1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER 1:09-cv-1190 OWW SKO CORPORATION, FINAL PRETRIAL ORDER 10 Plaintiff, 11 Motion in Limine Date: 3/17/11 12:00 Ctrm. 3 12 BURTON OLSON TRUCKING COMPANY, Trial Date: 3/29/11 9:00 Ctrm. 3 (JT-12 days) 13 JOSE MARTINEZ, E.M. THARP, INC., 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE This Federal Court has original jurisdiction over the 18 1. 19 claims against defendant National Railroad Passenger Corporation 20 ("Amtrak") because it was incorporated by an Act of Congress (45 21 U.S.C. §§ 501, et seq.) and the United States of America owns more than 50% of Amtrak's capital stock (28 U.S.C. § 1349). 22 Re Rail Collision Near Chase, Maryland (D. Md. 1987) 680 F. Supp. 23 24 728, 731.) 2. 25 BNSF claims jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 26 (diversity). 27 3. No party contests jurisdiction or venue. 28 ///

#### II. JURY/NON-JURY

All parties have demanded a jury trial of all issues.
 III. FACTS

## A. Undisputed Facts

- a. Amtrak is chartered under the laws of the District of Columbia and has its principal place of business in the District of Columbia. Amtrak is authorized by Congress to engage in business in the State of California as a rail passenger carrier.
- b. BNSF is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Fort Worth, Texas. BNSF operates a railroad business throughout the United States, including the State of California.
- c. Burton Olson is a sole proprietorship that maintains its principal place of business in the City of Kingsburg, Fresno County, California. It was the employer of Martinez at the time of the accident.
- d. Martinez is an individual and is a resident of the City of Tipton, Tulare County, State of California. He was an employee of Burton Olson at the time of the accident.
- e. E.M. Tharp, Inc. is a corporation licensed to do business in the State of California. E.M. Tharp, Inc. maintains its principal place of business in the City of Porterville, Tulare County, California.
- f. The case concerns a collision that occurred May 28, 2008, at the railroad crossing located at Kansas Avenue, near Tenth Avenue, Hanford, Kings County, California.
  - g. The railroad crossing has two sets of tracks-the

BNSF main line and a siding. The main line runs generally north/south and Kansas Avenue runs generally east/west.

- h. The main line is a Class 5 track with maximum authorized timetable speed of 79 mph.
- i. The accident involved a tractor trailer owned by Burton Olson, driven by Martinez; a passenger train operated by Amtrak; and a freight train owned and operated by BNSF.
- j. Martinez was driving the tractor trailer westbound on Kansas Avenue. The Amtrak train was traveling northbound on the main line. The BNSF freight train was stationary, facing southbound, in the siding to the east of the mainline.
- k. The subject railroad crossing was equipped with the following train activated warning devices: mast-mounted flashing lights, automatic gates, and gongs. Prior to the incident the tractor and dolly had been serviced by E.M. Tharp, Inc.
- 1. For westbound traffic on Kansas Avenue, there are two yellow warning signs, one approximately 960 feet east of the tracks, and the other one approximately 560 east of the tracks. These warning signs advise westbound traffic that there are two railroad tracks ahead and to prepare to stop when the warning devices start flashing.
- m. The tractor trailer collided with the northbound Amtrak train, broad siding the locomotive and causing one of its passenger cars to derail. The derailed passenger car then sideswiped the stopped BNSF locomotive.
- n. There is no contributory negligence on the part of Amtrak or BNSF.

- o. Amtrak sustained damages to one locomotive and four cars.
- p. Amtrak performed in-house mechanical repairs on Units 8205, 8011 and 8801.
- q. Amtrak contracted with Alstom (independent repair facility) to repair aspects of Units 8205, 8011 and 8801.
- r. BNSF owned the property on which the tracks at the crossing were located. BNSF and independent contractors it retained re-railed the Amtrak train and made repairs to the tracks and equipment at the crossing as a result of the accident.
- s. BNSF locomotives 112 and 160 were damaged in the accident and were repaired at BNSF facilities at Barstow, California, and Topeka, Kansas.

### B. Disputed Facts

- The scope of work requested by Burton Olson of E.M.
   Tharp, with respect to repair work.
- b. The actual scope of repair, service and inspection performed by E.M. Tharp.
- c. Whether Burton Olson requested E.M. Tharp to conduct a thorough inspection of the tractor and dolly when it brought the tractor trailer for service on May 8, 2008.
- d. Whether the automatic slack adjusters on the tractor were defective and in need of replacement when it was brought to E.M. Tharp on May 8, 2008.
- e. Whether E.M. Tharp performed any brake work on axle 2, passenger's side, of the tractor while being serviced in May 2008.
  - f. Whether E.M. Tharp performed any brake service

inspection.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Whether employees of Burton Olson performed the i. required pre-trip/operation inspections of Burton Olson equipment.
- Whether Burton Olson performed proper maintenance j. of its equipment.
- Whether Burton Olson employees inspected the k. brakes on the tractor trailer after E.M. Tharp's service in May 2008.
- Whether Burton Olson employees adjusted the brakes 1. on the tractor trailer after E.M. Tharp's service in May 2008.
- Whether Burton Olson enforced a company protocol for inspecting equipment prior to daily operation.
- Whether the repair damages claimed by Amtrak, were reasonable, necessary and actually performed.
- ο. Whether the repair damages claimed by BNSF were reasonable, necessary and actually performed.

#### DISPUTED EVIDENTIARY ISSUES

- 1. Plaintiffs Amtrak and BNSF:
- The ability of E.M. Tharp and Burton Olson's a. expert witnesses to testify on issues including, but not limited to, causation.
  - b. The admissibility of certain regulations and

standards relating to the condition of the brakes on the subject tractor-trailer.

c. Whether the testimony of defendants' experts meet the standards held in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals*,

Inc. (1993) 509 U.S. 579.

These issues will be addressed by motions in limine.

Defendant Burton Olson:

a. Burton Olson and Martinez will object to the use of estimates of repair costs on grounds of relevance. It is their understanding that the repairs have been made. Thus the estimate of these repair costs by Mr. Yeaser is not relevant.

# Defendant E.M. Tharp:

- a. E.M. Tharp objects to the use of estimates of repair costs on grounds of relevance, speculation, and best evidence.
- b. E.M. Tharp objects to the claimed damages of Amtrak and BNSF as they claim prejudgment interest prior to suffering the monetary loss and for items of damages for which prejudgment interest is not recoverable.
- c. E.M. Tharp objects that evidence and documents which were demanded for production were not produced in a timely manner and withheld. They were not produced for use by Burton Olson, Martinez or E.M. Tharp's damage expert prior to preparation of his expert analysis.
- d. The ability of Amtrak and BNSF experts to testify on any issues including, but not limited to, causation.
- e. Whether the testimony of plaintiffs' experts meet the standards held in Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals,

Inc. (1993) 509 U.S. 579.

# V. SPECIAL FACTUAL INFORMATION

1. See Undisputed Facts and Disputed Factual Issues above.

### VI. RELIEF SOUGHT

a. Amtrak is claiming damages for equipment repair, loss of use of equipment, train delay, reimbursement of passenger injury settlements, work element costs and prejudgment interest.

Equipment Damage (completed repairs by Alstom)	\$1,566,330
Equipment Damage (completed repairs by Amtrak)	\$187,041
Damage Estimate (yet-to-be-completed repairs)	\$120,809
Loss of Use	\$185,880
Debt Service Passenger Inconvenience	\$19,230 \$ 3,572
Passenger Settlements	\$52,097
Amtrak General & Administrative Expense (6.54%)	\$123,523
TOTAL	\$2,258,482
Pre-judgment Interest	\$640,419
TOTAL	\$2,898,901

Amtrak is entitled to prejudgment interest under Civil Code § 3287, subd. (a) since its damages are certain or capable of being made certain by calculation. Civil Code § 3287, subd. (a) applies to tort actions for property damage. Levy-Zenter Co. v. Southern Pac Transportation Co. (1977) 74 Cal.App.3d 762, 769-770. Prejudgment interest stated above was calculated at the legal rate of 10% under Civil Code § 3289 from the date of the accident, May 28, 2008 to the date of trial, March 29, 2011 (1,035 days).

b. <u>BNSF</u> is claiming damages for labor, materials, train delay, incentive payments to employees and other losses as follows:

1	Maintenance of Way Labor \$40,859.68
2	Maintenance of Way Material \$59,380.60 Material Tax, Handling, and Freight \$10,457.99 Material Credit (272.65)
3	Invoice Payments \$51,389.30
4	Locomotives-damaged \$47,828.01 Locomotives-transportation to \$8,379.21
5	
	shop(s) Locomotives-monthly minimum mileage \$18,683 .20
6	Locomotives loss of use/opportunity \$151,939 .20 Train/Locomotive Delay and Related \$24,145.11
7	<del>-</del>
8	Crew Expenses Amtrak Incentives \$6,500.00
9	SUBTOTAL LABOR, MATERIAL AND OTHER \$419,289.65
	CHARGES
10	Payments to Gerardo Urzua Advance payment \$8,850
11	Medical payments \$98
12	Total payments to Gerardo \$8,948
	Urzua
13	Payments to Victor Baca Advance payment \$11,500.00
14	Medical payments \$1,620.35
15	Total payments to Victor Baca \$ 13,120.35  Payments to Phil Patterson
	Advance payment \$38,275
16	Medical payments \$160,314.15 Total payments to Phil \$198,589.15
17	
18	Patterson SUBTOTAL PAYMENTS TO EMPLOYEES \$220,657.50
19	Pre-judgment Interest \$181,464.46 TOTAL \$821,411.61
20	BNSF is entitled to prejudgment interest under Civil Code §
21	3287, subd. (a) since its damages are certain or capable of being
22	made certain by calculation. Civil Code § 3287, subd. (a)
23	applies to tort actions for property damage. Levy-Zenter Co. $v$ .
24	Southern Pac Transportation Co. (1977) 74 Cal.App.3d 762, 769-
25	770. Prejudgment interest stated above was calculated at the
26	legal rate of 10% under Civil Code $\S$ 3289 from the date of the
27	accident, May 28, 2008 to the date of trial, March 29, 2011
28	(1,035 days).

c. <u>Burton Olson and Martinez</u>: Amtrak is claiming damage in the amount of \$2,064,126.27 which includes \$1,800,000.00 for repairs; \$185,000.00 for loss of equipment and \$19,230 as Debt Service. BNSF is claiming damages in the amount of \$226,348.55 which includes \$57,828.01 for repair of two locomotives; \$151,939.20 for loss of use; \$16,581.34 for mileage cost; and \$161,000 for track repairs. Defendants have retained expert Doug Morgan of Railroad Industries, Inc. to evaluate both the Amtrak and BNSF claims.

As to the Amtrak claim, Mr. Morgan states that the photographs of the property do not depict damage severe enough to warrant such a high figure. Further, the cost of repairs comes from estimates and appraisals and not actual invoices. As to the loss of equipment (\$185,000), Mr. Morgan states that this claim has not been proven or substantiated and that charges should be based upon actual repair time and not "shop" time which could easily delay repair time.

With regards to the BNSF claim, Mr. Morgan disputes the loss of use claim (\$151,939.20). He states that BNSF is unable to prove that it was inconvenienced in that there are a number of stored serviceable locomotives that could have been used. As to the mileage cost (\$16,581.34), Mr. Morgan is of the opinion that this is really just an attempt at double billing.

In conclusion, Mr. Morgan stated that the costs and claims of both Amtrak and BNSF could have been reduced by over 50%.

VII. DISPUTED ISSUES OF LAW

### A. Plaintiffs

1. The legal theories by plaintiffs against defendants

Martinez, Burton Olson Trucking, and E.M. Tharp are based on 1 2 basic claims of negligence, violations of applicable standards of 3 care for a tractor-trailer operator, owner, trucking company and maintenance facility. The theories against the defendants are 4 based upon common law and statutory law. The statutory and 5 regulatory violations include, but are not limited to, Commercial 6 7 Vehicle Safety Alliance (CVSA) North American Standard Out-of-Service Criteria, as adopted 13 CCR § 1239 and California Vehicle 8 Code § 24002. 9 VIII. ABANDONED ISSUES 10 1. 11 None. 12 IX. WITNESSES 13 Α. Plaintiffs

1. Desl Rementeria Alstom Employee

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 2. Tommy Aspinwall Alstom Employee
- 3. Linda Cunningham Alstom Contracts Manager
- 4. Ivan Iosif Amtrak Employee
- 5. Richard Campbell Amtrak Employee
- 6. Richard Olson Amtrak Employee
- 7. William Countess Amtrak Employee
- 8. Nancy Miller Amtrak Employee
- 9. Robert Cooley, Jr. Amtrak Employee
- 10. Steve Wong Amtrak Employee

1	11.	Brad Queen Barstow
2	12.	Ralph Hunt
3	12.	Barstow
4	13.	Dennis Skeels BNSF Employee
5	14.	Gabriel Chavez
6		BNSF Employee
7	15.	April Bacon BNSF Employee
8	16.	Augustine Moreno
9		BNSF Employee
10	17.	Clarence P. Newell BNSF Employee
11	18.	Daniel Rivera
12		BNSF Employee
13	19.	Gerry Urzua BNSF Employee
14 15	20.	James C. Newell BNSF Employee
16	21.	Ken Schoenborn
	21.	BNSF Employee
17	22.	M.L. Koogler
18		BNSF Employee
19	23.	Mary Jo Struttman
20		BNSF Employee
21	24.	Phil Patterson BNSF Employee
22	25.	Shaun Lewis
23		BNSF Employee
24	26.	Victor Baca BNSF Employee
25	27.	Ward Greisen
26		BNSF Employee Barstow
27	28.	Curtis Meyer
28		BNSF Employee Topeka

1 2	29.	Rick Galvan BNSF Employee Topeka
3	30.	Mary Jo Struttman
4		BNSF Employee; expert
5	31.	Burton Olson Burton Olson
6	32.	Jose Juan Martinez Burton Olson
7	33	Jose Rosas
8	33.	Burton Olson
9	34.	Luz Collins Burton Olson
10		
11	35.	Mike Munoz Burton Olson
12	36.	Sebrino Piceno Burton Olson
13		
14	37.	Sharon Olson Burton Olson
15	38.	John Willbanks
16		Burton Olson (former employee)
17	39.	Guillen Ezekiel California Highway Patrol
18	40.	Officer R. Chennault
19		California Highway Patrol (Commercial), Badge 11522
20	41.	Stephen Profera
21		California Highway Patrol (MAIT), ID A6477
22	42.	Marvin Sprinkman California Highway Patrol (MAIT), ID A8248
23	43.	Officer E. Guillen
24		California Highway Patrol, Badge 011336
25	44.	Officer B. O'Dell California Highway Patrol, Badge 12177
26	45.	Sergeant Dan Gilmore
27		California Highway Patrol, Badge 13686
28	46.	Officer J. Lehner California Highway Patrol, Badge 13808

1		47.	Andy Morse CalTrans
2		48.	Dennis Winger
3			CalTrans
4		49.	Aaron Johnson E.M. Tharp
5		50	Brian Norden
6		50.	E.M. Tharp
7		51.	Dean Rector E.M. Tharp
8		<b>E</b> 2	-
9		52.	Dennis Waggoner E.M. Tharp
10		53.	Edward Rockholt
11		E 4	E.M. Tharp
12		<b>54</b> .	Scott Hobson E.M. Tharp
13		55.	Tim Mulvihill E.M. Tharp
14		56.	-
15		56.	E.M. Tharp (former employee [mechanic])
16		57.	<pre>William LeGette E.M. Tharp (former employee [mechanic])</pre>
17		58.	Jeff Landstrom
18			E.M. Tharp Mechanic
19		59.	William Lawhead E.M. Tharp Parts Manager
20		60	•
21		60.	Eric Metzler E.M. Tharp Service Coordinator
22		61.	James Angle E.M. Tharp Shop Foreman
23		60	-
24		62.	Ashley Dunn, Ph.D. Expert
25		63.	Charles Yeaser Expert
26		61	-
27		64.	Douglas Morgan Expert
28	///		

1		<b>65</b> .	James Flynn, PE Expert
2		66.	-
3		00.	Expert
4		67.	V. Paul Herbert, C.P.S.A Expert
5		68.	Jesus Rojo
6		00.	18026 10th Avenue Hanford
7			Neighbor, witness
8		69.	Karen Schaefer United Healthcare
9	В.	DNCE	
10	Б.		's Witness List
11		1.	Jose Juan Martinez Burton Olson Trucking
12		2.	Sharon Olsen
13		2	Burton Olson Trucking
14		3.	M.L. Koogler BNSF Employee
15		4.	Mary Jo Struttman
16		_	BNFS Employee
17		5.	Phil Patterson BNSF Employee
18		6.	Victor Baca
19		_	BNSF Employee
20		7.	Gerry Urzua BNSF Employee
21		8.	James C. Newell
22		0	BNSF Employee
23		9.	Clarence P. Newell BNSF Employee
24		10.	Augustine Moreno BNSF Employee
25		11.	Daniel Rivera
26			BNSF Employee
27	///		
28	///		

1	12.	Jesus Rojo 18026 10th Ave.
2		Hanford Neighbor, witness
3	1.2	Officer E. Cuiller
4	13.	Officer E. Guillen Badge 011336, California Highway Patrol
5	14.	Officer J. Lehner Badge 13808, California Highway Patrol
6	15	
7	15.	Officer B. O'Dell Badge 12177, California Highway Patrol
8	16.	Sergeant D. Gilmour Badge 13686, California Highway Patrol
9	17	
10	17.	Officer R. Chennault Badge 11522, California Highway Patrol (Commercial)
11	18.	Marvin Sprinkman ID A8248, California Highway Patrol (MAIT)
12	10	
13	19.	Stephen Profera ID A6477, California Highway Patrol (MAIT)
14	20.	Robert Cooley, Jr. Amtrak employee
15		
16	21.	Steve Wong Amtrak employee
17	22.	James Flynn, PE Expert
18	0.2	
19	23.	V. Paul Herbert, C.P.S.A. Expert
20	24.	Mary Jo Struttman BNSF employee; expert
21		
22	25.	Ralph Hunt Barstow
23	26.	Brad Queen
24		Barstow
25	27.	Charles Yeaser Expert
26	28.	Ashley Dunn, Ph.D. Expert
27		
28	29.	Lew Grill Expert

1		30.	Jeff Landstrom E.M. Tharp mechanic
2		31.	William LeGette
3			E.M. Tharp (former employee [mechanic])
4		32.	John Willbanks Burton Olson former employee
5		22	
6		33.	Jonathan Nice E.M. Tharp (former employee [mechanic])
7		34.	Jim Angle E.M. Tharp shop foreman
8		25	-
9		35.	Eric Metzler E.M. Tharp service coordinator
10		36.	William Lawhead E.M. Tharp parts manager
11			
12		37.	Sebrino Piceno Burton Olson
13		38.	Mike Munoz Burton Olson
14			
15		39.	Karen Schaefer United Healthcare
16		40.	Rick Galvan BNSF employee, Topeka
17			
18		41.	Scott Hobson E.M. Tharp
19		42.	Brian Norden E.M. Tharp
20			•
21		43.	Dean Rector E.M. Tharp
22		44.	Dennis Waggoner E.M. Tharp
23			-
24		45.	Edward Rockholt E.M. Tharp
25		46.	Aaron Johnson E.M. Tharp
26		4-	
27		47.	Tim Mulvihill E.M. Tharp
28	111		

1		48.	Jose Rosas Burton Olson
2		40	
3		49.	Luz Collins Burton Olson
4		50.	Ken Schoenborn BNSF employee
5		51.	Shaun Lewis
6		<b>J1</b> .	BNSF employee
7		52.	April Bacon BNSF employee
8		53.	Curtic Mover
9		55.	Curtis Meyer BNSF employee, Topeka
10		54.	Ward Greisen BNSF employee, Barstow
11	c.	Dunt	• •
12	C.	1.	on Olson's and Martinez's Witness List  Jose Juan Martinez
13		1.	Burton Olson Trucking
14		2.	Sharon Olson Burton Olson Trucking
15		3.	M.L. Koogler
16		3.	BNSF Employee
17		4.	Mary Jo Struttman BNSF Employee
18		5.	Phil Patterson
19		J.	BNSF Employee
20		6.	Victor Baca BNSF Employee
21		7.	
22		7.	Gerry Urzua BNSF Employee
23		8.	James C. Newell BNSF Employee
24		^	
25		9.	Clarence P. Newell BNSF Employee
26		10.	Augustine Moreno BNSF Employee
27		4.4	
28		11.	Daniel Rivera BNSF Employee

1 2	12.	Jesus Rojo 18026 10th Ave. Hanford
3		Neighbor, witness
4	13.	Officer E. Guillen Badge 011336, California Highway Patrol
5	14.	Officer J. Lehner Badge 13808, California Highway Patrol
6	15.	
7	13.	Badge 12177, California Highway Patrol
8	16.	Sergeant D. Gilmour Badge 13686, California Highway Patrol
9	17.	Officer R. Chennault
10		Badge 11522, California Highway Patrol (Commercial)
11	18.	Marvin Sprinkman ID A8248, California Highway Patrol (MAIT)
12	19.	Stephen Profera
13	19.	ID A6477, California Highway Patrol (MAIT)
14	20.	Robert Cooley, Jr. Amtrak employee
15	21.	
16	21.	Amtrak employee
17	22.	James Flynn, PE Expert
18	23.	V. Paul Herbert, C.P.S.A.
19		Expert
20	24.	Mary Jo Struttman BNSF employee; expert
21	0.5	
22	25.	Ralph Hunt Barstow
23	26.	Brad Queen Barstow
24	07	
25	27.	Charles Yeaser Expert
26	28.	Ashley Dunn, Ph.D. Expert
27	00	
28	29.	Lew Grill Expert

1		30.	Jeff Landstrom E.M. Tharp mechanic
2		31	William LeGette
3		<b>31</b> .	E.M. Tharp (former employee [mechanic])
4		32.	John Willbanks Burton Olson former employee
5			
6		33.	Jonathan Nice E.M. Tharp (former employee [mechanic])
7		34.	Jim Angle E.M. Tharp shop foreman
8		25	
9		35.	Eric Metzler E.M. Tharp service coordinator
10		36.	William Lawhead E.M. Tharp parts manager
11			
12		37.	Sebrino Piceno Burton Olson
13		38.	Mike Munoz Burton Olson
14			
15		39.	Karen Schaefer United Healthcare
16		40.	Rick Galvan BNSF employee, Topeka
17			
18		41.	Scott Hobson E.M. Tharp
19		42.	Brian Norden E.M. Tharp
20			-
21		43.	Dean Rector E.M. Tharp
22		44.	Dennis Waggoner E.M. Tharp
23			-
24		45.	Edward Rockholt E.M. Tharp
25		46.	Aaron Johnson E.M. Tharp
26			<del>-</del>
27		47.	Tim Mulvihill E.M. Tharp
28	111		

1		48.	Jose Rosas Burton Olson
2		49.	Luz Collins
3			Burton Olson
4		50.	Ken Schoenborn BNSF employee
5		51.	Shaun Lewis
6		JI.	BNSF employee
7		52.	April Bacon
8		<b>5</b> 0	BNSF employee
9		53.	Curtis Meyer BNSF employee, Topeka
10		54.	Ward Greisen
11			BNSF employee, Barstow
12		55.	Investigating Personnel United States Department of Transportation
13			Federal Railroad Administration
14	D.		Tharp's Witness List
15		1.	Scott Hobson
16		2.	James Angle
17		3.	Eric Metzler
18		4.	Dean Rector
19		5.	Jeffrey Landstrom
20		6.	Edward Rockholt
21		7.	Tim Mulvihill
22		8.	Brian Nordin
23		9.	Dennis Waggoner
24		10.	William LeGette
25		11.	Aaron Johnson
26		12.	Jose Juan Martinez
27		13.	Jesus Rojo
28		14.	Joe Bernardino Morais
28			

1 15. Tony Rocha Parreira

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 16. Officer Ezekiel Guillen
- 17. Officer Bill O'Dell
  - 18. Officer J. Lehner
  - 19. Sergeant Damon Gilmore
  - 20. Marvin Sprinkman
  - 21. Steven Profera
  - 22. John Willbanks
  - 23. Jared Lehner
  - 24. Sharon Olson
- 11 25. Burton Olson
  - 26. William Lawhead
    - 27. Mary Jo Struttman
- 14 28. Nancy Miller
- 15 29. Ashley Dunn, Ph.D.
- 16 30. Lew Grill
- 17 31. Mike Munoz
  - 32. Douglas B. Morgan
- 19 33. Paul Herbert
  - 34. Jim Flynn
    - 35. Charles Yeaser
  - 36. Officer R.L. Chennault

Counsel are each ordered to submit a list of witnesses to the court along with a copy for use by the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, on the same date and at the same time as the list of exhibits are to be submitted as ordered below.

