| 1 | | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 7 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | GREAT AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY, an Ohio corporation, | Case No. 1:09 -CV-00512-AWI-GSA |
| 10 | 1 |))) ODDED DIDECTING CLEDK TO ENTED |
| 11 | Plaintiff, |) ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO ENTER) DEFAULT JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF DI AINTHEE A CAINST DEFENDANTS LAIME |
| 12 | VS. |) PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANTS JAIME) SALAZAR AND TERESA SALAZAR |
| 13 | HACIENDA MOTORS, INC., a California corporation; JAMIE SALAZAR, JR., an | |
| 14 | individual; TERESA SALAZAR, an individual; AUTOMOTIVE FINANCE CORP., an Indiana | |
| 15 | corporation; FOREMAN FINANCIAL, INC., a California corporation; KERN SCHOOLS FEDERAL CREDIT UNION, a California | [Documents # 40 & 41] |
| 16 | corporation; LOBEL FINANCIAL, a California corporation; NISSAN OF BAKERSFIELD, a | |
| 17 | California corporation; PREMIER AUTO CREDIT, a California corporation; CALIFORNIA | |
| 18 | DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, a state agency; and DOES 1-10, inclusive, | |
| 19 | Defendants. | |
| 20 | |) |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | On August 13, 2009, Plaintiff Great American Insurance Company ("Great American") filed a | |
| 23 | request for an entry of default against Defendants Jaime Salazar ("Jaime") and Teresa Salazar ("Teresa") | |
| 24 | for failure to respond or appear in this action. On August 14, 2009, the Clerks Office entered an entry of | |
| 25 | default as to Jaime and Teresa for failing to appear, plead, or answer. On August 20, 2009, Great | |
| 26 | American filed an application for an entry of default judgment in the amount of \$50,000.00 against | |
| 27 | Defendants Jaime and Teresa. See Court's Docket Doc. Nos. 40 and 41. | |
| 28 | Rule 55(b)(1) provides that: | |
| | | 1 |

| 1 | "if a plaintiff's claim is for a sum certain or a sum that can be made certain by computation, the | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | clerk-on the plaintiff's request, with an affidavit showing the amount due-must enter judgment | |
| 3 | for the amount and costs against a defendant who has been defaulted for not appearing" | |
| 4 | Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(1); see also Franchise Holding II, LLC v. Huntington Restaurants Group, Inc., 375 | |
| 5 | F.3d 922, 927-28 (9th Cir. 2004). Here, the default judgment against Defendants Jaime and Teresa is | |
| 6 | appropriate because there has been an entry of default and Plaintiff's claim is for a sum certain. Plaintiff | |
| 7 | has presented the clerk with declarations that set forth the sum certain of \$50,000.00 owed by Jaime and | |
| 8 | Teresa pursuant to an indemnity agreement between Plaintiff and Jaime and Teresa. Plaintiffs have | |
| 9 | attached the signed indemnity agreement as an exhibit to the declarations. | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to enter a default judgment | |
| 12 | in favor of Plaintiff Great American against Defendants Jaime Salazar and Teresa Salazar in the total | |
| 13 | amount of \$50,000.00. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 16 | Dated: September 16, 2009 /s/ Anthony W. Ishii CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| 17 | effiller offitleb STATES DISTRICT JODGE | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | 2 | |