

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LARRY TATE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MATTHEW CATE, et al.,

Defendants.

1:09-cv-00770-GSA (PC)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
RE PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE
TO RESPOND TO THE COURT'S
ORDER RE CONSENT OR
REQUEST FOR REASSIGNMENT

RESPONSE DUE IN 30 DAYS

_____ /

On April 30, 2009, and again on June 15, 2009, the court issued an order requiring plaintiff to consent to or decline Magistrate Judge jurisdiction within thirty days. The thirty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not returned the form, or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Local Rule 11-110 provides that "failure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Local Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court."

Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that within **thirty (30) days** from the date of service of this order, plaintiff shall complete and return the Order Re Consent or Request for Reassignment, a copy of which is attached hereto, or show cause, in writing, why

///

1 sanctions, up to and including dismissal of this action, should not be imposed against him for
2 failing to obey a court order.

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 **Dated: July 31, 2009**

/s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28