### CAUTION

Counsel are cautioned that expert witnesses, including

percipient experts, must be designated as such. No witness, not identified as a witness in this order, including "rebuttal" witnesses, will be sworn or permitted to testify at trial.

X. EXHIBITS, SCHEDULES AND SUMMARIES

The following is a list of documents or other exhibits that the parties expect to offer at trial.

## CAUTION

Only exhibits so listed will be permitted to be offered into evidence at trial, except as may be otherwise provided in this order. No exhibit not designated in this pretrial order shall be marked for identification or admitted into evidence at trial.

### A. Plaintiff Amtrak's Exhibit List

- 1. CHP Traffic collision report and supplemental MAIT report.
  - 2. U.S. DOT Crossing Inventory Information as of 6/4/2008.
- 3. Federal Railroad Administration Form F6180.97 BNSF Railway Company Highway/Rail Grade Crossing Accident/Incident.
  - 4. BNSF General Track Bulletin No. 27235.
  - 5. Two (2) photos of crossing arm post-accident.
- 6. One-hundred seventy-seven (177) photos of the accident scene, including the involved truck and trains, taken by BNSF.
- 7. Seventy-four (74) photos of the accident scene, including the involved truck and trains, taken by Amtrak.
- 8. BNSF Resource Operations Center Ticket Report dated 5/28/2008.
  - 9. BNSF Signal Ticket Report dated 5/28/2008.
- 10. BNSF Highway Grade Crossing Signal Post-Accident Test Check List.

- 11. BNSF Signal Maintenance and Repair Records for the subject crossing, dated June 2007 through May 2008.
  - 12. BNSF Bakersfield Subdivision Track Chart.

- 13. BNSF Spreadsheet detailing FRA track inspections (1/1/2007 to 5/29/2008).
- 14. Appraisal Systems Group Damage Appraisal Reports for Amtrak Unit 148.
- 15. Appraisal Systems Group Damage Appraisal Reports for Amtrak Unit C8205.
- 16. Appraisal Systems Group Damage Appraisal Reports for Amtrak Unit C8011.
- 17. Appraisal Systems Group Damage Appraisal Reports for Amtrak Unit C8801.
- 18. Appraisal Systems Group Damage Appraisal Reports for Amtrak Unit C8314.
  - 19. Passenger Settlements List.
- 20. Settlement documents relating to injured-passenger claims.
- 21. Data retrieved from the Locomotive Event Recorder of Amtrak Unit 148. (Specialized software is required to interpret this data. The software is not available to the public. Amtrak had the data analyzed by one of their consultants. Defendants will produce the report of the consultant if Plaintiff and Co-Defendants agree such production shall not be considered as a waiver of the attorney client or work product privilege.)
- 22. Select Voucher Invoice No. 72201547 (Payment to Alstom).
  - 23. Select Voucher Invoice No. 72201542 (Payment to

- 1 Alstom).
- 2 24. Select Voucher Invoice No. 72201544 (Payment to
- 3 | Alstom).
- 4 25. Select Voucher Invoice No. 72201545 (Payment to
- 5 | Alstom).
- 6 26. Select Voucher Invoice No. 72201546 (Payment to
- 7 Alstom).
- 8 27. Select Voucher Invoice No. 72201541 (Payment to
- 9 | Alstom).

14

15

- 10 28. Amtrak's Charter Rates.
  - 29. Debt Service Spreadsheet for Locomotive.
- 12 30. Purchase Order for Alstom.
- 13 31. Amtrak's Summary of Expenses.
  - 32. Summary Alstom Repair Expenses.
  - 33. Alstom Invoice 722015 41 Car 8801.
- 16 34. Alstom Invoice 722015 42 Car 8011.
- 17 35. Alstom Invoice 722015 44 Car 8011.
- 18 36. Alstom Invoice 722015 45 Car 8205.
  - 37. Alstom Invoice 722015 46 Car 8205.
- 20 38. Alstom Invoice 722015 47 Car 8205.
- 21 39. Amtrak Loss of Use Calculations.
- 22 40. Amtrak Equipment Rental Rates for Charter Services.
- 23 41. Alstom Repair Quote for Car 8011.
- 24 42. Alstom Repair Quote for Car 8205.
- 25 43. Alstom Repair Quote for Car 8801.
- 26 44. Amtrak Passenger Inconvenience Expenses.
- 27 45. J2 Engineering Report.
- 28 46. ASG (Appraisal Systems Group) Damage Appraisal.

- 47. Gunite Automatic Slack Adjuster Parts Catalog.
- 48. Gunite Automatic Slack Adjuster Maintenance and Troubleshooting Guide.

- 49. Gunite Service Bulletin (brake adjustment, testing procedure).
  - 50. Accident scene sketch 5/30/08, M. Koogler.
- 51. Traffic Collision Report #2008 05 0063 5/28/08, including diagrams.
- 52. Multidisciplinary accident investigation team report #2008 05 0063, including charts, photos and diagrams.
- 53. BNSF photos of gate, scene, quad, train and related matters.
- 54. Amtrak Groups A-E, photos of scene, train and related matters.
- 55. Burton Olson photos of scene, train, vehicle and related matters.
- 56. CHP photos of scene, train, vehicle and related matters.
  - 57. CHP (MAIT) photos of vehicle and related matters.
- 58. Report of inspection, 5/28/08, A. Moeno, BNSF locomotive 11.
- 59. Report of inspection, 5/28/08, A. Moeno, BNSF locomotive 11.
  - 60. BNSF Daily Performance Report, 5/28/08.
  - 61. BNSF Event log, 5/28/08.
- 26 62. BNSF 112 locomotive records, including locomotive work report history.
  - 63. BNSF 160 locomotive records, including locomotive work

report history.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 64. Burton Olson documents OBT #0001-9254.
- 3 65. J2 Engineering exhibits.
  - 66. Western Motor Carrier exhibits.
  - 67. E.M. Tharp document, vehicle condition history.
  - 68. E.M. Tharp documents, workman's time, ticket & record.
  - 69. E.M. Tharp documents, labor reconciliation 5/10-15/08.
  - 70. E.M. Tharp documents, repair order PR 70000.
  - 71. E.M. Tharp documents, mechanic notes PR 70000.
  - 72. Accident scene sketch 5/30/08, M. Koogler.
  - 73. Traffic Collision Report #2008 05 0063 5/28/08, including diagrams.
  - 74. Multidisciplinary accident investigation team report #2008 05 0063, including charts, photos and diagrams.
  - 75. BNSF photos of gate, scene, quad, train and related matters.
  - 76. Amtrak Groups A-E, photos of scene, train and related matters.
  - 77. Burton Olson photos of scene, train, vehicle and related matters.
  - 78. CHP photos of scene, train, vehicle and related matters.
    - 79. CHP (MAIT) photos of vehicle and related matters.
  - 80. Report of inspection, 5/28/08, A. Moeno, BNSF locomotive 160.
- 26 81. Report of inspection, 5/28/08, A. Moeno, BNSF 27 locomotive 112.
  - 82. BNSF Daily Performance Report, 5/28/08.

BNSF Event log, 5/28/08.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

- BNSF 112 locomotive records, including locomotive work report history.
- BNSF 160 locomotive records, including locomotive work 85. report history.
  - 86. Burton Olson documents BOT #0001-9254.
  - E.M. Tharp Reprint. 87.
  - 88. E.M. Tharp Reprint Customer Invoice.
  - 89. E.M. Tharp Mechanic Notes.
  - 90. E.M. Tharp Rule 26 disclosure.
  - 91. E.M. Tharp Initial Write-up for repairs 5/8/08.
- 92. E.M. Tharp list of items working copy for mechanics EMT 27-34.
- 14 93. E.M. Tharp list of items working copy for mechanics.
  - 94. E.M. Tharp Vehicle Condition History.
  - 95. E.M. Tharp Mechanics Timed Ticket and Record.
  - 96. E.M. Tharp labor reconciliation 5/10-15/08.
    - 97. E.M. Tharp Vehicle Condition History.
    - E.M. Tharp Mechanics Timed Ticket and Record.
    - 99. E.M. Tharp labor reconciliation 5/10-15/08.
- 100. E.M. Tharp Response to Requests to Admission from 22 Amtrak, Set One.
  - 101. E.M. Tharp Response to Interrogatories/Admission from Amtrak, Set Two.
- 25 102. E.M. Tharp Response to Interrogatories from Amtrak, Set 26 One.
- 27 103. E.M. Tharp Response to Requests for Admission from 28 Amtrak, Set Two.

- 104. E.M. Tharp Response to Requests to Admission from Amtrak, Set Three.
- 105. E.M. Tharp Response to Request for Production of Documents from Amtrak, Set One.
- 106. E.M. Tharp Response to Request for Production of Documents from Amtrak, Set Two.
- 107. E.M. Tharp Response to Request for Production of Documents from Amtrak, Set Three.
  - 108. E.M. Tharp Vehicle Condition History.
  - 109. E.M. Tharp Mechanics Timed Ticket and Record EMT 52-64.
  - 110. E.M. Tharp labor reconciliation 5/10-15/08.
  - 111. Releases & Settlement Checks paid to Passengers.
  - 112. E.M. Tharp's Production of Documents.
- 14 113. Report and file materials of E.M. Tharp/Burton Olson
  15 Trucking Expert Al Dunn and SEA Inc.
  - 114. Report and file materials of E.M. Tharp/Burton Olson Trucking Expert Lew Grill.
  - 115. Report and file materials of E.M. Tharp/Burton Olson Trucking Expert Doug Moran.

# B. Defendant BNSF's Exhibit List

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 1. BNSF Railway Recap of all charges reflecting revised figure of \$419,289.65 for claimed damages.
  - 2. Revised calculations for use tax and material handling.
  - 3. Revised calculations for recovered material credit.
  - 4. Close out report, Topeka System Maintenance Terminal.
- 5. Locomotive exceptions; Unit DIC (dead in consist) report reflecting transportation and repair; BNSF 112.
  - 6. Train delay printout.

- 7. Emails reflecting labor and material repair regarding Barstow locomotive.
- 8. Summary calculations for maintenance of way, labor charges.
  - 9. Labor charges by AFE.

- 10. Material costs by AFE.
- 11. Invoice payments summary.
- 12. Invoices paid to MP Environmental Services, Inc.,
  Mainline Equipment, Hulcher Services, Inc., Callowbed Services
  Inc. and Bridgewater Equipment, Inc.
- 13. Locomotive BNSF 112 repair itemization, Topeka (locomotives-damaged).
- 14. Locomotive BNSF 160 repair itemization, Barstow (locomotives-damaged).
  - 15. Locomotives transportation to repair shops detail.
  - 16. Locomotive monthly minimum mileage cost.
  - 17. Locomotive loss of use/opportunity summary.
  - 18. Train/locomotive delay summary.
- 19. Amtrak incentives lost, summary plus train detail reports.
  - 20. Loss of locomotive fuel detail.
- 21. BNSF Railway billable labor overhead definitions and percentages (Engineering Department) (MoW, Signal, B&B) (2007 Base Year).
- 22. BNSF Railway billable labor overhead definitions and percentages (Mechanical Department) (2007 base year).
- 23. Total loss of use and opportunity cost based on contribution from railway operations per horsepower hour for year

2007.

- 24. BNSF Railway average crew wages using 2006 data.
- 25. The BNSF Railway Company, train delay cost, train hour cost per road freight train hour, 2006 annual expenses and statistics.
- 26. United Healthcare statement of medical payments made for Victor Baca.
- 27. United Healthcare statement of medical payments made for Gerardo Urzua.
- 28. United Healthcare statement of medical payments made for Phil Patterson.
  - 29. Accident scene sketch 5/30/08, M. Koogler.
- 30. Traffic collision report #2008 05 0063 5/28/08, including diagrams.
- 31. Multidisciplinary accident investigation team report #2008 05 0063, including charts, photos and diagrams.
- 32. BNSF photos of gate, scene, quad, train and related matters.
- 33. Amtrak Groups A-E, photos of scene, train and related matters.
- 34. Burton Olson photos of scene, train, vehicle and related matters.
- 35. CHP photos of scene, train, vehicle and related matters.
  - 36. CHP (MAIT) photos of vehicle and related matters.
- 26 37. Advance payment documents to BNSF employees.
  - a. Gerry Urzua \$8,850;
  - b. Victor Baca \$11,500;

- c. Phil Patterson \$38,275.
- 38. Report of inspection, 5/28/08, A. Moeno, BNSF locomotive 160.
- 39. Report of inspection, 5/28/08, A. Moeno, BNSF locomotive 112.
  - 40. BNSF daily performance report, 5/28/08.
  - 41. BNSF event log 5/28/08.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

27

- 42. BNSF 112 locomotive records, including locomotive work report history.
- 43. BNSF 160 locomotive records, including locomotive work report history.
  - 44. Burton Olson documents BOT #0001-9254.
  - 45. James Flynn exhibits.
    - 46. V. Paul Herbert exhibits.
- 47. E.M. Tharp document, vehicle condition history.
  - 48. E.M. Tharp documents, workman's time, ticket & record.
    - 49. E.M. Tharp documents, labor reconciliation 5/10-15/08.
    - 50. E.M. Tharp documents, repair order PR 70000.
- 51. E.M. Tharp documents, mechanic notes PR 70000.
- 20 C. Burton Olson's and Martinez's Exhibit List.
  - 1. AMTRAK appraisal systems group damage report.
  - 2. AMTRAK loss of use calculations.
  - AMTRAK car repair quotes.
  - 4. Photos of crossing arm post accident.
  - FRA track inspection records, 1/1/07-5/29/08.
- 26 6. Truck collision supplemental report.
  - Crossing inventory information.
    - 8. Highway-rail grade crossing incident report.

- 9. General track bulletin.
  - 10. BNSF slow order report, 5/28/08.
  - Evidence tag report, 4/28/09.
    - 12. Resource operations center ticket report, 5/28/08.
      - 13. Signal ticket report, 5/28/08.
  - 14. BNSF inspection reports.
    - 15. BNSF track chart Bakersfield subdivision.
    - 16. General release agreement.
      - 17. FRA accident report AMTRAK BNSF, 5/28/08
- 10 18. Lew Grill report, 12/10/10.
  - 19. Paul Herbert report, 12/21/10.
  - J2 engineering report.
- 13 21. Appraisal report, 8/18/08.
  - 22. SEA, Inc. report, 12/10/10.
- 15 23. Doug Morgan report, 1/14/11.
- 16 D. E.M. Tharp's Exhibit List.
- 17 1. May 17, 2008 E.M. Tharp, Inc. "Reprint Customer
- 18 | Invoice, "Repair Order No. PR 70000.
- 2. E.M. Tharp, Inc. Repair Order Summary re 89 Peterbilt,
- 20 | Serial No. 286979.
- 21 3. E.M. Tharp, Inc. mechanic notes, re repair order no.
- 22 70000.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

- 4. May 13, 2008 E.M. Tharp, Inc. front end alignment
- 24 results re work order PR70000.
- 25 5. E.M. Tharp, Inc. air conditioning checklist.
- 26 6. April 4, 2008 E.M. Tharp, Inc. "Reprint Customer
- 27 | Invoice," repair order No. PR69518.
- 28 7. April 2, 2008 E.M. Tharp, Inc. description of repairs

re unit no. 505.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 8. April 2, 2008 E.M. Tharp, Inc. mechanic labor description re PR69518.
- 9. E.M. Tharp, Inc. mechanic notes dated "4-2" re clutch adjustment.
- 10. April 2, 2008 E.M. Tharp, Inc. "Review" re repair order no. PR69518.
- 11. May 8, 2008 E.M. Tharp, Inc. description of repairs reunit no. 505.
- 12. May 8, 2008 E.M. Tharp, Inc. mechanic labor description re PR 70000.
- 13. May 8, 2008 through May 14, 2008 E.M. Tharp, Inc. mechanic notes re repair order No. 70000.
  - 14. Air conditioning checklist.
- 15. May 12, 2008 through May 13, 2008 E.M. Tharp, Inc. 16 mechanic notes re repair order no. 70000.
  - 16. June 5, 2008 MAIT Report No. 2008-05-0063.
  - 17. 214 MAIT photographs as identified in the photo log of the MAIT report no. 2008-05-0063, page 25.
    - 18. May 28, 2008 traffic collision report no. 2008 05 0063.
  - 19. Photographs taken by CHP Officers B. O'Dell and D. Gilmore re traffic collision report no. 2008 05 0063.
  - 20. E.M. Tharp, Inc. vehicle condition history, three pages.
- 21. August 12, 2003 E.M. Tharp, Inc. "Reprint Customer 26 Invoice" re repair order no. PR46699.
- 27 22. E.M. Tharp, Inc. workman's time ticket and record, thirteen pages.

