TO FILEJUDGMENT	

1	Dated: February 22, 2011	THE BAY INSTITUTE	
2		By: /s/ [Trent W Orr] (as authorized)	
3		By: <u>/s/ [Trent W. Orr] (as authorized)</u> TRENT W. ORR GEORGE M. TORGUN	
4		Attorneys For Defendant-Intervenor THE BAY INSTITUTE	
5			
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7			
8	Dated: February 24, 2011	<u>/s/ OLIVER W. WANGER</u> UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
9			
10			
11			
12 13			
13			
14			
15			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 Diepenbrock		- 4 -	
HARRISON A PROFESSIONAL	STIPULATION AN	- 4 -	
	CORPORATION IN The sector of t		