I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KAREEM STANSBURY,	1:09-cv-00549-SKO (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	vs.	(Doc. 52)
14	UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	/	
17	On August 13, 2012, plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel.	
18 19	Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, <u>Rand v.</u>	
20	Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to	
20 21	represent plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court	
21	for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in	
23	certain exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel	
24	pursuant to section 1915(e)(1). <u>Rand</u> , 113 F.3d at 1525.	
25	Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court	
26	will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining	
27	whether "exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of	
28	success of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims <i>pro se</i> in light of
	-1-	

1	the complexity of the legal issues involved." <u>Id.</u> (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).		
2	In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances.		
3	Even if it is assumed that plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious		
4	allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This court is		
5	faced with similar cases almost daily. Further, at this stage in the proceedings, the court cannot		
6	make a determination that plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a review of		
7	the record in this case, the court does not find that plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his		
8	claims. <u>Id.</u>		
9	For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is		
10	HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice.		
11			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	Dated: August 16, 2012 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-2-		
	•		

I