23. E.M. Tharp, Inc. labor reconciliation for 5/10/08 through 5/15/08.

- 24. E.M. Tharp, Inc. dba Golden State Peterbilt's response to National Railroad Passenger Corporation Request for Production of Documents, Set 1.
- 25. E.M. Tharp, Inc. dba Golden State Peterbilt's Response to National Railroad Passenger Corporation Request for Production of Documents, Set 2.
- 26. E.M. Tharp, Inc. dba Golden State Peterbilt's Response to BNSF Railway Company's Request for Production of Documents, Set 1.
- 27. E.M. Tharp, Inc. dba Golden State Peterbilt's Responses to National Railroad Passenger Corp.'s Interrogatories, Set 1.
- 28. E.M. Tharp, Inc. dba Golden State Peterbilt's Responses to National Railroad Passenger Corp.'s Interrogatories, Set 2.
- 29. E.M. Tharp, Inc. dba Golden State Peterbilt's Responses to BNSF Railway Company's Interrogatories, Set 1.
- 30. National Railroad Passenger Corp.'s Responses to E.M. Tharp, Inc.'s Interrogatories, Set 1.
- 31. BNSF Railway Company's Responses to E.M. Tharp, Inc.'s Interrogatories, Set 1.
- 32. E.M. Tharp, Inc.'s Response to National Railroad Corporation's Requests for Admission, Set 1.
- 33. E.M. Tharp, Inc.'s Response to National Railroad Corporation's Requests for Admission, Set 2.
- 34. National Railroad Corporation's Responses to E.M.27 Tharp, Inc.'s Request for Production of Documents, Set 1.
  - 35. Burton Olson's Response to E.M. Tharp, Inc.'s Request

for Production of Documents, Set 1.

- 36. Burton Olson's Response to National Railroad Corporation's Request for Admissions, Set 1.
- 37. Burton Olson's Response to E.M. Tharp, Inc.'s Interrogatories, Set 1.
- 38. Burton Olson's Responses to National Railroad Corporation's Interrogatories, Set 1.
- 39. Printout re Gunite Slack Adjuster Sales, Exhibit 8 to Lawhead deposition.
- 40. Parts Purchase History for Burton Olson, Exhibit 9 to Lawhead deposition.
- 41. Reprint of Customer Invoice, Exhibit 10 to Lawhead deposition.
- 42. Information Regarding Gunite Slack Adjusters Sales, Exhibit 8 to Struttman deposition.
- 43. Parts purchase history for Burton Olson, Exhibit 9 to Struttman deposition.
- 44. March 15, 2005 reprint customer invoice, Exhibit 10 to Struttman deposition.
- 45. Select voucher invoices, Exhibits 2-A through 2-F to Miller deposition.
- 46. Investigation techniques for a vehicle/train collision, Exhibit A to Lehner deposition.
- 47. E.M. Tharp repair order, Exhibit C to Willbanks deposition.
  - 48. Repair printout, Exhibit D to Willbanks deposition.
- 49. Burton Olson document production, Exhibit E to Willbanks deposition.

50. CHP Safetynet driver/vehicle inspection report, 4320696, Exhibit F to Willbanks deposition.

- 51. Inspection form, 32' end dump, Exhibit I to Willbanks deposition.
- 52. Inspection form, end dump #ABC5631, Exhibit I to Willbanks deposition.
- 53. Inspection form, 32' end dump, Exhibit J to Willbanks deposition.
- 54. Driver's daily log, May 5, 2008, Exhibit K to Willbanks deposition.
- 55. Truck and/or tractor maintenance & safety inspection, including two auto repair orders, Exhibit L to Willbanks deposition.
- 56. BIT program administrative review, December 6, 2006, Exhibit M to Willbanks deposition.
- 57. Safety compliance report/terminal record update, November 30, 2004, Exhibit N to Willbanks deposition.
- 58. Driver and field work orientation and training log, Exhibit O to Willbanks deposition.
- 59. Burton Olson Trucking's order of sale practice, Exhibit P to Willbanks deposition.
- 60. Initial safety training log, Exhibit Q to Willbanks deposition.
- 61. Powell's Garage Auto Repair order, August 17, 2006, Exhibit R to Willbanks deposition.
- 26 62. Truck and/or tractor maintenance & safety inspection, 27 Exhibit S to Willbanks deposition.
  - 63. Truck and/or tractor maintenance & safety inspection,

Exhibit T to Willbanks deposition.

- 64. Inspection log, Exhibit U to Willbanks deposition.
- 65. Powell's Garage Auto Repair order, January 19, 2008 and January 22, 2008, Exhibit V to Willbanks deposition.
- 66. Driver's vehicle inspection report, December 2, 2003, Exhibit W to Willbanks deposition.
- 67. Driver's vehicle inspection report, August 7, 2003, Exhibit X to Willbanks deposition.
- 68. Lubrication and inspection report, Exhibit Y to Willbanks deposition.
- 69. Lubrication and inspection report, Exhibit Y to Willbanks deposition.
  - 70. Inspection log, Exhibit Z to Willbanks deposition.
  - 71. Inspection log, Exhibit Z-1 to Willbanks deposition.
- 72. Lubrication and inspection report, Exhibit Z-2 to Willbanks deposition.
- 73. Full service form, work order #13061, Exhibit Z-3 to Willbanks deposition.
  - 74. MAIT report, Exhibit A to Sprinkman deposition.
  - 75. Sprinkman CV, Exhibit B to Sprinkman deposition.
  - 76. CD of photos, Exhibit D to Sprinkman deposition.
- 77. E.M. Tharp Rule 26 documents 1-27, Exhibit 1 to LeGette deposition.
- 78. Two photographs of tractor, Exhibit 2 to LeGette deposition.
  - 79. Sketch, Exhibit 3 to LeGette deposition.
- 80. "B" service checklist, Exhibit 4 to LeGette deposition.
  - 81. 35-Point vehicle inspection, Exhibit 5 to LeGette

deposition.

- 82. BIT safety inspection, Exhibit 6 to LeGette deposition.
- 83. Annual vehicle inspection report, Exhibit 7 to LeGette deposition.
  - 84. MAIT report, Exhibit 8 to LeGette deposition.
- 85. Drivers license information, Exhibit A to Martinez deposition.
- 86. November 27, 2007 drivers daily log, Exhibit C to Martinez deposition.
- 87. November 21, 2007 drivers daily log, Exhibit D to Martinez deposition.
- 88. Lazaro Pita business card, Exhibit E to Martinez deposition.
  - 89. Load assignments, Exhibit F to Martinez deposition.
- 90. Google maps photo of incident location, Exhibit G to Martinez deposition.
- 91. Google maps photo of incident location, Exhibit H to Martinez deposition.
- 92. Photographs of incident, Exhibits A through E to O'Dell deposition.
- 93. Incident photographs, Exhibits A through C to Gilmore deposition.
- 94. E.M. Tharp Rule 26 documents, Exhibit 1 to Landstrom deposition.
- 95. Photograph of Burton Olson tractor, Exhibit 2 to Landstrom deposition.
  - 96. Sketch, Exhibit 3 to Landstrom deposition.
  - 97. "B" service checklist, Exhibit 4 to Landstrom

deposition.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

16

18

20

21

22

- 98. 35-point vehicle inspection, Exhibit 5 to Landstrom deposition.
- 99. BIT safety inspection, Exhibit 6 to Landstrom deposition.
- 100. Annual vehicle inspection report, Exhibit 7 to Landstrom deposition.
  - 101. MAIT report, Exhibit 8 to Landstrom deposition.
- 102. 72 photographs by Rickey Stansifer, SEA Limited, on October 23, 2008.
- 11 103. Burton Olson 1989 Peterbilt file.
  - 104. Burton Olson 1984 Fruehauf file.
- 13 105. Burton Olson 1978 8 point dolly file.
- 14 106. File of Lew Grill.
- 15 107. Report of Lew Grill.
  - 108. C.V. of Lew Grill.
- 17 109. File of Ashley Dunn, Ph.D.
  - 110. Report of Ashley Dunn, Ph.D.
- 19 111. C.V. of Ashley Dunn, Ph.D.
  - 112. File of Jim Flynn.
    - 113. Report of Jim Flynn.
  - 114. File of V. Paul Herbert, C.P.S.A.
  - 115. Report of Douglas B. Morgan.
- 24 116. File of Douglas B. Morgan.
- 25 117. File of Charles Yeaser.
- 26 118. Report of Charles Yeaser.
- 27 119. All exhibits attached to the deposition of Burton 28 Olson.

- 120. All exhibits attached to the deposition of Sharon Olson.
- 121. All exhibits attached to the deposition of Eric Metzler.
  - 122. Audel Renteria driver's daily logs.
  - 123. Jose Rosas driver's daily logs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

27

- 124. David Cebella driver's daily logs.
- 125. Burton Olson driver's daily logs.
- 126. Humberto Perez driver's daily logs.
- 127. Johnny Navarelle driver's daily logs.
- 128. Rene Beltran driver's daily logs.
  - 129. Thomas Zuniga driver's daily logs.
- 130. Randy Harless driver's daily logs.
  - 131. Arturo Rodriguez driver's daily logs.
  - 132. Mike Munoz driver's daily logs.
  - 133. Robert Powell driver's daily logs.
    - 134. Teresa Atkins driver's daily logs.
  - 135. Arturo Godinez driver's daily logs.
- 19 136. Seberino Picano driver's daily logs.
- 20 137. Juan Graciano driver's daily logs.
  - 138. Brent Anderson driver's daily logs.
    - 139. Donecio Rodriguez driver's daily logs.
    - 140. Craig Pettyjohn driver's daily logs.
  - 141. Rick Ontiveras driver's daily logs.
  - 142. John Willbanks driver's daily logs.
- 26 143. Jose Martinez driver's daily logs.
  - 144. Burton Olson's E.M. Tharp file.
    - 145. Burton Olson's E.M. Tharp file 06.

146. Burton Olson's E.M. Tharp file 07.

- 147. Burton Olson's E.M. Tharp file 08.
- 148. Driver's vehicle inspection records 4-08 to 8-08.
- 149. Burton Olson's Robert Powell file 08.
- 150. Burton Olson's Robert Powell file #2.
- 151. Burton Olson's Robert Powell file 05.
- 152. Burton Olson's Reedley Truck & Trailer file 06.
- 153. Burton Olson's Viking Trailer file 05.
- 154. Burton Olson's Viking Trailer file 06.
- 155. Burton Olson's "BIT" CHP file.

#### XI. DISCOVERY DOCUMENTS

Only specifically designated discovery requests and responses will be admitted into evidence. Any deposition testimony shall be designated by page and line and such designations filed with the Court on or before March 2, 2011. The opposing party shall counter-designate by line and page from the same deposition and shall file written objections to any question and answer designated by the opposing party and filed with the court on or before March 14, 2011.

Written discovery shall be identified by number of the request. The proponent shall lodge the original discovery request and verified response with the courtroom deputy one day prior to trial. The discovery request and response may either be read into evidence, or typed separately, marked as an exhibit, as part of the exhibit marking process, and offered into evidence.

- 1. Angle, James deposition taken on 12/15/10.
- 2. Dunn, Ashley deposition taken on 01/26/11.
- 3. Flynn, Jim deposition will be taken on 02/09/11.

- Gilmore, Damon deposition taken on 09/16/10 1 4. 2 5. Grill, Lew deposition taken on 02/04/11 3 6. Guillen, Ezekiel deposition taken on 08/30/10. 7. Herbert, Paul deposition will be taken on 02/17/11. 4 5 8. Landstrom, Jeffrey deposition taken on 09/17/10. 9. 6 Lawhead, William deposition taken on 11/11/10. 7 10. LeGette, William deposition taken on 09/17/10. 11. Lehner, Jared deposition taken on 08/31/10. 8 9 12. Martinez, Jose Juan deposition taken on 08/05/10, 09/15/10, 09/16/10. 10 11 13. Metzler, Eric deposition taken on 11/11/10. 14. Miller, Nancy J. deposition taken on 12/02/10. 12 13 15. Morgan, Douglas deposition will be taken on 02/15/11. 16. 14 O'Dell, Bill deposition taken on 08/31/10. 15 17. Olson, Burton deposition taken on 10/12/10. 16 18. Olson, Sharon deposition taken on 10/12/10. 17 19. Profera, Steven S. deposition taken on 08/30/10. 20. Sprinkman, Albert Marvin deposition taken on 08/30/10. 18 19 21. Struttman, Mary Jo deposition taken on 11/15/10. 20 22. Willbanks, John M. deposition taken on 08/06/10, 21 09/15/10. 22 Yeaser, Charles deposition will be taken on 02/16/11. 23. 23 XII. STIPULATIONS 24 None.
  - 1.

25

26

27

28

#### XIII. AMENDMENTS - DISMISSALS

1. No amendments to the pleadings, dismissals, additions or substitutions of parties, or dispositions as to defaulting parties are expected or requested at this time.

#### XIV. FURTHER TRIAL PREPARATION

## A. Trial Briefs.

Counsel are directed to file a trial brief in this matter on or before March 19, 2011. No extended preliminary statement of facts is required. The brief should address disputed issues of substantive law, disputed evidentiary issues of law that will not be resolved <u>in limine</u>, and any other areas of dispute that will require resolution by reference to legal authority.

### B. Duty of Counsel To Pre-Mark Exhibits.

- 1. Counsel for the parties are ordered to meet and conduct a joint exhibit conference on March 1, 2011, at a time and place to be agreed upon by the parties, for purposes of pre-marking and examining each other's exhibits and preparing an exhibit list. All joint exhibits will be pre-marked JX1000-JX2000; all of plaintiff Amtrak's exhibits will be pre-marked with numbers 1-200; all of BNSF's exhibits will be pre-marked with numbers 201-400; all of Burton Olson Trucking Company's and Jose Martinez's exhibits will be pre-marked with numbers 401-600; all of E.M. Tharp, Inc.'s exhibits will be pre-marked with numbers 601-900.
- 2. Each and every page of each and every exhibit shall be individually Bates-stamped for identification purposes, and paginated with decimals and arabic numerals in seriatim; i.e., 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 . . . .
- 3. Following such conference, each counsel shall have possession of four (4) complete, legible sets of exhibits, for use as follows:
- a. Two (2) sets to be delivered to the Courtroom

  Deputy Clerk, Renee Gaumnitz, no later than 4:00 p.m. on March

- b. One (1) set to be delivered to counsel for the opposing party and one (1) set to be available for counsel's own use.
- 4. Counsel are to confer to make the following determination as to each of the exhibits proposed to be introduced into evidence and prepare separate indexes, one listing joint exhibits, one listing each party's exhibits:
- a. Joint exhibits, i.e., any document which both sides desire to introduce into evidence, will be marked as a joint exhibit (JX), and numbered JX1-\_\_\_. Joint exhibits shall be listed as such in the exhibit list in a column that notes they are admitted into evidence without further foundation;
- b. As to any exhibit, not a joint exhibit, to which there is no objection to its introduction into evidence, the exhibit will be marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit \_\_\_\_, or Defendant's Exhibit \_\_\_\_ in evidence, and will be listed in the exhibit list as the exhibit of the offering party;
- c. The exhibit list shall include columns for noting objections to exhibits. The first column will list any objections as to foundation; i.e., Plaintiff's Foundation 2 "not authenticated."
- d. The exhibit list shall include a second column for noting substantive objections to exhibits based on any other grounds; i.e., "hearsay, improper opinion, irrelevant."
- e. The exhibit list shall include a description of each exhibit on the left-hand side of the page, and the three columns outlined above (as shown in the example below).

## List of Exhibits

Admitted Objection Other

Exhibit # Description In Evidence To Foundation Objection

- f. The completed exhibit list shall be delivered to Renee Gaumnitz CRD on or before March 24, 2011, by 4:00 p.m.
- g. If originals of exhibits cannot be located, copies may be used, however, the copies <u>must be legible and accurate</u>. If any document is offered into evidence that is partially not legible, the Court <u>sua sponte</u> will exclude it from evidence.

## C. Discovery Documents.

1. Counsel shall file a list of discovery documents with Renee Gaumnitz CRD at the same time and date as the witness and exhibit lists are lodged with her, unless the discovery documents are marked as exhibits, which counsel intend to use at trial by designating by number, the specific interrogatory, request for admission, or other discovery document. Counsel shall comply with the directions of subsection XII (above) for introduction of the discovery document into evidence.

#### D. Motions In Limine.

1. The motions in limine shall be filed by March 2, 2011, and any responses shall be filed by March 14, 2011. The Court will conduct a hearing on motions in limine in this matter on March 17, 2011, at 12:00 p.m. in Courtroom 3, Seventh Floor, before the Honorable Oliver W. Wanger United States District Judge, at which time all evidentiary objections, to the extent possible, will be ruled upon, and all other matters pertaining to

the conduct of the trial will be settled.

#### E. Trial Documents.

1. Exhibits To Be Used With Witness. During the trial of the case, it will be the obligation of counsel to provide opposing counsel not less than forty-eight hours before the witness is called to the witness stand, the name of the witness who will be called to testify and to identify to the Court and opposing counsel any exhibit which is to be introduced into evidence through such witness that has not previously been admitted by stipulation or court order or otherwise ruled upon, and to identify all exhibits and other material that will be referred to in questioning of each witness. If evidentiary problems are anticipated, the parties must notify the court at least twenty-four hours before the evidence will be presented.

## F. Counsel's Duty To Aid Court In Jury Voir Dire.

- 1. Counsel shall submit proposed voir dire questions, if any, to Renee Gaumnitz CRD at <a href="mailto:rgaumnitz@caed.uscourts.gov">rgaumnitz@caed.uscourts.gov</a> on or before March 24, 2011, by 4:00 p.m. Counsel shall also prepare a joint "statement of the case" which shall be a neutral statement, describing the claims and defenses for prospective jurors, to be used in voir dire.
- 2. In order to aid the court in the proper voir dire examination of the prospective jurors, counsel are directed to lodge with the Court the day before trial a list of the prospective witnesses they expect to call if different from the list of witnesses contained in the Pre-Trial Order of the Court. Such list shall not only contain the names of the witnesses, but their business or home address to the extent known. This does

not excuse any failure to list all witnesses in the Pre-Trial Order.

- 3. Counsel shall jointly submit, to Renee Gaumnitz CRD the Friday before trial, a neutral statement of the claims and defenses of the parties for use by the court in voir dire.
- G. Counsel's Duty To Prepare And Submit Jury Instructions.
- 1. All proposed jury instructions shall be filed and served on or before March 25, 2011, by 4:00 p.m. Jury instructions shall be submitted in the following format.
- 2. Proposed jury instructions, including verdict forms, shall be submitted via e-mail to <a href="mailto:dpell@caed.uscourts.gov">dpell@caed.uscourts.gov</a> formatted in WordPerfect for Windows X3. Counsel shall be informed on all legal issues involved in the case.
- 3. The parties are required to jointly submit one set of agreed upon jury instructions. To accomplish this, the parties shall serve their proposed instructions upon the other fourteen days prior to trial. The parties shall then meet, confer, and submit to the Court the Friday before the trial is to commence, one complete set of agreed-upon jury instructions.
- 4. If the parties cannot agree upon any instruction, they shall submit a supplemental set of instructions designated as not agreed upon by March 25, 2011, by 4:00 p.m.
- 5. Each party shall file with the jury instructions any objection to non-agreed upon instructions proposed by any other party. All objections shall be in writing and shall set forth the proposed instruction objected to in its entirety. The objection should specifically set forth the objectionable matter in the proposed instruction and shall include a citation to legal

authority explaining the grounds for the objection and why the instruction is improper. A concise statement of argument concerning the instruction may be included. Where applicable, the objecting party shall submit an alternative proposed instruction covering the subject or issue of law.

- 6. Format. The parties shall submit one copy of each instruction. The copy shall indicate the party submitting the instruction, the number of the proposed instruction in sequence, a brief title for the instruction describing the subject matter, the test of the instruction, the legal authority supporting the instruction, and a legend in the lower lefthand corner of the instruction: "Given," "Given As Modified," "Withdrawn" and "Refused" showing the Court's action with regard to each instruction and an initial line for the judge's initial in the lower right-hand corner of the instruction. Ninth Circuit Model Jury Instructions should be used where the subject of the instruction is covered by a model instruction.
- 7. All instruction should be short, concise, understandable, and neutral statements of the law. Argumentative or formula instructions will not be given, and should not be submitted.
- 8. Parties shall, by italics or underlining, designate any modifications of instructions from statutory authority, or any pattern instruction such as the Model Circuit Jury Instructions or any other source of pattern instructions, and must specifically state the modification made to the original form instruction and the legal authority supporting the modification.
  - 9. Proposed verdict forms shall be jointly submitted or if

the verdict forms are unagreed upon, each party shall submit a proposed verdict form. Verdict forms shall be submitted to the Courtroom Deputy Clerk on the first day of the trial.

10. Failure to comply with these rules concerning the preparation and submission of instructions and verdict forms may subject the non-complying party and/or its attorneys to sanctions.

# XV. USE OF LAPTOP COMPUTERS/POWERPOINT FOR PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE

- 1. If counsel intends to use a laptop computer for presentation of evidence, they shall contact Renee Gaumnitz CRD at least one week prior to trial. The Courtroom Deputy Clerk will arrange a time for any attorney to bring any laptop to be presented to someone from the Court's Information Technology Department, who will provide brief training on how the parties' electronic equipment interacts with the court's audio/visual equipment. If counsel intend to use PowerPoint, the resolution should be set no higher than 1024 x 768 when preparing the presentation.
- 2. ALL ISSUES CONCERNING AUDIO-VISUAL MATERIALS AND COMPUTER INTERFACE WITH THE COURT'S INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SHALL BE REFERRED TO THE COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK.

#### XVI. FURTHER DISCOVERY OR MOTIONS

1. The parties shall conclude expert discovery on or before March 15, 2011.

## XVII. SETTLEMENT

1. The parties mediated this case on January 27-28, 2011 with James Dilling. The case did not settle.

Defendants Burton Olson and Martinez have \$750,000 1 2. 2 available in policy limits and offered this full amount at mediation to settle both the State and Federal actions. 3 Mediator James Dilling, is still undergoing negotiations with the 4 parties involved. Once settlement has been reached, we 5 anticipate a motion for good faith settlement will have to be 6 7 filed. As part of this settlement, Burton Olson and Martinez have agreed to continue to participate in this matter through 8 9 trial. XVIII. SEPARATE TRIAL OF ISSUES 10

- 1. None.
  - XIX. IMPARTIAL EXPERTS, LIMITATIONS OF EXPERTS
- 13 1. None.

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

#### XX. ATTORNEYS' FEES

1. No party is seeking attorney's fees in this matter.

#### XXI. ESTIMATE OF TRIAL TIME

1. Twelve days.

### XXII. TRIAL DATE

1. March 29, 2011, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 3, on the Seventh Floor.

#### XXIII. NUMBER OF JURORS AND PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES

 This will be an eight person jury. Each party shall have four peremptory challenges. There are three sides, two Plaintiffs and two Defendants.

## XXIV. AMENDMENT OF FINAL PRETRIAL ORDER

1. The Final Pretrial Order shall be reviewed by the parties and any corrections, additions, and deletions shall be drawn to the attention of the Court immediately. Otherwise, the

Final Pretrial Order may only be amended or modified to prevent manifest injustice pursuant to the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(e). XXV. MISCELLANEOUS 1. None. IT IS SO ORDERED. **Dated:** <u>February 16, 2011</u> /s/ Oliver W. Wanger UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE