(PC) Tate v. Cate et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LARRY TATE,	Case No. 1:09-cv-00770 JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR DEPOSITION
13	VS.	(Doc. 34)
14	MATTHEW CATE, et al.,	(1000. 34)
15	Defendants.	
16	/	
17	On March 22, 2011, Defendant sought leave, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure	
18	30(a)(2)(B), to take the deposition of Plaintiff. In addition, Defendant requested that the deposition	
19	be taken by video conferencing, if feasible.	
20	Good cause appearing, it is <b>HEREBY ORDERED</b> that Defendant may take the deposition	
21	of Plaintiff in regard to this action while he is incarcerated in prison. Further, the deposition may	
22	be taken by video conferencing. However, nothing in this order shall be interpreted as requiring the	
23	institution in which Plaintiff is housed to obtain video conferencing equipment if it is not already	
24	available.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: March 23, 2011	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		

Doc. 35