1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	MARGARITA ROSALES and	CIV-F-09-0707 AWI JLT
10	ANGELICA ROSALES, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly	ORDER VACATING HEARING
11	situated,	DATE OF MARCH 19, 2012 AND TAKING MATTER UNDER
12	Plaintiffs, )	SUBMISSION
13	V. ) EL RANCHO FARMS and DOES 1 to 20 )	
14		
7.3	inclusive,	
15	Defendant.	
15		
15 16	Defendant.	f an order denying class certification, or in the
15 16 17	Defendant.  Plaintiffs have sought reconsideration of	f an order denying class certification, or in the 60 and 66. Defendant opposes the motion. The
15 16 17 18	Defendant.  Plaintiffs have sought reconsideration of	60 and 66. Defendant opposes the motion. The
15 16 17 18 19	Plaintiffs have sought reconsideration of alternative, a certificate of appealability. Docs.	60 and 66. Defendant opposes the motion. The ermined that the motion is suitable for decision
15 16 17 18 19 20	Plaintiffs have sought reconsideration of alternative, a certificate of appealability. Docs. court has reviewed the papers filed and has determined without further oral argument. See Local Rule 2	60 and 66. Defendant opposes the motion. The ermined that the motion is suitable for decision
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiffs have sought reconsideration of alternative, a certificate of appealability. Docs. court has reviewed the papers filed and has determined without further oral argument. See Local Rule 2	60 and 66. Defendant opposes the motion. The ermined that the motion is suitable for decision 230(g). that the previously set hearing date of March 19,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiffs have sought reconsideration of alternative, a certificate of appealability. Docs. court has reviewed the papers filed and has determined without further oral argument. See Local Rule 2.  Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED	60 and 66. Defendant opposes the motion. The ermined that the motion is suitable for decision 230(g). that the previously set hearing date of March 19, shall appear at that time. As of that date, the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiffs have sought reconsideration of alternative, a certificate of appealability. Docs. court has reviewed the papers filed and has determined without further oral argument. See Local Rule 2.  Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED 2012, at 1:30 PM, is VACATED, and no party see the papers of the p	60 and 66. Defendant opposes the motion. The ermined that the motion is suitable for decision 230(g). that the previously set hearing date of March 19, shall appear at that time. As of that date, the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiffs have sought reconsideration of alternative, a certificate of appealability. Docs. court has reviewed the papers filed and has determined without further oral argument. See Local Rule 2. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED 2012, at 1:30 PM, is VACATED, and no party court will take the matter under submission and IT IS SO ORDERED.	60 and 66. Defendant opposes the motion. The ermined that the motion is suitable for decision 230(g). that the previously set hearing date of March 19, shall appear at that time. As of that date, the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiffs have sought reconsideration of alternative, a certificate of appealability. Docs. court has reviewed the papers filed and has determited without further oral argument. See Local Rule 2. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED 2012, at 1:30 PM, is VACATED, and no party court will take the matter under submission and IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 15, 2012	60 and 66. Defendant opposes the motion. The ermined that the motion is suitable for decision 230(g). that the previously set hearing date of March 19, shall appear at that time. As of that date, the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiffs have sought reconsideration of alternative, a certificate of appealability. Docs. court has reviewed the papers filed and has determited without further oral argument. See Local Rule 2. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED 2012, at 1:30 PM, is VACATED, and no party court will take the matter under submission and IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: March 15, 2012	60 and 66. Defendant opposes the motion. The ermined that the motion is suitable for decision 230(g). that the previously set hearing date of March 19, shall appear at that time. As of that date, the will thereafter issue its decision.