

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDWARD HEWITT,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-0911 GGH P

vs.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____/

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged violations took place in Merced and (apparently) Tuolumne Counties, which are part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.¹ See Local Rule 3-120(d).

Pursuant to Local Rule 3-120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper division of a court may, on the court’s own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the

¹ Although plaintiff has included the governor, as well as the Secretary of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, as named defendants, these officials appear to be nominal defendants only.

1 court. In light of 1996 amendments to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, this court will not rule on plaintiff's
2 request to proceed in forma pauperis.

3 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

4 1. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis;

5 2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern
6 District of California sitting in Fresno; and

7 3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and
8 shall be filed at:

9 United States District Court
10 Eastern District of California
2500 Tulare Street
11 Fresno, CA 93721

12 DATED: April 13, 2009

13 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows

14 _____
15 GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

16 GGH:009/mp
17 hewi0911.22
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26