

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MICHELLE KEVORKIAN,) NO. 1:09-CV-00736 AWI GSA
Plaintiff,) ORDER CLOSING CASE IN
v.) LIGHT OF STIPULATION FOR
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE) DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
COMPANY, and THE PROCTER &)
GAMBLE DISTRIBUTING LLC,)
Defendants.)

On June 22, 2010, the parties filed a stipulation of voluntary dismissal with prejudice of this matter. Although not stated in the notice, the Court construes it as one made pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A).

Rule 41(a)(1)(A), in relevant part, reads:

the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing: (i) a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment; (ii) a stipulated dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared.

Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) thus allows the parties to dismiss an action voluntarily, after service of an answer, by filing a written stipulation to dismiss signed by all of the parties who have appeared, although an oral stipulation in open court will also suffice. Carter v. Beverly Hills Sav. & Loan Asso., 884 F.2d 1186, 1191 (9th Cir. 1989); Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1472-73 (9th Cir.

1 1986). Once the stipulation between the parties who have appeared is properly filed or made in
2 open court, no order of the court is necessary to effectuate dismissal. Fed. R. Civ. Pro.
3 41(a)(1)(ii); Eitel, 782 F.2d at 1473 n.4. “Caselaw concerning stipulated dismissals under Rule
4 41(a) (1) (ii) is clear that the entry of such a stipulation of dismissal is effective automatically and
5 does not require judicial approval.” In re Wolf, 842 F.2d 464, 466 (D.C. Cir. 1989); Gardiner v.
6 A.H. Robins Co., 747 F.2d 1180, 1189 (8th Cir. 1984); see also Gambale v. Deutsche Bank AG,
7 377 F.3d 133, 139 (2d Cir. 2004); Commercial Space Mgmt. Co. v. Boeing Co., 193 F.3d 1074,
8 1077 (9th Cir. 1999) cf. Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997)
9 (addressing Rule 41(a)(1) dismissals). “The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants,
10 or some or all of his claims, through a Rule 41(a)(1) notice,” and the dismissal “automatically
11 terminates the action as to the defendants who are the subjects of the notice.” Wilson, 111 F.3d
12 at 692; Concha v. London, 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995).

13 Because the parties have filed a stipulation for dismissal of this case with prejudice under
14 Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) that is signed by all parties who have made an appearance, this case has
15 terminated. See Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); In re Wolf, 842 F.2d at 466; Gardiner, 747
16 F.2d at 1189; see also Gambale, 377 F.3d at 139; Commercial Space Mgmt., 193 F.3d at 1077; cf.
17 Wilson, 111 F.3d at 692.

18 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk is ordered to close this case in light
19 of the filed and properly signed Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) Stipulation Of Dismissal With Prejudice.
20

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: June 22, 2010

23 
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

24

25

26

27