1		
2		
3		
4		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANTHONY CRAIG HUCKABEE,) Case No.: 1:09-cv-00749-LJO-BAM PC
12	Plaintiff,)) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
13	V.) REQUESTING COURT TO ORDER) DEFENDANTS TO REPLY (ECF No. 92)
14	MEDICAL STAFF at CSATF, et al.,)
15	Defendants.))
16)
17	Plaintiff Anthony Craig Huckabee ("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
18	pauperis, initiated this civil rights action pursuant to <u>42 U.S.C. § 1983</u> on April 28, 2009. This action	
19	proceeds on Plaintiff's second amended complaint, filed on August 21, 2012, for (1) deliberate	
20	indifference to a serious medical need in violation of the Eighth Amendment against Defendants Diaz,	
21	McGuiness, Wu, Bhatt, Nguyen, Garcia, Jimenez, Jeffreys, Chief Medical Officer at CSATF, and	
22	Chief Pharmacist at CSATF; (2) negligence against Defendants Diaz, Jeffreys, Jimenez; (3) medical	
23	malpractice against Defendants McGuiness, Wu, Bhatt and Nguyen; (4) violation of the Unruh Civil	
24	Rights Act, California Civil Code § 51, against all defendants; and (5) negligent infliction of	
25	emotional distress against all defendants.	
26	On October 7, 2013, Plaintiff filed the instant motion requesting a court order directing	
27	Defendants McGuiness, Jeffrey and Jimenez to reply to the summons and complaint. (ECF No. 92.)	
28	Plaintiff's request is premature. Although Plaintiff claims that his former counsel informed him that	

all defendants had been served and had appeared in this action, there is no indication on the Court's docket or from the United States Marshals Service that Defendants McGuiness, Jeffreys and Jimenez have been served with summons and complaint or have returned a waiver of service. Accordingly, Plaintiff's request for an order requiring them to respond to the complaint at this time is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 10, 2013

/s/ Barlara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE