

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES COLE,

Plaintiff,
ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR TRANSCRIPTS
v.

LIEUTENANT MUNOZ, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:09-cv-00476-SAB (PC)

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR TRANSCRIPTS

(ECF No. 142)

Plaintiff James Cole ("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on March 13, 2009. On April 4, 2013, the Court entered judgment in favor of the Plaintiff against Defendants Rocha and Blasdell for excessive force only and found that their conduct was malicious, oppressive, or in reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights. The Court entered judgment in favor of Defendants Munoz and Dicks for excessive force and in favor of Defendants Munoz, Dicks, Rocha, and Blasdell for retaliation. (ECF No. 122.) On June 28, 2013, Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal. (ECF No. 136.) On August 1, 2013, Plaintiff submitted a motion requesting trial transcripts. (ECF No. 142.)

A litigant who has been granted in forma pauperis status may move to have transcripts produced at government expense. See 28 U.S.C. § 753(f); McKinney v. Anderson, 924 F.2d 1500, 1511-12 (9th Cir.1991) (subsequent history omitted). Two statutes must be considered whenever the district court receives a request to prepare transcripts at the government's expense. First, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(c) defines the limited circumstances under which the court can direct

payment the government to pay for transcripts for a litigant proceeding in forma pauperis.

(c) Upon the filing of an affidavit in accordance with subsections (a) and (b) and the prepayment of any partial filing fee as may be required under subsection (b), the court may direct payment by the United States of the expenses of (1) printing the record on appeal in any civil or criminal case, if such printing is required by the appellate court; (2) preparing a transcript of proceedings before a United States magistrate judge in any civil or criminal case, if such transcript is required by the district court, in the case of proceedings conducted under section 636(b) of this title or under section 3401(b) of title 18, United States Code; and (3) printing the record on appeal if such printing is required by the appellate court, in the case of proceedings conducted pursuant to section 636(c) of this title. Such expenses shall be paid when authorized by the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(c).

Second, 28 U.S.C. § 753(f) allows the court to order the government to pay for transcripts only if "the trial judge or a circuit judge certifies that the suit or appeal is not frivolous and that the transcript is needed to decide the issue presented by the suit or appeal." 28 U.S.C. § 753(f). A request for a transcript at government expense should not be granted unless the appeal presents a substantial question. Henderson v. United States, 734 F.2d 483, 484 (9th Cir. 1984).

Based on Plaintiff's notice of appeal, the Court finds that the appeal does not present a substantial question and the request for a transcript at government expense is denied. Plaintiff may renew his request for a transcript at government expense with the appellate court by filing a motion there if he wishes. In addition, Plaintiff is notified that the appellate court has access to the court's file in this case, and will request any necessary documents that are in the record directly from this court. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for transcripts is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 2, 2013

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE