UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTI	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
THE DELTA SMELT CASES	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-407-LJO-DLB
SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY, et al. v.	1:09-cv-422-LJO-DLB 1:09-cv-631-LJO-DLB 1:09-cv-892-LJO-GSA
SALAZAR, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-407) STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v. SALAZAR, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-422)	PARTIALLY CONSOLIDATED WITH: 1:09-cv-480-LJO-GSA 1:09-cv-1201-LJO-DLB
COALITION FOR A SUSTAINABLE DELTA, et al. v. UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-480)	SECOND JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER AMENDING SCHEDULE FOR
METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT v. UNITED STATES FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-631)	TRANSMITTAL OF DRAFT SMELT BIOLOGICAL OPINION
STEWART & JASPER ORCHARDS, et al. v. UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-892)	Judge: Honorable Lawrence J. O'Nei
FAMILY FARM ALLIANCE v. SALAZAR, et al. (Case No. 09-cv-1201)	
	-1-

1	RECITALS
2	1. On May 19, 2011, this Court entered its Amended Judgment in these <i>Delta Smelt</i>
3	Consolidated Cases requiring the United States Fish and Wildlife Service ("FWS") to "transmit to
4	[the Bureau of] Reclamation by October 1, 2011 a draft delta smelt Biological Opinion consistent
5	with the requirements of law." (Amended Judgment, Doc. 884 at 3:18-19.)
6	2. On September 30, 2011, this Court vacated the October 1, 2011 deadline in the
7	Amended Judgment (Doc. 884) for transmittal of the draft delta smelt Biological Opinion ("draft
8	BiOp"). (Doc. 1061.) The Court ordered instead that by October 31, 2011 the parties file a
9	written report stating whether the parties have jointly agreed upon a revised completion date for
10	the draft BiOp. The Court further ordered that failing such agreement, the draft BiOp was to be
11	transmitted by November 11, 2011.
12	3. Since the Court's order, a number of the parties have engaged in discussions
13	regarding a restructuring of the consultation process and a new deadline for the draft BiOp, but
14	have not yet reached agreement. However, these parties would like to continue these discussions,
15	and agree they need more time beyond October 31, 2011 to complete discussions and potentially
16 17	reach agreement.
17 18	Good cause exists for the granting of the below requested schedule change for transmittal
18	of the draft BiOp, and the parties respectfully request that the dates set by this Court be modified
20	as shown below.
	STIPULATION
21	Plaintiffs San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority, Westlands Water District, State
22	Water Contractors, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, Coalition for a
23	Sustainable Delta, Kern County Water Agency, Stewart & Jasper Orchards, Arroyo Farms, LLC,
24	King Pistachio Grove, and Family Farm Alliance ("Plaintiffs"), Plaintiff-Intervenor California
25	Department of Water Resources ("DWR"), Federal Defendants, and Defendant-Intervenors
26	Natural Resources Defense Council and The Bay Institute by and through their respective
27	counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:
28	-2-

1			
2			
2	1. The October 31, 2011 and November 11, 2011 deadlines in the Court's previous		
3 4	order (Doc. 1061) should be hereby vacated to allow time for further discussions between now and December 2, 2011.		
<del>-</del> 5			
5 6	2. By December 2, 2011, the parties shall file with the Court a written report,		
0 7	preferably a joint report, stating whether the parties have jointly agreed to a remand schedule		
, 8	3. If there is not agreement among all parties, then the draft BiOp shall be transmitted		
8 9	no later than December 14, 2011.		
9 10			
10			
11	SO STIPULATED.		
12			
13 14	Dated: October 31, 2011 H. CRAIG MANSON Westlands Water District		
14	DIEPENBROCK ELKIN, LLP KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN &		
16	GIRARD A Professional Corporation		
17			
18	By /s/ Daniel J. O'Hanlon		
19	DANIEL J. O'HANLON EILEEN M. DIEPENBROCK		
20	Attorneys for Plaintiffs SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER		
20	AUTHORITY and WESTLANDS WATER DISTRICT		
22			
22	Dated: October 31, 2011 BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK LLP		
24			
25	By: /s/ Steve O. Sims		
25 26	STEVE O. SIMS MICHELLE C. KALES		
27	Attorneys for Plaintiff WESTLANDS WATER DISTRICT		
28			
-	-3-		
	SECOND JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER AMENDING SCHEDULE FOR TRANSMITTAL OF DRAFT SMELT BIOP		

1		
2	Dated: October 31, 2011	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
3		
4		By: <u>lsl Steven M. Anderson</u>
5		GREGORY K. WILKINSON STEVEN M. ANDERSON
6		PAETER E. GARCIA MELISSA R. CUSHMAN
7		Attorneys for Plaintiff STATE WATER CONTRACTORS
8	Dated: October 31, 2011	NOSSAMAN LLP
9		
10		By: <u>lsl Paul S. Weiland</u> ROBERT D. THORNTON
11		PAUL S. WEILAND AUDREY HUANG
12		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
13		COALITION FOR A SUSTAINABLE DELTA and KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY
14		
15	Dated: October 31, 2011	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
16		
17		By: /s/ William M. Sloan
18		CHRISTOPHER J. CARR WILLIAM M. SLOAN
19 20		Attorneys for Plaintiff THE METROPOLITAN WATER
20		DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
21		
22 23		
23 24		
2 <del>4</del> 25		
23 26		
20 27		
28		
		-4-
	SECOND JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOS	ED] ORDER AMENDING SCHEDULE FOR TRANSMITTAL OF DRAFT SMELT BIOP

1	Dated:	October 31, 2011	PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION
2			
3 4			By: <u>/s/ Brandon M. Middleton</u> M. REED HOPPER DAMIEN M. SCHIFF
5			BRANDON M. MIDDLETON Attorneys for Plaintiffs
6			STEWART & JASPER ORCHARDS; ARROYO FARMS, LLC; and KING
7			PISTACHIO GROVE
8			
9	Dated:	October 31, 2011	THE BRENDA DAVIS LAW GROUP
10			
11			By: <u>/s/ Brenda W. Davis</u> BRENDA W. DAVIS
12			LESLIE R. WAGLEY
13			Attorneys For Plaintiff FAMILY FARM ALLIANCE
14 15	Dated:	October 31, 2011	KAMALA D. HARRIS, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
16 17			By: <u>/s/ Clifford T. Lee</u> CLIFFORD T. LEE
17			CECILIA L. DENNIS MICHAEL M. EDSON ALLISON GOLDSMITH
10 19			ALLISON GOLDSMITH Deputies Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff-In-Intervention
20			CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			-5-

1	Dated:	October 31, 2011	IGNACIA S. MORENO, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
2			United States Department of Justice, Environmental & Natural Resources Division
3			SETH M. BARSKY, CHIEF
4 5			By: <u>/s/ S. Jay Govindan</u> S. JAY GOVINDAN
5 6			S. JAY GOVINDAN Wildlife & Marine Resources Section Attorneys for FEDERAL DEFENDANTS
0 7			Auomeys for rederal derendants
8	Dated:	October 31, 2011	NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
9			By: _/s/ Katherine Poole
10			KATHERINE POOLE DOUG OBEGI
11			Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor NATURAL
12			RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
13			
14	Dated:	October 31, 2011	EARTH JUSTICE
15			By: <u>/s/ Trent W.</u> TRENT W. ORR
16			GEORGE M. TORGUN Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors
17			NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL; THE BAY INSTITUTE
18			
19 20			
20 21			
21			
22			
23			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			-6-
	SECOND	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORD	ER AMENDING SCHEDULE FOR TRANSMITTAL OF DRAFT SMELT BIOP

1	
2	ORDER
3	Based on the stipulation of the above parties, the Court hereby vacates the previously
4	ordered October 31, 2011 deadline for submission of a written status report, and the alternative
5	November 11, 2011 deadline for transmittal of the draft delta smelt Biological Opinion ("draft
6	BiOp"). By December 2, 2011, the parties shall file with the Court a written report, preferably a
7	joint report, stating whether the parties have jointly agreed upon the three items listed above in
8	the stipulation. If there is not agreement among all parties on these items, then the draft BiOp
9	shall be transmitted no later than December 14, 2011.
10	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	Dated: November 1, 2011 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-1-
	SECOND JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER AMENDING SCHEDULE FOR TRANSMITTAL OF DRAFT SMELT BIOP