

COUNSEL IDENTIFICATION AT END

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CONSOLIDATED SALMON CASES)	LEAD CASE NO:	1:09-cv-1053 OWW-DLB
)			
SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER)	Consolidated Cases:	1:09-cv-1090 OWW-DLB
AUTHORITY, et al. v. LOCKE, et al.)		1:09-cv-1378 OWW-DLB
)			
STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT v.)		1:09-cv-1520 OWW-SMS
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND)		1:09-cv-1580 OWW-DLB
ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION, et)		1:09-cv-1625 OWW-SMS
al.)	STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING	
)			
STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v.)	ADDITION OF CRAMER FISH SCIENCES	
LOCKE, et al.,)	DOCUMENT TO ADMINISTRATIVE	
)			
KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY, et al.)	Judge;	Hon. Oliver W. Wanger
v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE,)		
et al.)		
)			
OAKDALE IRRIGATION DISTRICT, et al.)		
v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE,)		
et al.)		
)			
THE METROPOLITAN WATER)		
DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA)		
v. NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES, et al.)		
)			

Stanislaus River Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants hereby enter into this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order regarding Addition of Cramer Fish Sciences Document to the Administrative Record as follows:

1. Stanislaus River Plaintiffs requested Federal Defendants to add the document cited as

1 “Cramer Fish Sciences. 2009. Flow and temperature effects on life history diversity of
2 *Onchorynchus mykiss* in the Yakima River basin” (“Cramer Fish Sciences”) to the
3 Administrative Record in the Consolidated Salmon Cases (“AR”).

4 2. Cramer Fish Sciences was cited in the May 31, 2009 Memo from Rhonda Reed to
5 Maria Rea (NMFS AR at 00105883).

6 3. Stanislaus River Plaintiffs were unable to locate the document in the AR and
7 inadvertently omitted the document from their motion to augment the record.

8 4. Federal Defendants have agreed to add Cramer Fish Sciences to the AR.

9 5. The bates range for Cramer Fish Sciences is 00223493 – 00223538.

10 6. A copy of Cramer Fish Sciences is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

11
12 Dated: August 6, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

13 HERUM CRABTREE
14 *A California Professional Corporation*

15 By: /s/ Jennifer L. Spaletta
16 JENNIFER L. SPALETTA
17 Attorneys for Plaintiff
18 STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT

O’LAUGHLIN & PARIS LLP

19 By: /s/ William C. Paris, III
20 WILLIAM C. PARIS III
21 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
22 OAKDALE IRRIGATION DISTRICT and
23 SOUTH SAN JOAQUIN IRRIGATION DISTRICT

24 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
25 IGNACIA S. MORENO, Asst. Attorney General
26 Environment and Natural Resources Division

27 By: /s/ Bridget Kennedy McNeil
28 BRIDGET KENNEDY MCNEIL, Trial Attorney
BRADLEY OLIPHANT, Trial Attorney
Wildlife & Marine Resources Section

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

WILLIAM J. SHAPIRO, Trial Attorney
Natural Resources Section

Attorneys for Federal Defendants

MICHAEL R. SHERWOOD
ERIN M. TOBIN

By: /s/ Erin M. Tobin

Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors

KATHERINE S. POOLE
DOUG OBEGI

Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor NRDC

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 6, 2010

/s/ Oliver W. Wanger
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE