| 1  |  |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  |  |  |
| 3  |  |  |
| 4  |  |  |
| 5  |  |  |
| 6  |  |  |
| 7  | UNITED STATES  | DISTRICT COURT   |
| 8  | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |  |
| 9  |  |  |
| 10 | LANCE R. MARTIN,   | CASE NO. 1:09-cv-01081-OWW-DLB (PC)                            |
| 11 | Plaintiff,   | ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND<br>RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING     |
| 12 | V.   | PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR INJUNCTIVE<br>RELIEF WITHOUT PREJUDICE |
| 13 | L. M. VASQUEZ, et al.,   | (Docs. 5, 16)  |
| 14 | Defendants.  | (Docs. 5, 10)  |
| 15 | /  |  |
| 16 |  |  |
| 17 | Plaintiff Lance R. Martin ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma         |  |
| 18 | pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a    |  |
| 19 | United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72-302.         |  |
| 20 | On November 3, 2009, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings and Recommendations                     |  |
| 21 | herein which was served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objection to |  |
| 22 | the Findings and Recommendations was to be filed within thirty days. Plaintiff filed an            |  |
| 23 | Objection to the Findings and Recommendations on November 20, 2009.                                |  |
| 24 | In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a          |  |
| 25 | de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the        |  |
| 26 | Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.                 |  |
| 27 | //   |  |
| 28 | //   |  |
|    | 1  |  |
|    |  |  |

| 1  |  |  |
|----|--|--|
| 1  | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:  |  |
| 2  | 1. The <u>Findings and Recommendations</u> , filed on November 3, 2009, is adopted in          |  |
| 3  | full; and  |  |
| 4  | 2. Plaintiff's <u>request for injunctive relief</u> , filed on July 6, 2009, is denied without |  |
| 5  | prejudice.   |  |
| 6  | IT IS SO ORDERED.  |  |
| 7  | Dated:November 24, 2009/s/ Oliver W. WangerUNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE                        |  |
| 8  |  |  |
| 9  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |
|    | 2  |  |
|    |  |  |