1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SHOUA XIONG, ) 1:09cv0928 DLB
11	) ) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
12	Plaintiff,
13	v. )
14	MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner ) of Social Security, )
15	
16	Defendant. )
17	
18	On May 22, 2009, Plaintiff filed the present action for judicial review of the denial of
19	Social Security benefits.
20	On May 28, 2009, the Court issued a Scheduling Order. The Scheduling Order states that
21	within 120 days after service, Defendant shall file and serve a copy of the administrative record,
22	which shall be deemed an answer to the complaint. The Order also provides that within 30 days
23	after service of the administrative record, Plaintiff shall serve on Defendant a letter brief outlining
24	why remand is warranted which Defendant shall respond to within 35 days. Thereafter, if
25	Defendant does not stipulate to remand, within 30 days of Defendant's response, Plaintiff must
26	file and serve an opening brief.
27	
28	

1

On October 20, 2009, Defendant lodged the administrative record. Pursuant to the Scheduling Order, Plaintiff was required to file an opening brief on or about January 25, 2010. To date, Plaintiff has not filed an opening brief. Therefore, Plaintiff is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, if any, why the action should not be dismissed for failure to comply with the Court's orders. Plaintiff is ORDERED to file a written response to this Order to Show Cause within twenty (20) days of the date of this Order. If Plaintiff desires more time to file an opening brief or wishes to dismiss this action, Plaintiff should so state in the response. Failure to respond to this Order to Show Cause will result in dismissal of this action. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: February 17, 2010