1 2 3 4	EILEEN M. DIEPENBROCK, State Bar No. 119 JON D. RUBIN, State Bar No. 196944 DAVID A. DIEPENBROCK, State Bar No. 215 JONATHAN R. MARZ, State Bar No. 221188 DIEPENBROCK HARRISON 400 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 492-5000	
5	Facsimile: (916) 446-4535	
6	DANIEL J. O'HANLON, State Bar No. 122380 K. ERIC ADAIR, State Bar No. 150650	
7	HANSPETER WALTER, State Bar No. 244847 REBECCA R. AKROYD, State Bar No. 267305	
8	KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & G A Professional Corporation	IRARD
9	400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814-4416	
10	Telephone: (916) 321-4500 Facsimile: (916) 321-4555	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs SAN LUIS & DELTA-	
12	MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY and WESTLANDS WATER DISTRICT	
13 14	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
14	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15	CONSOLIDATED SALMON CASES	CASE NOS.
10	CONSOLIDATED SALMON CASES	CASE NOS.
17	SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER	1:00 ov 1052 OWW DI P
17 18	SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY, et al. v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1053)	1:09-cv-1053-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1090-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1378-OWW-DLB
	AUTHORITY, et al. v. GARY F. LOCKE,	1:09-cv-1090-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1378-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1520-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-2452-OWW-DLB
18	AUTHORITY, et al. v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1053) STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT v. NOAA, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1090) STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v.	1:09-cv-1090-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1378-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1520-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-2452-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1625-OWW-SMS
18 19	AUTHORITY, et al. v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1053) STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT v. NOAA, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1090)	1:09-cv-1090-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1378-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1520-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-2452-OWW-DLB
18 19 20	AUTHORITY, et al. v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1053) STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT v. NOAA, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1090) STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1378) KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY, et al.	1:09-cv-1090-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1378-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1520-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-2452-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1625-OWW-SMS ORDER FOLLOWING JANUARY 26,
18 19 20 21	AUTHORITY, et al. v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1053) STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT v. NOAA, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1090) STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1378)	1:09-cv-1090-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1378-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1520-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-2452-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1625-OWW-SMS ORDER FOLLOWING JANUARY 26,
18 19 20 21 22	AUTHORITY, et al. v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1053) STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT v. NOAA, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1090) STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1378) KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY, et al. v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1520) OAKDALE IRRIGATION DISTRICT, et al.	1:09-cv-1090-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1378-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1520-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-2452-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1625-OWW-SMS ORDER FOLLOWING JANUARY 26,
 18 19 20 21 22 23 	AUTHORITY, et al. v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1053) STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT v. NOAA, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1090) STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1378) KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY, et al. v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1520)	1:09-cv-1090-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1378-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1520-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-2452-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1625-OWW-SMS ORDER FOLLOWING JANUARY 26,
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	AUTHORITY, et al. v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1053) STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT v. NOAA, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1090) STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1378) KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY, et al. v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1520) OAKDALE IRRIGATION DISTRICT, et al. v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE,	1:09-cv-1090-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1378-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1520-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-2452-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1625-OWW-SMS ORDER FOLLOWING JANUARY 26,
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	AUTHORITY, et al. v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1053) STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT v. NOAA, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1090) STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1378) KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY, et al. v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1520) OAKDALE IRRIGATION DISTRICT, et al. v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-2452) METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF	1:09-cv-1090-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1378-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1520-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-2452-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-1625-OWW-SMS ORDER FOLLOWING JANUARY 26,

KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ TIEDEMANN & GIRARI Attorneys At Law Sacramento

Order Following January 26, 2010 Status Conference

1	The Court held a scheduling conference in this consolidated actions on January 26, 2010.
2	Plaintiffs San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority and Westlands Water District were
3	represented by Daniel J. O'Hanlon, Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedemann & Girard; plaintiffs
4	Coalition for a Sustainable Delta and Kern County Water Agency were represented by Paul S.
5	Weiland, Nossaman LLP; plaintiff Metropolitan Water District of Southern California was
6	represented by Christopher J. Carr, Morrison & Forester LLP; plaintiff State Water Contractors
7	was represented by Gregory K. Wilkinson, Best Best & Krieger LLP; plaintiff Stockton East
8	Water District was represented by Jennifer L. Spaletta, Herum Crabtree; plaintiffs Oakdale
9	Irrigation District et al. were represented by William C. Paris, O'Laughlin & Paris, LLP; real
10	parties in interest California Department of Water Resources and its Director, Lester Snow, were
11	represented by Deputy Attorney General Clifford Lee of the California Attorney General's
12	Office; the Federal Defendants were represented by Bridget Kennedy McNeil, United States
13	Department of Justice; defendant-intervenors were represented by Michael R. Sherwood and
14	Erin M. Tobin, Earthjustice; and defendant-intervenor Natural Resources Defense Council was
15	represented by Katherine Poole.
16	The purpose of the conference was to address the procedure related to whether to engage
17	FRE 706 experts for these cases. In the Joint Scheduling Conference Order and Order
18	Consolidating Cases filed September 25, 2009 ("Scheduling Order"; Doc. 51), the Court stated its
19	intention "to provide notice to counsel concerning its decision whether a 706 expert is required in
20	this case and the proposed terms on which the expert would serve on or before December 21,
21	2009." Doc. 51, pp. 22-24. However, as a result of various schedule changes and other
22	developments, the Court has not yet done so.
23	In addition, counsel for plaintiffs San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority and
24	Westlands Water District confirmed that they had provided the other parties with notice of an
25	intention to each a term energy methodising and a against implementation of Action IV 2.2 of the

26 biological opinion challenged in this action. The parties and the Court discussed the timing for

intention to seek a temporary restraining order against implementation of Action IV.2.3 of the

27 briefing and a hearing regarding the anticipated motion for a temporary restraining order.

After discussion with the parties, the Court HEREBY ORDERS THAT:

25

28

932676.1

Order Following January 26, 2010 Status Conference

-2-

PDF created with pdfFactory trial version <u>www.pdffactory.com</u>

1	1. The San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority and Westlands Water District
2	plaintiffs' application for a temporary restraining order shall be heard on February 2, 2010
3	beginning at 1:00 p.m. Their papers filed in support of the application shall be filed by 10:00
4	a.m. on January 27, 2010, and copies shall promptly be provided electronically to counsel for the
5	defendants and the intervenor-defendants. Any papers filed by other parties who wish to join in
6	support of the application shall be filed by 10:00 a.m. on January 28, 2010, and copies shall
7	promptly be provided electronically to counsel for the Federal Defendants and the intervenor-
8	defendants. Any papers filed in opposition to the application shall be filed by noon on
9	February 1, 2010, and copies shall promptly be provided electronically to counsel for the
10	plaintiffs.
11	2. The Court will provide parties with its tentative view regarding whether to retain
12	one or more FRE 706 experts for these consolidated cases and the proposed terms on which the
13	expert or experts would serve, and anticipates that it will do so by February 5, 2010. The parties
14	may provide their response by February 16, 2010.
15	3. The further scheduling of these consolidated cases will be addressed in the
16	upcoming status conferences set for February 4, 2010 at 1 p.m. and March 1, 2010 at 11:00 a.m.
17	
18	DATED: January 29, 2010
19	
20	<u>/s/ OLIVER W. WANGER</u> United States Senior District Court Judge
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD Attorneys At Law	932676.1 -3-
ATTORNEYS AT LAW SACRAMENTO	Order Following January 26, 2010 Status Conference

PDF created with pdfFactory trial version <u>www.pdffactory.com</u>