$\|$

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LEON HAWKINS,	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-00771 LJO JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT
13	v.)	(Doc. 22)
14) DERRAL G. ADAMS, et al.,	(D00.22)
15	Defendants.	
16))	
17	On July 26, 2010, Plaintiff filed a request for default judgment against Defendants. (Doc. 22)	
18	He claims that this request is appropriate due to Defendants failure file a responsive pleading.	
19	However, the First Amended Complaint has not yet been screened to determine whether it states	
20	a cognizable claim. Consequently, the Court has not yet authorized service of the summons and	
21	complaint. Therefore, the Defendants' duty to file a responsive pleading has not yet arisen.	
22	Based upon the foregoing, the motion for default judgment is DENIED .	
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	Dated: September 24, 2010	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26		
27		
28		
		1