

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
78 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
910 DEON WADE,) 1:09cv0599 AWI DLB
11 Plaintiff,)
12 v.) ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS
13) AND RECOMMENDATION REGARDING
14) MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIVE
15) RELIEF
16 FRESNO POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al.,) (Documents 50 & 51)
17 Defendants.)
18 _____)19 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed the instant action
20 on April 3, 2009. On October 4, 2010, Plaintiff filed a motion regarding denial of law library
21 access and mail tampering. Plaintiff requested various forms of equitable relief unrelated to
22 Defendants in this action.23 On October 12, 2010, the Magistrate Judge issued Findings and Recommendation that
24 the motion be DENIED because the Court lacks jurisdiction to issue the orders sought. The
25 Findings and Recommendation was served on the parties and contained notice that any
26 objections were to be filed within thirty (30) days. More than thirty (30) days have passed and no
27 objections have been filed.28 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court has conducted a
29 *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the
30 Findings and Recommendation is supported by the record and proper analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. The Findings and Recommendation dated October 12, 2010, are ADOPTED IN
3 FULL;

4 2. Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunctive relief is DENIED.

5
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: December 14, 2010

8 
9 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28