1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	LAMONT SHEPARD,	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-00809-LJO-BAM (PC)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISREGARDING PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT QUILLEN'S
11	v.	DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS INFORMATION
12	T. QUILLEN, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	(ECF No. 101)
14	/	
15	Plaintiff Lamont Shepard ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
16	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. A telephonic trial confirmation	
17	hearing in this action is set for February 7, 2013, at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Lawrence J.	
18	O'Neill. On January 9, 2013, Plaintiff filed an opposition to Defendant Quillen calling retained	
19	witness, Harold Jackson, M.D., as an expert at the time of trial. (ECF No. 101.)	
20	Plaintiff's purported opposition is a motion in limine and is premature. After considering	
21	the parties' pretrial statements, the Court will issue a pretrial order establishing the deadlines to	
22	file motions in limine and oppositions to the motions in limine. Plaintiff may file any motion in	
23	limine after the Court sets the relevant deadlines for such motions. Accordingly, Plaintiff's	
24	opposition is HEREBY DISREGARDED as a premature motion in limine.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: <u>January 22, 2013</u>	/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDDE
28		
		1