27

28

1	COUNSEL IDENTIFICATION ON FOLLOW	WING PAGE
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		DISTRICT COURT
11	EASTERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
12		
13	THE CONSOLIDATED SALMONID CASES	Case No. 1:09-cv-01053-LJO-DLB
14 15	SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY, et al. v. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1053)	CONSOLIDATED WITH 1:09-cv-01090-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-01378-OWW-SMS
16 17	STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT, et al. v. NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION, et al.	1:09-cv-01578-OWW-SMS 1:09-cv-01520-OWW-SMS 1:09-cv-01580-OWW-DLB 1:09-cv-01625-OWW-SMS
18	(Case No. 1:09-cv-1090)	PLAINTIFF STATE WATER
19	STATE WATER CONTRACTORS v. LOCKE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1378)	CONTACTORS' AND FEDERAL DEFENDANTS' FOURTH JOINT
20 21	KERN COUNTY WATER AGENCY, et al. v. UNITED STATED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1520)	STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING STAY REGARDING MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND OTHER EXPENSES
22	OAKDALE IRRIGATION DISTRICT, et al.	Judge: Hon. Lawrence J. O'Neill
23	v. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1580)	Date: Time:
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA v. NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE, et al. (Case No. 1:09-cv-1625)	Courtroom:

FOURTH STIPULATION AND ORDER RE STAY OF MOTION FOR FEES AND OTHER EXPENSES

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	To facilitate settlement discussions, this Court has previously ordered a stay of the
2	briefing related to the Plaintiff State Water Contractors' ("SWC") motion for an award of
3	attorneys' fees and other expenses (Doc. 772) ("SWC Attorneys' Fees Motion") against
4	Defendants Sally Jewell, Secretary of Interior, et al. ("Federal Defendants"), as stipulated to by
5	these parties. See Docs. 771, 779, 781. Through these settlement discussions, these parties have
6	reached a recommended agreement in principle to settle the SWC Attorneys' Fees Motion,
7	subject to review within the Department of Justice and the Department of Interior.
8	To allow additional time for the review of the proposed settlement, the SWC and Federal

Defendants jointly request that this Court extend the stay on the SWC Attorneys' Fees Motion for an additional 45 days, to January 29, 2016. This extension coincides with the deadline requested by Plaintiff San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority and the Federal Defendants for reviewing their settlement in principle. See Doc. 784.

In support of this request, the SWC and Federal Defendants stipulate, as follows:

- 1. On March 20, 2015, the SWC filed a motion for an award of attorneys' fees and other expenses for this litigation. Doc. 772.
- 2. On March 19, 2015, these parties stipulated and the Court approved the parties' stipulation to stay further briefing and argument on the SWC Attorneys' Fees Motion until September 15, 2015, to allow for settlement discussions. Docs. 765, 771. That order directed the parties to apprise the Court of any settlement or further requested action, or jointly propose a briefing schedule to address the SWC's motion for fees and other expenses if there was no settlement, upon expiration of the stay.
- 3. On September 14, 2015, the SWC and Federal Defendants stipulated, and on September 16, 2015, the Court approved the SWC's and Federal Defendants' stipulation, to further stay the briefing and argument on the SWC Attorneys' Fees Motion until September 29, 2015, to allow completion of pending settlement discussions. Docs. 776, 779.
- 4. On September 28, 2015, the SWC and Federal Defendants stipulated, and on September 29, 2015, the Court approved the SWC's and Federal Defendants' stipulation, to further stay the briefing and argument on the SWC Attorneys' Fees Motion until December 15,

27

28

1	2015. Docs. 780, 781.	
2	5. The SWC and Federal Defendants have reached a recommended agreement in	
3	principle to settle the SWC's claims in the SWC Attorneys' Fees Motion. Before any settlement	
4	can be concluded, the proposed settlement terms must be reviewed within and approved by the	
5	Department of Justice and the Department of Interior. If the recommended settlement agreement	
6	is eventually adopted, the SWC and Federal Defendants agree that further briefing and argument	
7	on the SWC Attorneys' Fees Motion, and a decision by this Court, will be unnecessary. A stay	
8	will therefore conserve the parties' and the Court's resources.	
9	6. Based on the joint stipulation set forth above, the parties respectfully request that	
10	this Court extend the stay of briefing and argument on the SWC Attorneys' Fees Motion until	
11	January 29, 2015. By that date, the parties will either report that settlement has been completed	
12	and the SWC is withdrawing its motion for fees and expenses, or request other action by the	
13	Court in the absence of a completed settlement.	
14	Respectfully submitted this 14th day of December 2015.	
15		
16	Dated: December 14, 2015  BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP  By: /s/ Steven G. Martin	
17	Steven M. Anderson Steven G. Martin	
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff, STATE WATER CONTRACTORS	
19	Dated: December 14, 2015  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	
20	Environmental & Natural Resources Division  By: /s/ William Shapiro	
21	William Shapiro, Trial Attorney Attorneys for FEDERAL DEFENDANTS	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23	Dated: December 15, 2015 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill	
24	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
25		
26		