1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3		
4		
5 6	LINZI LARSON,	1:09cv1060 LJO SKO
7 8	Plaintiff <u>pro se</u> , v.	ORDER SETTING MANDATORY SCHEDULING CONFERENCE
9 10	CONEWANGO PRODUCTS CORP. and JEFFREY PERKINS,	DATE: 7/7/2010 TIME: 10:00 A.M.
10	Defendants.	COURTROOM EIGHT
12	/	MAGISTRATE JUDGE SHEILA K. OBERTO
13		

14 No scheduled dates are currently in place in this matter; therefore, it is ordered that you appear for a formal scheduling conference before U.S. Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto in Courtroom Eight, United States Courthouse, 2500 Tulare Street, Fresno, California, 93721, on the 16 date and time stated above.

18 Attendance at the Scheduling Conference is mandatory upon each party not represented by 19 counsel, or alternatively, by retained counsel. Only counsel who are thoroughly familiar with the 20 facts and law of the instant case, and who have full authority to bind his or her client, shall appear. Trial Counsel should participate in this Scheduling Conference whenever possible.

22 A Joint Scheduling Report, carefully prepared and executed by all counsel, shall be filed with the Clerk of the Court, in full compliance with the requirements as set forth in Exhibit "A" attached 23 24 hereto one (1) full week prior to the Scheduling Conference.

25 For reference purposes, the Court requires that counsel's Joint Scheduling Report indicate 26 the date, time, and courtroom of the Scheduling Conference opposite the caption on the first page 27 of the Report.

15

17

21

Among other things, counsel will be expected to discuss the possibility of settlement. Counsel are to thoroughly discuss settlement with each other before undertaking the preparation of the Joint Scheduling Report and engaging in extensive discovery. However, even if settlement negotiations are progressing, counsel are expected to comply with the requirements of this Order unless otherwise excused by the Court. If the case is settled, please promptly inform the Court, and counsel's presence, as well as the joint Scheduling Report, will not be required.

7 Counsel whose office is more than fifty (50) miles from the Courthouse may request 8 that they attend by telephonic conference. If two or more parties wish to appear telephonically, 9 counsel shall decide which will be responsible for making prior arrangements for the conference call 10 with the AT&T operator (if counsel do not have conference call capabilities on their telephone 11 systems), and shall initiate the call at the above-designated time. After all parties are on the line, the call should then be placed to Judge Oberto's chambers at (559) 499-5790. Additionally, 12 13 counsel are directed to indicate on the face page of their Joint Scheduling Report that the 14 conference will be telephonic.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 28, 2010

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT "A"

At least twenty (20) days before the scheduled Scheduling Conference, the actual trial counsel for all parties shall conduct and conclude a conference at a time and place arranged by counsel for the Plaintiff. This conference shall be preferably a personal conference between counsel, but due to the distances involved in this District, a telephonic conference call involving all counsel is permissible. The Joint Scheduling Conference Statement shall respond to the following items by corresponding number paragraphs:

Summary of the factual and legal contentions set forth in the pleadings of each party,
 including the relief sought by any party presently before the Court.

Any proposed amendment to the pleadings presently on file shall be filed by its
 proponent contemporaneously with the Scheduling Conference Report. If the matter cannot be
 resolved at the Scheduling Conference, the matter will be set as a Motion to Amend in accordance
 with the Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California.

14

3.

8.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

A summary detailing the uncontested and contested facts.

4. A summary of the legal issues as to which there is no dispute, <u>i.e.</u>, jurisdiction, venue,
applicable federal or state law, etc., as well as a summary of the disputed legal issues.

17 5. The position of the parties with respect to consenting to jurisdiction of the U.S.
18 Magistrate Judge for all purposes, including trial.

19 6. The status of all matters which are presently set before the Court, <u>i.e.</u>, hearing all
20 motions, etc.

21 7. A complete and detailed discovery plan, including a firm cut-off date for discovery,
22 as outlined in Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f)(2) and a proposed date for disclosure of expert witnesses.

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dates agreed to by all counsel for:

- (a) Filing pre-trial motions, with the understanding that motions will not be entertained after the agreed upon date (which shall be at least 45 days prior to the proposed Pre-Trial Conference date).
- (b) Settlement Conference date.
 - (c) Pre-Trial Conference date.

-3-

(d) Trial date.

2 All of these dates should be considered firm dates. Dates should be set to allow the 3 Court to decide any matters under submission before the Pre-Trial Conference is set.

9. At the conference referred to above, counsel are encouraged to discuss settlement, 4 5 and the Court will expect a statement in the Joint Scheduling Report as to the possibility of settlement. Counsel shall indicate whether they feel a settlement conference is desired, and when 6 7 it should occur, *i.e.*, before further discovery, after discovery, after pre-trial motions, etc.

10. A statement as to whether the case is a jury or non-jury case.

9 11. An estimate of the number of trial days required. When counsel cannot agree, each 10 party shall give his or her estimate.

11 12. Whether either party requests bifurcation of trial or has any other suggestion for shortening trial. It should be noted that all federal tort claims cases are bifurcated as a matter of 12 13 course.

14 13. Whether this matter is related to any matter pending in this court or any other court, including any bankruptcy court. 15

16

1

8

Should counsel fail to appear at the Scheduling Conference or fail to comply with the directions set out above, an ex parte hearing may be held, and judgment of dismissal or default or other appropriate judgment may be entered, or sanctions, including contempt of court, may be imposed or ordered.

28