1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	CORNELIUS V. LEE, 1:09-cv-00722-AWI-YNP-SMS (PC)
10	Plaintiff, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
11	V.
12	L. WILKINSON, et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action
17	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On September 1, 2009, the Court issued an order finding that
18	Plaintiff's complaint states cognizable claims against Defendants Defendants Wilkinson, Castellanos
19	and Shelby for violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment and
20	Defendants Chavez and Gonzales for an illegal strip search in violation of the Fourth Amendment.
21	The complaint does not state a cognizable as to Plaintiff's Due Process, Eighth Amendment, First
22	Amendment, Cell Search, and State Law claims, as well as Defendants Matthew Cate and James
23	Yates.
24	The Court ordered Plaintiff to either file an amended complaint or notify the Court of his
25	willingness to proceed only on the claims found to be cognizable. On October 1, 2009, Plaintiff
26	notified the Court that he does not wish to amend and is willing to proceed on the claims found
27	cognizable. Based on Plaintiff's notice, this Findings and Recommendations now issues. See Noll

v. Carlson, 809 F. 2d 1446, 1448 (9th Cir. 1987) (prisoner must be given notice of deficiencies and 28

1

1 opportunity to amend prior to dismissing for failure to state a claim).

Accordingly, it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that Plaintiff's Due Process, Eighth Amendment, First Amendment, Cell Search, and State Law claims, as well as Defendants Matthew Cate and James Yates be dismissed.

These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within **thirty (30) days** after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the Court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. <u>Martinez v. Ylst</u>, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: October 8, 2009

## /s/ Sandra M. Snyder UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE