

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11	RICHARD LAWRENCE,)	Case No.: 1:09-cv-00862-JLT
12)	
12	Plaintiff,)	
13	v.)	
13)	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
14	MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,)	COMPLAINT SHOULD NOT BE
14	Commissioner of Social Security,)	DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY
15)	WITH SCHEDULING ORDER
15	Defendant.)	
16	<hr/>		

17 Plaintiff is proceeding pro se in this action seeking judicial review of a final decision of
18 the Commissioner of Social Security denying his application for benefits.

19 By previous order of the Court, Plaintiff was granted an extension of time until June 2,
20 2010, to file an Opening Brief. (Doc. 22) Plaintiff has failed to comply with this deadline and
21 has failed to comply with the original scheduling order which set deadlines within which his
22 opening brief was to be filed. The scheduling order explains that failure to comply with its
23 provisions may result in sanctions pursuant to Local Rule 110. (Doc. 6 at 4.)

24 Therefore, Plaintiff shall have until June 14, 2010 to file an Opening Brief or, in lieu
25 thereof, to file a motion seeking an extension of time supported by good cause. Plaintiff is
26 forewarned that his failure to comply with this Order will result in dismissal of this action
27 pursuant to the inherent power of the Court or the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Fed. R. Civ.
28 P. 41(b), 11; Local Rule 110; Chambers v. NASCO, Inc., 501 U.S. 31, 42-43 (1991).

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff shall have until June 14, 2010, to
2 file an Opening Brief that complies with paragraph 11 of the Court's Scheduling Order of May
3 18, 2009 (Doc. 6) or, in lieu thereof, a motion for an extension of time supported by good cause.

4
5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: June 4, 2010

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE