UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
L & LODORTO REODUCTIONS DIC	1.00 00450 AWI CSA
) 1:09-cv-00450 AWI GSA
)) FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
) 	ENFORCE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
CORAZON CRUZ CHAI, individually and)	(Document 17)
)	
)	
On June 11, 2010, Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc. ("Plaintiff") filed its Motion to	
Enforce Settlement Agreement. (Doc. 17.) T	The matter was heard July 16, 2010, before
Magistrate Judge Gary S. Austin. Thomas P.	Riley telephonically appeared on behalf of
Plaintiff. No appearance was made by Defend	dant. (Doc. 19.)
PROCEDURA	AL BACKGROUND
On March 10, 2009, Plaintiff filed its o	complaint against Corazon Cruz Chai, individually
and doing business as Inang's Tapsi & BBQ.	The complaint alleges violations of 47 United
States Code sections 553 and 605, a violation	of California Business and Professions Code
section 17200, et seq, and a state law claim of	f conversion. The complaint seeks damages in
excess of \$160,000 for the violations alleged.	(Doc. 1.)
//	
	1
	EASTERN DIST J & J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC., Plaintiff, v. CORAZON CRUZ CHAI, individually and d/b/a/ INANG'S TAPSI & BBQ, Defendant. On June 11, 2010, Plaintiff J & J Spor Enforce Settlement Agreement. (Doc. 17.) T Magistrate Judge Gary S. Austin. Thomas P. Plaintiff. No appearance was made by Defen PROCEDUR On March 10, 2009, Plaintiff filed its and doing business as Inang's Tapsi & BBQ. States Code sections 553 and 605, a violation section 17200, <i>et seq</i> , and a state law claim of excess of \$160,000 for the violations alleged.

Defendant was personally served with the summons and complaint on April 21, 2009.
 (Doc. 5.) On May 6, 2009, Defendant, appearing pro se, filed a written response to the complaint
 with the Court. (Doc. 6.)

On September 21, 2009, following a settlement conference before the undersigned, the parties agreed to a settlement and the terms of their agreement were placed on the record. (Doc. 15.)

On June 2, 2010, it came to the Court's attention that dispositional documents had not been filed and the case remained pending despite the settlement. The Court issued a minute order requiring Plaintiff to file dispositional documents within ten days, or alternatively, a status report or other appropriate motion. (Doc. 16.)

On June 11, 2010, Plaintiff filed the instant motion seeking to enforce the settlement agreement. Specifically, Plaintiff seeks an order granting its motion and entry of judgment against Defendant in the sum of \$5,000.00, and other relief as may be just and proper. (Doc. 17.) Defendant did not file a response to the motion.

DISCUSSION

"A settlement agreement may be binding, in some circumstances, even if it is an oral one." *Harrop v. Western Airlines, Inc.*, 550 F.2d 1143, 1145 (9th Cir. 1977). Where the action is still pending, a party may move for an order to enforce a settlement agreement. 3 Schwarzer, Tashima & Wagstaffe, *Cal. Practice Guide: Federal Civil Procedure Before Trial* (2000) Pretrial Conference and Settlement Procedures, ¶ 15:147, p. 15-51. If a dismissal is not final, a district court has continuing jurisdiction to enforce, modify or vacate the settlement agreement. *Consolidation Coal Co. v. United States Dept. of Interior*, 43 F.Supp.2d 857, 863 (S.D. Oh. 1999). A district court has "inherent power to enforce the agreement in settlement of litigation before it." *TNT Marketing, Inc. v. Agresti*, 796 F.2d 276, 278 (9th Cir. 1986); *In re Suchy*, 786 F.2d 900, 902-903 (9th Cir. 1982). Such power includes "authority to award damages for failure to comply with the settlement agreement" and to entitle the nonbreaching party to specific performance. *TNT Marketing*, 796 F.2d at 278; *Hobbs & Co. v. American Investors*

Management, Inc., 576 F.2d 29, 33 & n. 7 (3rd Cir. 1978); Village of Kaktovik v. Watt, 689 F.2d
 222, 230 (D.C. Cir. 1982).

3 A district court may enforce an oral settlement agreement when the parties placed the 4 material terms of the settlement agreement on the record in open court. In Doi v. Halekulani 5 Corp., 276 F.3d 1131, 1134 (9th Cir. 2002), the parties had negotiated a settlement that was placed on the record before the district court. The parties agreed that they would sign a written 6 7 agreement memorializing the settlement, as well as a stipulation dismissing the action. Id. at 8 1135. The plaintiff subsequently declined to sign the agreement or stipulation, seeking instead to 9 renegotiate the terms of the settlement. Id. Thereafter, the district court granted the defendant's 10 motion to enforce the settlement agreement and awarded sanctions against plaintiff. Id. at 1136. The Ninth Circuit affirmed the ruling, holding that the district court had not abused its discretion 11 in enforcing the settlement agreement because the parties clearly entered into a binding 12 13 agreement in open court. The Ninth Circuit agreed with the district court that the terms and 14 existence of the agreement were on the record and that the parties' written agreement accurately reflected the terms of the agreement on the record. Id. at 1139-40. Following Doi, numerous 15 district courts have enforced oral settlement agreements under similar circumstances. As in Doi, 16 17 the terms of the oral settlement agreement were read into the court record. See Armstrong v. City & County of San Francisco, 2004 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24505, *8-12, 2004 WL 2713068 (N.D. Cal. 18 19 June 15, 2004); Hubbard v. Yardage Town, Inc., 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 40404, *6-10, 2005 WL 3388146 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 29, 2005); Doe v. Washoe County, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 95312, 20 21 *25-26, 2006 WL 4013779 (D. Nev. Oct. 13, 2006); Scoff v. City & County of San Francisco, 22 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 50532, *6-11, 2007 WL 4976551 (N.D. Cal. July 12, 2007).

On September 21, 2009, Defendant agreed to pay the total sum of \$5,000 as settlement of
Plaintiff's claims. The money was to be paid "on or before October 21st, 2009" and provided to
counsel for Plaintiff. It was also agreed that Plaintiff's counsel would prepare a release and a
stipulation of dismissal. (Doc. 15 at 2.) Once the terms of the agreement were memorialized on
the record, the following colloquy occurred:

28

1	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Chai, do you fully understand and agree to the terms of the settlement? First of all, do you understand the terms of the
2	settlement? MR. CHAI: Yes, I do.
3	THE COURT: And do you agree to be bound by them? MR. CHAI: Yes.
4	
5	(Doc. 15 at 3.) The settlement is binding and this Court has the power to enforce this settlement.
6	Doi v. Halekulani Corp., 276 F.3d at 1139-40; TNT Marketing, Inc. v. Agresti, 796 F.2d at 278.
7	Plaintiff's motion advises the Court that despite Defendant's oral agreement to the terms
8	of the settlement on the record, "Defendant later changed his mind prior to signing the written
9	agreement" (Doc. 17 at 4.) Defendant has failed to pay the \$5,000 in settlement of
10	Plaintiff's claims. Attached to counsel's declaration is a letter dated October 13, 2009, from
11	Defendant wherein Defendant acknowledged receipt of counsel's previous correspondence and
12	the settlement documents. There is some confusion about the date upon which the settlement
13	monies were to be paid, ¹ nevertheless, Defendant went on to state as follows:
14	Due to multiple circumstances, closure of the business on July 1st 09, personal financial refrain $[sic]$, and personal medical situation, I am unable to
15	come up with the settlement sum
16	Therefore, it is your prerogative to reinstate the suit.
17	(Doc. 17, Riley Decl., Ex. 5.)
18	Moreover, the Court has reviewed the settlement documents, as well as the accompanying
19	correspondence of Plaintiff's counsel, and finds them to be accurate and consistent with the
20	terms of the settlement as placed on the record. (See Doc. 17, Riley Decl., Exs. 2-4.)
21	While this Court is certainly sympathetic to Defendant's circumstances as reflected in the
22	letter to Plaintiff's counsel, Defendant may not simply change his mind and eschew the
23	settlement.
24	//
25	//
26	//
27	
28	¹ A typographical error on one of Plaintiff's settlement documents referenced October 1, 2009, however, other related documents reference the correct date of October 21, 2009.

I

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

For the foregoing reasons, this RECOMMENDS as follows:

 That Plaintiff's Motion to Enforce Settlement Agreement be GRANTED; and
 That JUDGMENT be entered in favor of Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc. and against Defendant Corazon Cruz Chai, individually and d/b/a INANG'S TAPSI & BBQ, in the amount of \$5,000.00.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the district judge assigned to this action, pursuant to Title 28 of the United States Code section 636(b)(1)(B) and this Court's Local Rule 304. Within fourteen (14) days of service of this recommendation, any party may file written objections to these findings and recommendations with the Court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The district judge will review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations pursuant to Title 28 of the United States Code section 636(b)(1)(C). The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the district judge's order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>July 27, 2010</u>

/s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE