

1 GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP  
CLEMENT L. GLYNN, Bar No. 57117  
2 JAMES M. HANLON, JR., Bar No. 214096  
MORGAN K. LOPEZ, Bar No. 215513  
3 One Walnut Creek Center  
100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500  
4 Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
Telephone: (925) 210-2800  
5 Facsimile: (925) 945-1975

6 Attorneys for Defendant  
Castlerock Farming and Transport, Inc.  
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10 FRESNO DIVISION

11  
12 SILVESTRE SOTO and OLGA GALVAN, )  
on behalf of themselves and all others )  
13 similarly situated, )  
14 Plaintiff, )  
15 vs. )  
16 CASTLEROCK FARMING AND )  
TRANSPORT, INC., and DOES 1-20, )  
17 Defendant. )  
18 )  
19 \_\_\_\_\_ )

Case No. 09-cv-00701-AWI-JLT  
**AMENDED ORDER GRANTING  
STIPULATION AMENDING THE  
BRIEFING SCHEDULE GOVERNING  
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CLASS  
CERTIFICATION**  
(Doc. 136)

20 On April 19, 2013, Magistrate Judge Jennifer L. Thurston amended the  
21 Scheduling Order in this action to, among other things, set the briefing schedule governing  
22 Plaintiff's motion for class certification. Docket No. 133. Due to pre-existing conflicts, subject  
23 to the Court's approval, the parties stipulate to amend the class certification briefing schedule as  
24 follow:

- 25 A. Plaintiff's motion for class certification SHALL be filed on or before
- 26 August 19, 2013;
- 27 B. Defendant's opposition to class certification SHALL be filed on or before
- 28 September 16, 2013;

1 C. Plaintiff's reply SHALL be filed on or before October 7, 2013.  
2 All other dates set forth in the April 19, 2013 Order, including the date on which the class  
3 certification motion will be heard, will remain as set unless modified by the Court.

4 Dated: May \_\_, 2013

5

6

7

8

---

GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP  
Clement L. Glynn  
James M. Hanlon, Jr.  
Morgan K. Lopez  
Counsel for Defendant

9

Dated: May \_\_, 2013

10

11

12

13

---

MALLISON & MARTINEZ  
Stan S. Mallison  
Hector R. Martinez  
Marco A. Palau  
Joseph D. Sutton  
Counsel for Plaintiff

14

#### ORDER

15

16

17

Because the time frames proposed by the parties in their stipulation fails to allow  
sufficient time for the Court to consider their papers and evaluate their evidence before the  
hearing on the motion, the Court **GRANTS** the stipulation:

18

19

1. Plaintiff's motion for class certification **SHALL** be filed on or before **August 19, 2013;**

20

21

2. Defendant's opposition to class certification **SHALL** be filed on or before  
**September 16, 2013;**

22

23

3. Plaintiff's reply **SHALL** be filed on or before **October 7, 2013;**

24

4. The hearing on the motion for class certification is continued to **November 6, 2013.**

25

IT IS SO ORDERED.

26

27

28

Dated: May 24, 2013

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE