| 1 | |
|----|---|
| .2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| .5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | |
| 11 | JEFFREY ALTMAN, Case No. 1:09-CV-01000-AWI-JLT |
| 12 | Plaintiffs, ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART STIPULATION TO |
| 13 | vs. MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER |
| 14 | HO SPORTS COMPANY, INC. |
| 15 | Defendants. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Before the Court is the third stipulation to modify the Scheduling Order. The parties |
| 18 | seek to extend the deadlines for expert disclosure but the dates suggested leave |
| 19 | insufficient time for filing dispositive motions. Therefore, the Court GRANTS IN PART and |
| 20 | DENIES IN PART the request to modify the Scheduling Order as follows: |
| 21 | Joint Expert Disclosure - Extended to September 7, 2010 |
| 22 | Joint Rebuttal Expert Disclosure - Extended to September 21, 2010 |
| 23 | |
| 24 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 25 | Dated:July 26, 2010/s/ Jennifer L. ThurstonUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 26 | |
| | |
| I | |