(PC)Prophet	t v. Clark et al
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	INITED CTATEC DICTRICT COLUDT
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	JOSEPH DANNY PROPHET, CASE NO. 1:09-cv-1066-OWW-MJS (PC)
9	Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING CASE FOR FAILURE TO PAY FILING FEE
10	V.
11	KEN CLARK, et al.,
12	Defendants.
13	
14	Plaintiff Joseph Danny Prophet, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights
15	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On May 28, 2010, the Court issued an order finding that
16	Plaintiff was precluded from proceeding in forma pauperis in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
17	1915(g) and ordering Plaintiff to pay the \$350.00 filing fee in full within thirty days. Plaintiff was
18	warned that if he failed to pay the filing fee, this action would be dismissed.
19	More than thirty days have passed and Plaintiff has not paid the filing fee or otherwise
20	responded to the Court's order. Accordingly, this action is HEREBY DISMISSED, without
21	prejudice, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915g.
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	Dated: July 13, 2010 /s/ Oliver W. Wanger UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24	
25	1 Section 1015(a) analysides that "Film no expent shall a missaner being a civil action — and on this section if
26	¹ Section 1915(g) provides that "[i]n no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action under this section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state
27	a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury." The following cases support the Court's finding that Plaintiff became subject to section 1915(g) prior to the
28	date he filed this action: Prophet v. Dunham, et al., 1:07-cv-1372-OWW-DLB (PC); Prophet v. Clark, et al., 1:08-cv-1748-SMS (PC); Prophet v. CDCR, et al., 2:06-cv-02822-FCD-EFB (PC).

Doc. 9