

1
2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4
5

6 EDWARD KING,

7 Plaintiff,

1: 09 CV 01014 MJS (PC)

8 vs.

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION

9
10 R. WOOLIVER, et al.,

11 Defendants.
12
13

14 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se. Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to 42
15 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has consented to magistrate judge jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
16 636(c)(1).

17 By order filed March 18, 2010, the operative complaint was dismissed for failure
18 to state a claim. Plaintiff was granted leave to file an amended complaint and directed to do so
19 within thirty days. Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.

20 In the March 18, 2010, order the Court informed Plaintiff of the deficiencies in his
21 complaint. The court dismissed the complaint on the ground that Plaintiff had failed to state a
22 claim upon which relief could be granted. Because Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint,
23 the Court dismisses the claims made in the original complaint with prejudice for failure to state a
24 federal claim upon which the court could grant relief. See Noll v. Carlson, 809 F. 2d 1446, 1448
25 (9th Cir. 1987) (prisoner must be given notice of deficiencies and opportunity to amend prior to
26

1 dismissing for failure to state a claim).

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed for failure
3 to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. The Clerk is directed to close this case.
4
5
6
7
8

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 **Dated: May 4, 2010**

/s/ Michael J. Seng
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE