1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	ARTHUR PETROSYAN,	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-00593-AWI-GBC (PC)	
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	
12	v.		
13	A. HEDGPETH, et al,	Doc. 38	
14	Defendants.		
15	/		
16	On September 14, 2012, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff		
17	does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d		
18	1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the Court cannot require an attorney to represent Plaintiff pursuant		
19	to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa,		
20	490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). However, in certain exceptional circumstances the Court may request the		
21	voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to § 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525.		
22	Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the Court will seek		
23	volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether		
24	"exceptional circumstances exist, the District Court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of		
25	the merits [and] the ability of the [Plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity		
26	of the legal issues involved." Id.		
27	In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even if		
28	it is assumed that Plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations		

which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This Court is faced with
similar cases almost daily. Further, at this early stage in the proceedings, the Court cannot make a
determination that Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a review of the record
in this case, the Court does not find that Plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. *Id*.

5 For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY6 DENIED.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8		0 1 17 0010	
9	Dated:	September 17, 2012	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			Page 2 of 2