

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
78 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
910 DANIEL MANRIQUEZ, CASE NO. 1:09-cv-00456-LJO-BAM PC  
1112 v. Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
13 HUCHINS, et al., RECOMMENDATIONS RECOMMENDING  
Defendants. DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR  
/ SUMMARY JUDGMENT(ECF Nos. 191, 194, 229, 247)  
1415 Plaintiff Daniel Manriquez ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma  
16 pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United  
17 States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.18 On November 19, 2012, the Magistrate Judge issued [Findings and Recommendations](#), which  
19 recommended that (1) Plaintiff's request for judicial notice be denied (ECF No. 194); (2) Plaintiff's  
20 request to supplement his motion for summary judgment be granted (ECF No. 229); and (3)  
21 Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment be denied (ECF No. 191). The Court has considered  
22 Plaintiff's extensive [objections](#) to the Findings and Recommendation filed on December 26, 2012.  
23 (ECF No. 254.)24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a  
25 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Plaintiff's  
26 objections, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and  
27 by proper analysis.

28 ///

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The Findings and Recommendations, issued on November 19, 2012, are adopted in  
3 full;

4 2. Plaintiff's request for judicial notice is DENIED;

5 3. Plaintiff's request to supplement his motion for summary judgment is GRANTED;  
6 and

7 4. Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment is DENIED.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: March 1, 2013

10 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28