1

28

Doc. 34

To the extent that the order contains mathematical errors, the Court will review the order under Rule 60(a). However, the Court will not amend the judgment to award each attorney a specific amount. Plaintiff's attorneys have contracted with each other to perform services and are certainly capable of splitting the award as they see fit. The Court's failure to divide the award hardly amounts to "manifest injustice."

Turning to the calculation error, Plaintiff contends that according to the time disallowed by the Court, it should have awarded a total of 48.25 attorney hours. In the order, however, the Court awarded 44.25 hours.

Plaintiff is correct. Plaintiff requested a total of 57.25 hours and the Court reduced the award by 9 hours, for a total of **48.25** hours.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion is GRANTED IN PART. The Court's December 9, 2010, order is amended to read:

Plaintiff's motion is therefore GRANTED. Plaintiff is entitled to an award in the total amount of \$8,379.22. This amount represents compensation for 48.25 attorney hours at \$172.24 per hour, and \$68.64 in costs."

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 1, 2011 /s/ Dennis L. Beck
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE