

1 it to be sufficient.” *City of Los Angeles v. Santa Monica BayKeeper*, 254 F.3d 882, 885 (9th Cir.
2 2001) (citations omitted). This inherent power is consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil
3 Procedure, which provide: “[A]ny order or other decision, however designated, that adjudicates
4 fewer than all the claims or rights and liabilities of fewer than all the parties . . . may be revised at
5 any time before the entry of a judgment adjudicating all the claims and all the parties’ rights and
6 liabilities.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b).

7 Because the Court’s finding that Plaintiffs stated a prima facie case for class certification was
8 influenced by Ms. Galvan’s status as a class representative and asserting “injuries similar to another
9 class member” (Doc. 61 at 9), the Court finds it is appropriate to exercise its inherent power to
10 reconsider the motions compelling document production and to quash the deposition subpoena. If
11 Plaintiffs are unable to state a prima facie case for class certification, they have the burden of
12 showing the discovery requested is likely to produce substantiation of the class allegations. *See*
13 *Mantolete v. Bolger*, 767 F.2d 1416, 1424 (9th Cir. 1985) (finding that when seeking discovery “to
14 aid the determination of whether a class action is maintainable, the plaintiff bears the burden of
15 advancing a prima facie showing that the class action requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 are satisfied
16 or that discovery is likely to produce substantiation of the class allegations”) (emphasis added).

17 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

18 1. The parties **SHALL** provide supplemental briefing, no longer than 15 pages
19 (including exhibits) addressing:

- 20 i. The effect, if any, of Ms. Galvan’s changed status from class representative to
21 putative class member on the motion to compel document production (Docs.
22 25, 29, 38-39) and the motion to quash (Doc. 24);
- 23 ii. Whether, if Plaintiff is no longer able to state a prima facie case for class
24 certification as a result of Ms. Galvan’s dismissal, Plaintiff has established
25 that the requested discovery is likely to substantiate the class claims.

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Dated: December 14, 2011

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE