1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	JIMMY MCDONALD,	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-00730-SKO PC
10 11	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL FOR SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
12	J. A. YATES, et al.,	(Doc. 137)
13	Defendants.	
14		_/
15	Plaintiff Jimmy McDonald is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this	
16	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On June 3, 2013, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking	
17	the appointment of counsel.	
18	Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to the appointment of counsel in this action.	
19	Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009); Storseth v. Spellman, 654 F.2d 1349, 1353 (9th	
20	Cir. 1981). The Court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
21	1915(e)(1), but it will do so only if exceptional circumstances exist. Palmer, 560 F.3d at 970;	
22	Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1981). In making this determination, the	
23	Court must evaluate the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of Plaintiff to articulate	
24	his claims <i>pro se</i> in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. <i>Palmer</i> , 560 F.3d at 970	
25	(citation and quotation marks omitted); Wilborn, 789 F.2d at 1331. Neither consideration is	
26	dispositive and they must be viewed together. <i>Palmer</i> , 560 F.3d at 970 (citation and quotation marks	
27	omitted); Wilborn 789 F.2d at 1331.	
28	///	

1

In the present case and at this stage in the proceedings, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Palmer, 560 F.3d at 970; Wilborn 789 F.2d at 1331. The Court recognizes Plaintiff's concerns relating to the upcoming settlement conference set before United States Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone, but a settlement conference is not a complex proceeding in a case such as this and representation by counsel during the settlement conference is not necessary. Judge Boone will assist the parties in attempting to reach a mutually agreeable settlement agreement. Each side will have the opportunity, and likely multiple opportunities, to speak privately with Judge Boone and those conversations are confidential. As a result, Plaintiff will have ample opportunity to express his concerns privately to Judge Boone and also to benefit from Judge Boone's extensive legal background.

Cases such as this can and do settle, but Plaintiff may be assured that settlement will occur
only if and when both sides are able reach an agreement. If they cannot agree, this case will proceed
to trial on September 17, 2013.

Based on the foregoing, the Court declines to appoint counsel in anticipation of the settlement conference set for June 12, 2013, and Plaintiff's motion is HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: June 5, 2013

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE