1	COUNSEL IDENTIFICATION ON FINAL	PAGE
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		CASE NOS.
11	CONSOLIDATED SALMON CASES	1:09-cv-1053-LJO-DLB
12	SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY, et al. v. GARY F. LOCKE,	1:09-cv-1090-LJO-DLB 1:09-cv-1378-LJO-DLB
13	et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1053)	1:09-cv-1520-LJO-DLB 1:09-cv-1580-LJO-DLB
14	STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT v. NOAA, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1090)	1:09-cv-1625-LJO-SMS
15	STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v. GARY F. LOCKE, et al.	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES
16	(Case No. 1:09-cv-1378)	AND/OR COSTS
17	KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY, et al. v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE,	Judge: Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill
18	et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1520)	
19	OAKDALE IRRIGATION DISTRICT, et al. v. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE,	
20	et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1580)	
21	METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA v. NMFS, et al.	
22	(Case No. 1:09-cv-1625)	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 Kronick, Moskovitz,		-1-
TIEDEMANN & GIRARD ATTORNEYS AT LAW SACRAMENTO	992407.1 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE M	MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND/OR COSTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

## RECITALS

A. On December 12, 2011, the Court entered its "Final Judgment (Including Schedule for Remand)" (Doc. 655) ("Final Judgment") in the above-captioned *Consolidated Salmon Cases*. Paragraph (B) of the Final Judgment provides as follows:

"The parties shall meet and confer regarding any request by Plaintiffs, or any of them, and/or DWR for recovery of attorneys' fees and/or costs. Any motion for recovery of attorneys' fees and/or costs shall be filed on or before March 1, 2012."

(Final Judgment at 3:11-13.) The Final Judgment remains in effect except as modified by this stipulation and [proposed] order.

В. On January 19, 2012, the Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations/Institute for Fisheries Research, The Bay Institute, California Trout, Friends of the River, Natural Resources Defense Council, Northern California Council of the Federation of Fly Fishers, San Francisco Baykeeper, Sacramento River Preservation Trust, and the Winnemem Wintu Tribe, Defendant-Intervenors in the above-captioned Consolidated Salmon Cases, appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit from the Final Judgment entered in the action, and all interlocutory orders and decisions contributing to that Final Judgment. (Doc. 661.) On February 10, 2012, Federal Defendants James W. Balsiger, Michael L. Connor, Donald R. Glaser, Gary Locke, Jane Lubchenco, Rodney R. McInnis, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Ken Salazar, Lester Snow, United States Bureau of Reclamation, United States Department of Commerce, United States Department of the Interior filed a notice of appeal (Doc. 676). On February 9, 2012, notices of cross-appeal were filed by Plaintiffs San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority and Westlands Water District (Doc. 667), State Water Contractors (Doc. 669), Coalition for a Sustainable Delta and Kern County Water Agency (Doc. 671), and Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (Doc. 672).

28

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

-2-

1	///			
2	C. The parties, by and through their respective counsel of record, as identified below,			
3	have met and conferred and hereby seek to continue the deadline for any motion for recovery of			
4	attorneys' fees and/or costs.			
5	STIPULATION			
6	The parties hereby stipulate as follows regarding any motion for recovery of attorneys'			
7	fees and/or costs:			
8	1. Plaintiffs San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority, Westlands Water District,			
9	State Water Contractors, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, Coalition for a			
10	Sustainable Delta, Kern County Water Agency, Stockton East Water District, Oakdale Irrigation			
11	District, and South San Joaquin Irrigation District ("Plaintiffs"), or any of them, and/or Plaintiff-			
12	Intervenor California Department of Water Resources ("DWR") shall be entitled to file any			
13	motion for recovery of attorneys' fees and/or costs ("Fee Motion") beyond the date specified in			
14	paragraph (B) of the Final Judgment.			
15	2. Any Fee Motion shall be filed by Plaintiffs, or by any of them, and/or by DWR no			
16	later than 90 days following the entry of a final order disposing of all appeals and cross-appeals in			
17	the above-captioned Consolidated Salmon Cases.			
18				
19	Dated: February 21, 2012 H. CRAIG MANSON			
20	Westlands Water District DIEPENBROCK ELKIN, LLP			
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	A Professional Corporation KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD			
23	A Professional Corporation			
24	Dry /s/ [Daniel I O'Hauleu]			
25	By <u>/s/ [Daniel J. O'Hanlon]</u> DANIEL J. O'HANLON EILEEN M. DIEPENBROCK			
26	Attorneys for Plaintiffs SAN LUIS & DELTA- MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY and			
27	WESTLANDS WATER DISTRICT			
28				
20 OVITZ,	-3-			

KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ,
TIEDEMANN & GIRARD
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SACRAMENTO

P92407.1

1		
2	Dated: February 21, 2012	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK LLP
3		
4		By
5		MICHELLE C. KALES Attorneys for Plaintiffs WESTLANDS WATER
6		DISTRÍCT
7	Dated: February 21, 2012	BEST BEST & KRIEGER, LLP
8		
9		By/s/ [Gregory K. Wilkinson] GREGORY K. WILKINSON
10		STEVEN M. ANDERSON
12		Attorneys for Plaintiff STATE WATER CONTRACTORS
13	Dated: February 21, 2012	MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP
14		
15		By/s/ [Christopher J. Carr] CHRISTOPHER J. CARR
16		WILLIAM M. SLOAN
17		Attorneys for Plaintiff METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
18	Dated: February 21, 2012	NOSSAMAN LLP
19	Dated. February 21, 2012	NOSSAWAN LLF
20		By/s/ [Paul S. Weiland]
21		PAUL S. WEILAND AUDREY HUANG
22		Attorneys for Plaintiffs KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY and COALITION FOR A
23		SUSTAINABLE DELTA
24	Dated: February 21, 2012	HERUM CRABTREE
25		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		By <u>/s/ [Jennifer L. Spaletta]</u> JENNIFER L. SPALETTA
28		Attorneys for Plaintiff STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT
KOVITZ, GIRARD	992407.1	-4-
Law	CTIDULATION AND IDDODO	OSEDI ORDER RE MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND/OR COSTS

KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ,
TIEDEMANN & GIRARD
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SACRAMENTO

1		
2	Dated: February 21, 2012	O'LAUGHLIN & PARIS LLP
3		
4		By/s/ [William C. Paris III] WILLIAM C. PARIS III
5		Attorneys for Plaintiffs OAKDALE IRRIGATION DISTRICT and SOUTH
6		SAN JOAQUIN IRRIGATION DISTRICT
7	Dated: February 21, 2012	KAMALA D. HARRIS
8		Attorney General of the State of California
9		
10 11		By/s/ [Clifford T. Lee] CLIFFORD T. LEE CECILIA L. DENNIS
12		ALLISON GOLDSMITH Deputies Attorney General
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff in Intervention CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER
14		RESOURCES
15	Dated: February 21, 2012	IGNACIA S. MORENO, Assistant Attorney General
16		United States Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Division
17		SETH M. BARSKY, Section Chief
18		By/s/ [Bridget Kennedy McNeil]
19		BRIDGET KENNEDY McNEIL, Trial Attorney Wildlife and Marine Resources Section
20		Attorneys for FEDERAL DEFENDANTS
21	Dated: February 21, 2012	NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL
22		
23		By /s/[Katherine S. Poole]
24		KATHERINE S. POOLE DOUG OBEGI Attornava for Defordent Intervenor NATURAL
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenor NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNSEL
27		
28		
KOVITZ, Girard	992407.1	-5-
Law	STIPULATION AND IPROPOS	EDI ORDER RE MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND/OR COSTS

KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ,
TIEDEMANN & GIRARD
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SACRAMENTO

1	
2	Dated: February 21, 2012 EARTHJUSTICE
3	
4	By <u>/s/ [Michael R. Sherwood]</u> MICHAEL R. SHERWOOD
5	ERIN M. TOBIN Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors CALIFORNIA
6	TROUT, FRIENDS OF THE RIVER, NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, NORTHERN
7	CALIFORNIA COUNCIL OF THE FEDERATION OF FLY FISHERS, PACIFIC COAST
8	FEDERATION OF FISHERMEN'S ASSOCIATIONS/INSTITUTE FOR FISHERIES
9	RESOURCES, SACRAMENTO RIVER PRESERVATION TRUST, SAN FRANCISCO
10 11	BAYKEEPER, THE BAY INSTITUTE, WINNEMEM WINTU TRIBE
12	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	
15	Dated: February 21, 2012 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 KOVITZ,	992407.1
GIRARD Law	992407.1  STIPLII ATION AND IPPOPOSEDI OPDER RE MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND/OR COSTS

KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ,
TIEDEMANN & GIRARD
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SACRAMENTO