1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	RAY MEDINA,) 1:09-cv-00488-AWI-SKO-HC	
11) Petitioner,) ORDER RE: FINDINGS AND	
12) RECOMMENDATIONS (DOC. 28)	
13	v.) ORDER DISMISSING PETITIONER'S) MOTION FOR A RULING (DOC. 27)	
14	W. J. SULLIVAN,)) ORDER DENYING THE PETITION FOR	
15	Respondent.) WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (DOC. 1)) AND DECLINING TO ISSUE A	
16) CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY	
17 18	ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK TO ENTER JUDGMENT FOR RESPONDENT	
18 19	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in	
20	forma pauperis with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant	
20	to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to the Magistrate	
21	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rules 302 and	
23	304.	
24	On August 24, 2011, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and	
25	recommendations recommending that the petition for writ of habeas	
26	corpus be denied, Petitioner's motion for a ruling be dismissed	
27	as moot, judgment be entered for Respondent, and the Court	
28	decline to issue a certificate of appealability. These findings	

1

and recommendations were served on all parties on the same date. The findings and recommendations advised that objections could be filed within thirty days. Although the period for filing objections has passed, no party has filed objections.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the report and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that:

1) The petition for writ of habeas corpus is DENIED; and

2) Petitioner's motion for a ruling is DENIED as moot; and

3) The Clerk is DIRECTED to enter judgment in favor of Respondent; and

4) The Court DECLINES to issue a certificate of appealability.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 8, 2011

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE