

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SANTOS A. VILLEGAS,

1:09-cv-00493-MJS (PC)

Plaintiff,

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

vs.

L. L. SCHULTEIS, et al.,

(ECF No. 98)

Defendants.

/

Plaintiff Santos A. Villegas ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On February 6, 2012, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. (Mot., ECF No. 98.)

Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the Court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989).

In certain exceptional circumstances the Court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525. However, without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the Court will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether "exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of

1 success of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims *pro se* in light  
2 of the complexity of the legal issues involved.” Id. (internal quotation marks and citations  
3 omitted).

4 In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances.  
5 Even if it is assumed that Plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made  
6 serious allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional.  
7 This Court is faced with similar cases almost daily.

8 For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff’s Motion for Appointment of Counsel is DENIED,  
9 without prejudice.

10

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: February 10, 2012

*1st. Michael J. Seng*  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28