| 1  |   |   |
|----|---|---|
| 2  |   |   |
| 3  |   |   |
| 4  |   |   |
| 5  |   |   |
| 6  |   |   |
| 7  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |   |
| 8  | EASTERN DIST  | RICT OF CALIFORNIA  |
| 9  |   |   |
| 10 | SANTOS A. VILLEGAS,   | 1:09-cv-00493-MJS-PC  |
| 11 | Plaintiff,<br>v.  | ORDER DENYING MOTION TO WITHDRAW<br>CONSENT                     |
| 12 | L. L. SCHULTEIS, F. RODRIGUEZ, G.<br>ZUCKER, M. SOTO, F. MARTINEZ, L. | (ECF No. 100)   |
| 13 | KNIGHT, AND M. CARRASCO,  | 、   |
| 14 | Defendants.   |   |
| 15 | /   |   |
| 16 | Disintiff Control A. Villance ("Disinti                               | f <sup>(2)</sup> is a state price per proceeding process and in |

Plaintiff Santos A. Villegas ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 8, 2009, Plaintiff consented in writing to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. On February 6, 2012, Plaintiff filed a notice with the Court indicating that he wishes to decline consent to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction. (ECF No. 100.) The Court construe this as a motion seeking to withdraw consent.

Once a civil case is referred to a Magistrate Judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the reference can be withdrawn by the Court only "for good cause shown on its own motion, or under extraordinary circumstances shown by any party." <u>Dixon v. Ylst</u>, 990 F.2d 478, 480 (9th Cir. 1993); 28 U.S.C. § 636(c); Fed. R. Civ. P. 73(b).

On February 3, 2012, this matter was referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to section 636(c). (ECF No. 96.) Plaintiff has not provided any information to suggest there

| 1        | may be good cause or extraordinary circumstances to justify the withdrawal of his consent |  |  |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 2        | and the referral of this case to a Magistrate Judge.                                      |  |  |
| 3        | No grounds having been provided to justify withdrawal of consent to Magistrate            |  |  |
| 4        | judge jurisdiction, Plaintiff's Motion is HEREBY DENIED.                                  |  |  |
| 5        |   |  |  |
| 6        |   |  |  |
| 7        | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |  |  |
| 8        | Dated: <u>February 8, 2012</u><br>UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE                          |  |  |
| 9        |   |  |  |
| 10       |   |  |  |
| 11       |   |  |  |
| 12       |   |  |  |
| 13       |   |  |  |
| 14       |   |  |  |
| 15       |   |  |  |
| 16       |   |  |  |
| 17       |   |  |  |
| 18       |   |  |  |
| 19<br>20 |   |  |  |
| 20<br>21 |   |  |  |
| 21       |   |  |  |
| 22       |   |  |  |
| 24       |   |  |  |
| 25       |   |  |  |
| 26       |   |  |  |
| 27       |   |  |  |
| 28       |   |  |  |
|          | 2   |  |  |
|          |   |  |  |