others similarly situated, protective order regarding the production of protect order of protect order of protect order	1	Allison A. Davis (# 139203)	
Sof Montgomery Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94110 Telephone: (415) 276-6500 Facsimile: (415) 276-6599 allisondavis@dwt.com sanjaynangia@dwt.com Charles M. English, pro hac vice DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006-3402 Telephone: (202) 973-4200 Facsimile: (202) 973-4499 chipenglish@dwt.com Attorneys for Defendant, DAIRYAMERICA, INC. 11 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA FRESNO DIVISION GERALD CARLIN, JOHN RAHM, PAUL 15 GERALD CARLIN, JOHN RAHM, PAUL 16 GERALD CARLIN, JOHN RAHM, PAUL 17 ROZWAJOWSKI and BRIAN WOLFE, 18 19 19 10 10 17 18 OHER PRODUCTION OF PROTECT Plaintiffs, MATERIALS V. DAIRYAMERICA, INC., Defendant. The parties' responses to discovery requests in the above-captioned case may require production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their posses custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agree custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agree	2		
San Francisco, ČA 94110 Telephone: (415) 276-6500 Facsimile: (415) 276-6599 allisondavis@dwt.com Sanjaynangia@dwt.com Charles M. English, pro hac vice DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006-3402 Telephone: (202) 973-4200 Facsimile: (202) 973-4499 chipenglish@dwt.com Attorneys for Defendant, DAIRYAMERICA, INC. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 17 18 18 19 20 21 21 21 22 21 22 21 22 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	2		
Telephone: (415) 276-6500 Facsimile: (415) 276-6590 facsimile: (415) 276-6599 facsimile: (202) 973-4200 facsimile: (202) 973-4200 facsimile: (202) 973-4200 facsimile: (202) 973-4499 chipenglish@dwt.com Attorneys for Defendant, DAIRYAMERICA, INC. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 11 11 14 15 15 16 17 18 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 14 15 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 14 15 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 14 15 15 16 17 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 14 15 15 16 17 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 12 12 13 14 14 15 15 16 17 16 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	3		
Facsimile: (415) 276-6599 allisondavis@dwt.com 7 Charles M. English, pro hac vice DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006-3402 Telephone: (202) 973-4290 chipenglish@dwt.com Attorneys for Defendant, DAIRYAMERICA, INC. 11 EASTERN DISTRICT COURT 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA FRESNO DIVISION 6 GERALD CARLIN, JOHN RAHM, PAUL Case No. 1:09-CV-00430-AWI-GSA ROZWADOWSKI and BRIAN WOLFE, individually and on behalf of themselves and all STIPULATION AND ADDENDUM others similarly situated, PROTECTIVE ORDER REGARDI Others similarly situated, PROTECTIVE ORDER REGARDI OTHE PRODUCTION OF PROTECTIVE ORDER REGARDI THE PRODUCTION ORDER REGARDI THE PRODUCTION ORDER REGARDI THE P			
allisondavis@dwt.com sanjaynangia@dwt.com Charles M. English, pro hac vice DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006-3402 Telephone: (202) 973-4200 Facsimile: (202) 973-4499 chipenglish@dwt.com Attorneys for Defendant, DAIRYAMERICA, INC. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA FRESNO DIVISION GERALD CARLIN, JOHN RAHM, PAUL ROZWADOWSKI and BRIAN WOLFE, individually and on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, MATERIALS DAIRYAMERICA, INC., Defendant. The parties' responses to discovery requests in the above-captioned case may require production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their posses.	4		
Charles M. English, pro hac vice DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006-3402 Telephone: (202) 973-4200 Facsimile: (202) 973-4499 chipenglish@dwt.com Attorneys for Defendant, DAIRYAMERICA, INC. 10 11 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA FRESNO DIVISION 16 17 18 18 19 20 21 21 22 Plaintiffs, V. DAIRYAMERICA, INC., Defendant. The parties' responses to discovery requests in the above-captioned case may require production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their posses. 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	5		
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006-3402 Telephone: (202) 973-4200 Facsimile: (202) 973-4299 chipenglish@dwt.com Attorneys for Defendant, DAIRYAMERICA, INC. 11 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA FRESNO DIVISION GERALD CARLIN, JOHN RAHM, PAUL ROZWADOWSKI and BRIAN WOLFE, individually and on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, PROTECTIVE ORDER REGARDI THE PRODUCTION OF PROTECT Plaintiffs, DAIRYAMERICA, INC., Defendant. The parties' responses to discovery requests in the above-captioned case may require production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their posses custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agreed	6	sanjaynangia@dwt.com	
Washington, D.C. 20006-3402 Telephone: (202) 973-4200 Facsimile: (202) 973-4499 chipenglish@dwt.com Attorneys for Defendant, DAIRYAMERICA, INC. 11 12 13	7		
Telephone: (202) 973-4200 Facsimile: (202) 973-4499 chipenglish@dwt.com Attorneys for Defendant, DAIRYAMERICA, INC. 11 12 13	8		
Facsimile: (202) 973-4499 chipenglish@dwt.com Attorneys for Defendant, DAIRYAMERICA, INC. 11 12 13 14 14 15 15 16 17 18 17 18 18 19 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 17 18 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11			
chipenglish@dwt.com Attorneys for Defendant, DAIRYAMERICA, INC. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA FRESNO DIVISION GERALD CARLIN, JOHN RAHM, PAUL ROZWADOWSKI and BRIAN WOLFE, individually and on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, PROTECTIVE ORDER REGARDI THE PRODUCTION OF PROTECT Plaintiffs, MATERIALS V. DAIRYAMERICA, INC., Defendant. The parties' responses to discovery requests in the above-captioned case may require production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their possess custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agreed	9		
Attorneys for Defendant, DAIRYAMERICA, INC. Attorneys for Defendant, DAIRYAMERICA, INC. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA FRESNO DIVISION GERALD CARLIN, JOHN RAHM, PAUL ROZWADOWSKI and BRIAN WOLFE, individually and on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, PROTECTIVE ORDER REGARDI THE PRODUCTION OF PROTECT Plaintiffs, DAIRYAMERICA, INC., Defendant. The parties' responses to discovery requests in the above-captioned case may require production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their possess custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agreed	10		
Plaintiffs,		cmpengnsn@dwt.com	
Plaintiffs,	11	Attorneys for Defendant, DAIRYAMERICA, INC.	
Plaintiffs,	12		
Plaintiffs,	12		
Plaintiffs,	15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
Plaintiffs,	14	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
Plaintiffs,	15		
Plaintiffs,		FRESNO DIVISION	
Plaintiffs,	16	CEDALD CADLIN JOHN DAHM DAHI) Cose No. 1:00 CV 00420 AWI CSA	
Plaintiffs,	17		
Plaintiffs,		·)
Plaintiffs,	18	·	
Plaintiffs,) MATERIALS 20 v.) DAIRYAMERICA, INC.,) Defendant.) The parties' responses to discovery requests in the above-captioned case may require production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their possess custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agreed	19) THE PRODUCTION OF PROTECTED)
DAIRYAMERICA, INC., Defendant. The parties' responses to discovery requests in the above-captioned case may require production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their possess custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agree		Plaintiffs,) MATERIALS	
DAIRYAMERICA, INC., Defendant. The parties' responses to discovery requests in the above-captioned case may require production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their possess custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agreed	20)	
Defendant. The parties' responses to discovery requests in the above-captioned case may require production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their possess custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agree	21	v.)	
Defendant. The parties' responses to discovery requests in the above-captioned case may require production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their possess custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agree	22	DAIRYAMERICA, INC.,	
The parties' responses to discovery requests in the above-captioned case may require production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their possess custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agree	22)	
production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their possess custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agree	23		
production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their possess custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agree	24		
custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agree	2 4	The parties responses to discovery requests in the above-captioned case may require the	.e
	25	production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their possession	n,
production may be made subject to the following clawback agreement, and as contemplated	26	custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agree that	ıt
II	27	production may be made subject to the following clawback agreement, and as contemplated by	
section 11 of the protective order entered in this action (Dkt. No. 129). Disclosure of information (Dkt. No. 129).	ll ll		
		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Sanjay Nangia (# 264986) DAVIS WRIGHT TERMAINE LLP 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94110 Telephone: (415) 276-6500 Facsimile: (415) 276-6599 allisondavis@dwt.com Charles M. English, pro hac vice DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006-3402 Telephone: (202) 973-4200 Facsimile: (202) 973-4499 chipenglish@dwt.com Attorneys for Defendant, DAIRYAMERICA, INC. 10 GERALD CARLIN, JOHN RAHM, PAUL FRESNO DIVISION GERALD CARLIN, JOHN RAHM, PAUL ROZWADOWSKI and BRIAN WOLFE, individually and on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, NATERIALS V. DAIRYAMERICA, INC. 10 DAIRYAMERICA, INC. 11 The parties' responses to discovery requests in the above-captioned case may require the production of large volumes of electronic and hard copy documents and data in their possession custody, or control. To expedite the production of responsive information, the parties agree tha production may be made subject to the following clawback agreement, and as contemplated by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

subject to a claim of attorney-client privilege or the protection of the attorney work product doctrine during the course of discovery shall be deemed unintentional unless the parties expressly agree otherwise, all discovery responses are made with the express reservation and without waiving, and without intending to waive, the designation of "confidential" as set forth in the protective order entered in this action (Dkt. 129), the protection of the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, state privacy protections or other applicable privileges.

Accordingly, plaintiffs GERALD CARLIN, JOHN RAHM, PAUL ROZWADOWSKI and DIANA WOLFE, individually and on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, and Defendant DAIRYAMERICA INC., hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. The disclosure or production of any information or document without inspection or designation of a "CONFIDENTIAL" legend, as contemplated in sec. 5.2 of the protective order entered in this action (Dkt. No. 129), will not be deemed to waive a producing party's or producing third party's claim to designate information as Confidential as set forth in section 5.3 of the entered protective order (Dkt. No. 129).
- 2. As used herein, the term "Protected Private Information" means information pertaining to an individual which qualifies for protection from disclosure under California state privacy law. The parties agree not to object to the disclosure of information that pertains to any corporation or other organization on the basis that it constitutes Protected Private Information. The parties further agree not to object to the disclosure of any financial information on the basis that it constitutes Protected Private Information unless such information pertains to the personal financial records of an individual and is unrelated to the pricing of any dairy product.
- 3. The disclosure or production of any information or document that is subject to an objection on the basis of attorney-client privilege, work-product protection, Protected Private Information, or other applicable privileges (collectively, "Protected Information") will not be deemed to waive a producing party's or producing third party's claim to its privileged or protected nature ("Protection") or estop that party or the privileged holder from designating the information or document as Protected Information at a later date.

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 4. A producing party or producing third party may request the return of any Protected Information by submitting such request in writing, identifying the document and asserting the basis for Protection. In the event that only part(s) of a document or other information is claimed to contain Protected Information, the producing party shall furnish a redacted copy of such document, removing only the part(s) thereof claimed to be Protected Information. The receiving party shall determine in good faith whether to contest the basis for asserting Protection and to either (a) return or destroy all copies of the Protected Information and provide certification to the producing party of same, or (b) provide notification to the producing party in writing of an objection to the assertion of Protection and the grounds for that objection. The receiving party may examine the Protected Information to the extent necessary to determine if the document is Protected. If the receiving party objects to the assertion of Protection, the parties shall meet and confer in accordance with L.R. 251 and the Court's motion practice procedures with regard to discovery disputes. In the event the parties are unable to resolve the dispute, the receiving party may request an informal conference with the Court to seek appropriate relief or move for relief pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 37. The Protected Information subject to dispute shall not be used for any purpose in this litigation until the Court determines its status.
- 5. To the extent a producing party produces personal address or other contact information for an employee, former employee, or member of the producing party, the receiving party expressly agrees not to contact the individual until the producing party has a reasonable opportunity to determine if the individual will be represented by the producing party's counsel.
- 6. Entry of this Stipulation and Addendum to Protective Order does not alter any party's burden in establishing or challenging claims of Protected Information.
- 7. Entry of this Stipulation and Addendum to Protective Order does not waive the right of the receiving party to assert the producing party failed to timely request the return of Protected Information.
- 8. Entry of this Stipulation and Addendum to Protective Order does not alter the obligation of the receiving party to comply with its ethical and legal obligations, as set forth under

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

applicable law, concerning the receipt of material that obviously appears to be Protected Information.

- 9. Entry of this Stipulation and Addendum to Protective Order does not waive any objection otherwise available to a party or non-party under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 10. Entry of this Stipulation and Addendum to Protective Order does not preclude or restrict the right of any party to perform a review for the purpose of identifying Protected Information and withholding documents containing Protected Information in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure governing the non-production of documents claimed to be Protected.
- 11. Entry of this Stipulation and Addendum to Protective Order does not otherwise alter the terms of the protective order entered in this action (Dkt. No. 129).

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: April 9, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sanjay M. Nangia

Allison A. Davis (# 139203) Sanjay M. Nangia (# 264986) DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94110 Telephone: (415) 275-6580 Facsimile: (415) 276 4880 allisondavis@dwt.com sanjaynangia@dwt.com

Charles M. English, pro hac vice DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006-3402 Telephone: (202 973-4200 Facsimile (202) 973-4499 chipenglish@dwt.com

Counsel for Defendant DairyAmerica, Inc.

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 131(E)

I, Sanjay M. Nangia, attest that Andrew D. Phillips, counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class, authorized me to submit this document on his behalf.

DATED: April 9, 2014 /s/ Sanjay M. Nangia
Sanjay M. Nangia

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

ADDENDUM TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

Upon a review of the agreement of the parties outlined above, the Court adopts the stipulation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 9, 2014 /s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE