Martinez v. Adams et al Doc. 21

Ken I. Karan, Esq., California State Bar No. 204843 kkaran.law@gmail.com 2 LAW OFFICE OF KEN I. KARAN 3631-D Village Circle Carlsbad, CA 92008 3 (760) 420-5488 Fax (866) 841-5420 4 5 Attorney for Plaintiff Steven C. Martinez 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 STEVEN C. MARTINEZ, CASE NO.: 1:09-CV-00899-LJO-DLB 11 Plaintiff, STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES TO 12 CONTINUE MANDATORY SCHEDULING VS. CONFERENCE 13 Derral G. ADAMS, Warden, Corcoran State Prison, William J. MCGUINNESS, 14 M.D., Chief Medical Officer, Corcoran State Prison, Frances GARZA, nurse, 15 Emily VARGAS, nurse, Catherine NACAR , nurse, Michael VAN NATTA, nurse, 16 Mark SMITH, nurse, Cornelio GARCIA, correctional officer, Paul SENA, Hearing Date: March 2, 2010 17 correctional officer, and DOES 1 through Time: 9:00 a.m. 50, inclusive, Location: Department 9 18 Judge: Hon. Dennis L. Beck Defendants. 19 20 Plaintiff, by and through counsel, Ken I. Karan, and Defendants, by and through counsel 21 David A. Carrasco, stipulate as follows: 22 1. Defendants have filed a motion to dismiss the above captioned case. 23 The hearing on the motion is set for March 11, 2010. 2. 24 3. The Court has set the Mandatory Scheduling Conference for March 2, 2010. 25 4. The parties' input to the Joint Scheduling Conference Report will be affected by the 26 outcome of the motion. 27 In order to make the best use of the Court's time, the parties agree to continue the 5. 28

STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES TO CONTINUE MANDATORY SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

1 Mandatory Scheduling Conference to a date 30 days after the March 2 hearing, or to a date the	
2 Court finds appropriate given the need to meet and confer and draft the Joint Scheduling	
3 Conference Report.	
4 So stipulated,	
5	
6 Dated: <u>February 10, 2010</u> Signed:/s/	
7 Ken I. Karan, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff	
8	
9 Dated: February 10, 2010 Signed: /s/	
David A. Carrasco, Esq.	
Attorneys for Defendants	ney General
Having reviewed the stipulation to modify the Court's order, and having found good	
cause therefore, the stipulation is the order of the court. The Mandatory Scheduling Conference	
is continued to April 22, 2010 at 9:30 a.m.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: February 11, 2010 /s/ Dennis L. Beck	E JUDGE
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
2 STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES TO CONTINUE MANDATORY SCHEDULING CONFERENCE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Court finds appropriate given the need to meet and confer and draft the Joint Sche Conference Report.  So stipulated,  Dated: February 10, 2010  Signed: /s/  Ken I. Karan, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff  Dated: February 10, 2010  Signed: /s/  David A. Carrasco, Esq. Supervising Deputy Attorneys for Defendants  Having reviewed the stipulation to modify the Court's order, and having for cause therefore, the stipulation is the order of the court. The Mandatory Schedulin is continued to April 22, 2010 at 9:30 a.m.  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated: February 11, 2010  /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE