1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ALLEN B. WILLIAMS,	Case No. 1:09-CV-00468-LJO-JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING SEVEN DAY
13	v.	EXTENSION TO FILE DISPOSITIVE MOTION
14	*•	(Doc. 106)
15	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE OPPOSITION OR NOTICE OF NONOPPOSITION TO
17		
18		
19	Good cause appearing, the Court ORDERS :	
20	1. Defendants' request for a modification of the scheduling order to file a dispositive	
21	motion is GRANTED . Defendants have until March 2, 2012, to file a dispositive motion;	
22	2. Within 30 days of the filing of the dispositive motion, Plaintiff SHALL file either a notice of non opposition to the motion OR SHALL file an opposition.	
23	///	The an opposition.
24	/// ///	
25	/// ///	
2627	/// ///	
28	/// ///	
20	<i>'''</i>	1

1	3. Plaintiff is advised that his failure to oppose the motion will result in the Court
2	evaluating the motion on the evidence presented with the motion and may result in a grant of
3	summary judgment in favor of the Defendants. This would terminate the action before trial.
4	
5	
6	WE KE GO ORDEDED
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	Dated: February 27, 2012 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2