1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 DEON WADE, 1:09cv0599 AWI DLB 9 10 ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS Plaintiff, AND RECOMMENDATIONS 11 RECOMMENDING DISMISSAL OF **DEFENDANT BEER** v. 12 FRESNO POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al., (Document 12) 13 Defendants. 14 15 16 Plaintiff, a county jail inmate proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed the instant 17 action on April 3, 2009. 18 On May 22, 2009, the Magistrate Judge issued Findings and Recommendations that 19 the action be DISMISSED as to Defendant Sgt. G. Beer. The Findings and Recommendations 20 were served on Plaintiff and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within thirty 21 (30) days. More than thirty (30) days have passed and no objections have been filed. 22 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the 23 24 Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. 25 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The Findings and Recommendations dated May 22, 2009, are ADOPTED IN 26 27 FULL; 28 1

2. Defendant Beer is DISMISSED from this action based on Plaintiff's failure to state any claims against Defendant Beer; and 3. This action SHALL PROCEED only against Defendants Williams, Irving, Wilkin, Finley and the Fresno Police Department. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: <u>July 14, 2009</u> /s/ Anthony W. Ishii CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE