-JLT Munoz et a	v. Giumarra Vineyards Corporation Doc	
1		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAPHAEL MUNOZ, et al.,	Case No.: 1:09-cv-00703 - AWI - JLT
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER LIFTING STAY AND DIRECTING PARTIES TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFS
13	v.	
14	GUIMARRA VINEYARDS CORPORATION,	ORDER SETTING HEARING FOR PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	On November 18, 2011, the parties requested stay in the action pending the resolution of	
18	Brinker Restaurant Corp. v. Sup. Ct., 165 Cal.App.4th 25 (2008). The parties noted, "At issue in	
19	Brinker is the standard for determining an employer's obligations with respect to California's rest and	
20	meal break laws." (Doc. 79 at 2). Because the complaint raised issues pending resolution in <i>Brinker</i> ,	
21	the Court granted the parties' request to stay the action. (Doc. 80). The Court ordered the parties to	
22	file a status report within ten days of a decision in <i>Brinker</i> , discussing "whether the stay should be	
23	lifted, any need for further discovery and the proposed dates for completion of the case." <i>Id.</i> at 4. In	
24	addition, the parties were ordered to "discuss whether there is a need for additional briefing to address	
25	Brinker issues." Id.	
26	On April 12, 2012, the California Supreme Court issued its decision in Brinker, and in	
27	accordance with the Court's order, the parties submitted a joint status report on April 23, 2012. (Doc.	
28	92). The parties agree the stay should be lifted, and seek to file briefs regarding the decision in	
		1

Doc. 93

Brinker and its impact on the pending class certification motion. Id. at 2. Also, the parties agree additional discovery may be required, but "believe it is prudent . . . to defer decisions on what additional discovery may be necessary and when further pre-trial and trial dates should fall until class certification is decided." *Id.* at 3. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: The stay previously ordered in this action, is **LIFTED**; 1. 2. The parties **SHALL** file supplemental briefs, no longer than 15 pages (including any **exhibits**), discussing **only** the decision in *Brinker* and its effect upon Plaintiffs' motion for class certification on or before May 25, 2012; and 3. A hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification (Doc. 42) is **SET** for July 2, 2012 at 9:00 a.m. at 1300 18th Street, Bakersfield, CA. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **April 26, 2012** /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE