(PC) Gaona v. Yates et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	EMOTERIA DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	MARTIN GAONA, CASE NO. 1:09-cv-00999-SKO PC	
10	Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING ACTION	
11	v.	
12	JAMES YATES, et al.,	
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff Martin Gaona ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
16	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has consented to	
17	jurisdiction by U.S. Magistrate Judge. (Doc. #5.)	
18	On July 19, 2010, the Court screened Plaintiff's first amended complaint pursuant to 28	
19	U.S.C. § 1915A(a). (Doc. #12.) The Court found that Plaintiff's first amended complaint failed to	
20	state any claims upon which relief can be granted. The Court informed Plaintiff of the deficiencies	
21	in his claims and provided Plaintiff with leave to file a second amended complaint that cured the	
22	deficiencies in his claims. Plaintiff was ordered to file his second amended complaint within thirty	
23	days of the date of service of the July 19, 2010 order. Plaintiff's second amended complaint was due	
24	on August 23, 2010.	
25	Plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint. Therefore, the Court will dismiss the	
26	claims raised in Plaintiff's first amended complaint, with prejudice, for failing to state any claims	
27	upon which relief can be granted.	
28		
	1	

Doc. 15

1	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:		
2	1. This action is dismiss	sed for Plaintiff's failure to state any claims upon which relief	
3	can be granted; and		
4	2. The Clerk is directed	to close this action.	
5			
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7	Dated: September 15, 2010	/s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			