Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

26

27

28

In cases involving a plaintiff proceeding in forma pauperis, the Marshal, upon order of the Court, shall serve the summons and the complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(c)(2). "[A]n incarcerated pro

Doc. 24

10 11

14 15

13

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

se plaintiff proceeding in forma pauperis is entitled to rely on the U.S. Marshal for service of the summons and complaint and ... should not be penalized by having his action dismissed for failure to effect service where the U.S. Marshal or the court clerk has failed to perform his duties." Walker v. Sumner, 14 F.3d 1415, 1422 (9th Cir. 1994) (quoting Puett v. Blandford, 912 F.2d 270, 275 (9th Cir. 1990)), abrogated on other grounds by Sandin v. Connor, 515 U.S. 472 (1995). "So long as the prisoner has furnished the information necessary to identify the defendant, the marshal's failure to effect service is 'automatically good cause " Walker, 14 F.3d at 1422 (quoting Sellers v. United States, 902 F.2d 598, 603 (7th Cir.1990)). However, where a pro se plaintiff fails to provide the Marshal with accurate and sufficient information to effect service of the summons and complaint, the Court's sua sponte dismissal of the unserved defendants is appropriate. Walker, 14 F.3d at 1421-

In this instance, the address provided by Plaintiff for Defendant Jose Gonzales is no longer accurate or is insufficient, as Defendant Jose Gonzales is not employed at the facility, Kern Valley State Prison, or there are too many Joses in the CDCR database. (Doc. 16.). If Plaintiff is unable to provide the Marshal with a current address at which Defendant Jose Gonzales can be located, the defendant shall be dismissed from the action, without prejudice. Pursuant to Rule 4(m), the Court will provide Plaintiff with the opportunity to show cause why Defendant Jose Gonzales should not be dismissed from the action at this time.

Accordingly, based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Within thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall show cause why Defendant Jose Gonzales should not be dismissed from this action; and
- 2. The failure to respond to this order or the failure to show cause will result in dismissal of Defendant Jose Gonzales from this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **April 5, 2010** /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE