1		
2		
3		
<u>4</u>		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SABAS ARREDONDO, JOSE	Case No. 1:09-cv-01247-MJS
12	CUEVAS, HILARIO COMEZ, IRMA LANDEROS, ROSALBA LANDEROS,	FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT
13	AND ISIDRO PANIAGUA individually, and on behalf of all others similarly	
14	situated,	
15	Plaintiffs,	
16		
17	DELANO FARMS COMPANY, a Washington State Corporation; CAL- PACIFIC FARM MANAGEMENT, L.P.;	
18	T&R BANGI'S AGRICULTURAL SERVICES, INC.; KERN AG LABOR	
19	MANAGEMENT, INC.; ELITE AG LABOR SERVICES, INC.; AND DOES	
20	1 through 10, inclusive,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24	On February 15, 2017, this Court entered an order preliminarily approving the	
25		
26	Joint Stipulation of Settlement of Class Actions ("Settlement Agreement") resolving the	
27	claims in this action (" <u>Arredondo</u> ") and <u>Isidro Paniagua v. Delano Farms Company; Cal-</u> Pacific Farm Management, L.P.; T&R Bangi's Agricultural Services, Inc.; & Kern Ag	
28	Pacine Farm Management, L.P.; T&R	Dangi's Agricultural Services, Inc.; & Kern Ag

1 Lanor Management, Inc., Case No. 1:16-cv-00907-MJS, also pending before this Court 2 ("Paniagua"). (ECF. No. 484; "Order of Certification and Preliminary Approval"). The 3 Court's Order of Certification and Preliminary Approval also (1) certified the Settlement 4 Class; (2) directed distribution of the Class Notice and of the certification of the 5 Settlement Class; and (3) set the Fairness and Approval Hearing. The Court further 6 ordered Plaintiffs to timely submit a motion for attorneys' fees and costs and 7 enhancement awards to the Representative Plaintiffs ("Fee and Enhancement Award 8 Motion") so that the Court could consider the Fee and Enhancement Award Motion at the 9 Fairness and Approval Hearing simultaneously with Court's consideration of final 10 approval of the Settlement Agreement.

On August 4, 2017, Plaintiffs filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs. (ECF
No. 501, as amended and supplemented by ECF Nos. 502, 521, 523-29.) Plaintiffs
requested enhancement awards to the Representative Plaintiffs in their Motion for Final
Approval of the Settlement of Class Actions. (ECF No. 505.)

Former Class Counsel also filed notices of their claimed right to a share of the attorneys' fees awarded. (ECF No. 494, 499.) Current Class Counsel filed a motion to strike these claims. (ECF No. 503.) The Court has entered a separate order deferring resolution of these claims until after final approval. (ECF No. 517.)

19 On August 29, 2017, Plaintiffs filed their motion seeking final approval of the 20 Settlement Agreement. (ECF No. 505; see also ECF Nos. 513, 521, 527.) The Court 21 held the Fairness and Approval Hearing on September 22, 2017. (ECF No. 530.) Mario 22 Martinez and Anna Walther appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs. Leonard Comden and 23 Kelton Lee Gibson appeared on behalf of former class counsel. Named Plaintiffs Sabas 24 Arredondo, Hilario Gomez, Irma Landeros, and Rosalba Landeros also were present. 25 William Hahesy, Sarah Bigelow, and David Bruce appeared on behalf of Defendant 26 Delano Farms Company. D. Greg Durbin and Laura Wolfe appeared on behalf of the 27 remaining Defendants. The matter is submitted and stands ready for adjudication.

The Court has considered:

The points and authorities, declarations, and exhibits submitted in support
of the motion for final approval of the Settlement Agreement ("Final Approval Motion");

- The points and authorities, declarations, and exhibits submitted in support
 of or opposition to Plaintiffs' and former Class Counsel's respective claims for attorneys'
 fees and costs, including the briefing submitted by the parties and counsel in response
 to the Court's August 23, 2017 Minute Order (ECF No. 504);
- 8

1

The Settlement Agreement, including the exhibits thereto;

The record in the <u>Arredondo</u> and <u>Paniagua</u> Actions, including but not
limited to the points and authorities, declarations, and exhibits submitted in support of
preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement, filed November 18, 2016 (ECF. Nos.
463, 463-1, 466, 480 & 481);

The oral presentations of Class Counsel and Counsel for Defendants at the
Fairness and Approval Hearing; and

This Court's experiences and observations while presiding over the
 <u>Arredondo</u> and <u>Paniagua</u> Actions.

Based on these materials, without limitation, and subject to the full findings andconclusions set forth herein, the Court notes in particular that:

Only a minuscule percentage (0.6%) of Settlement Class Members
requested exclusion pursuant to their right to do so in response to the Class Notice, and
the majority of these individuals (0.49%) also submitted settlement claims. After
additional follow up, the Settlement Administrator concluded there were only 43 valid
opt-outs. This represents 0.12% of the Settlement Class.

Approximately 5,656 valid claim forms were received by the Settlement
 Administrator. This represents approximately 15.7% of the Settlement Class.

The Class Notice provided to the Settlement Class Members provided
 adequate notice of the proposed Settlement Agreement, their Anticipate Settlement

Share, Certification of the Settlement Class, the Fairness and Approval Hearing, and the
 Settlement Class Members' rights with respect to the Settlement Agreement and their
 Anticipated Share.

There were no objectors to the Settlement Agreement, out of 36,054
Settlement Class Members.

No state attorney general or other state official has submitted any written
objection or otherwise responded to the Settlement Agreement following timely notice as
required by the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1715.

9 Based upon these considerations, the Court's findings and conclusions as set
10 forth in the Order of Certification and Preliminary Approval and in this Final Order and
11 Judgment, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

Definitions. The capitalized terms used in this Final Order and Judgment
 shall have the meanings and/or definitions given to them in the Joint Stipulation of
 Settlement of Class Actions ("Settlement Agreement") (ECF No. 463-1, Exhibit 1), or if
 not defined therein, the meaning and/or definitions given to them in this Final Order and
 Judgment.

17 2. Incorporation of Documents. This Final Order and Judgment
18 incorporates and makes a part hereof:

a. The Settlement Agreement (including the exhibits thereto);

b. The Court's findings and conclusions contained in its Order of
Certification and Preliminary Approval, filed February 15, 2017 (ECF. No. 484); and

c. The Court's findings and conclusions contained in its Order
 Regarding Enhancement Awards and Attorney's Fees and Costs (ECF No. 533.)

Jurisdiction and Venue. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the
 Parties and the Settlement Class Members. All Settlement Class Members, by failing to
 exclude themselves, have consented to the jurisdiction of this Court for purposes of the
 <u>Arredondo</u> and <u>Paniagua</u> actions and the settlement of these actions. The Court has

28

1 subject-matter jurisdiction over this action, including, without limitation, jurisdiction to 2 approve the Settlement Agreement and to issue this Final Order and Judgment and 3 order the relief set forth herein, to adjudicate the objections submitted to the proposed 4 Settlement Agreement by Settlement Class Members (had there been any), and to 5 dismiss the Arredondo and Paniagua Actions with prejudice. Subject-matter jurisdiction 6 is predicated upon Plaintiffs' federal claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 & 1332(d). 7 The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' state law claims pursuant to 28 8 U.S.C. § 1367. Venue in this District is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

10

follows:

4. Definition of the Settlement Class. The Settlement Class is defined as

[A]ny and all individuals who are or were employed as nonagricultural employees of Cal-Pacific exempt Farm Management, LP, T&R Bangi's Agricultural Services, Inc., Kern Ag Labor Management, Inc., La Vina Contracting, Inc., or Elite Ag Labor Services, Inc. and performed work at Delano Farms in California between July 17, 2005 and the date of entry of the Order of Certification and Preliminary Approval [February 15, 2017] who do not opt out, excluding those who worked only as irrigators, tractor drivers, or swampers or only in cold storage. This includes employees, without limitation, who previously opted out of the previously certified class in the Arredondo Action. For clarity, the phrase "performed work at Delano Farms in California" as used in this paragraph does not include work performed at premises other than Delano Farms, such as Blanc Vineyards and Red Cedar Vineyards in Paso Robles[.]

20 21

(Settlement Agreement ¶ 31.) The Court incorporates by reference its prior
determination in its Order of Certification and Preliminary Approval that the Settlement
Class meets the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(3) and
should be certified for settlement purposes only. All Settlement Class Members who
have not timely and properly opted out are subject to this Final Order and Judgment.

27 28 5. Findings and Conclusions. Based on its familiarity with the <u>Arredondo</u> and <u>Paniagua</u> Actions, the record, the procedural history, the parties and the work of

1 their counsel, the Court finds that the Settlement Agreement was not the product of 2 collusion and is without any indicia of unfairness. The Court finds the Settlement 3 Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class in light of the 4 complexity, expense, and duration of the Arredondo and Paniagua Actions (including the 5 risk of each of pre-trial, trial, and appellate proceedings), and the risks involved in 6 establishing liability and damages and in maintaining these Actions as class actions 7 through trial and appeal (including the risk of decertification). The Court finds that the 8 Settlement Agreement represents a fair and complete resolution of all claims asserted 9 on behalf of the Settlement Class and will fully and finally resolve all such claims. In 10 support of these findings and conclusions, the Court further specifically finds:

11 a. There is no evidence of collusion. The proposed settlement, as set 12 forth in the Settlement Agreement, follows both extensive litigation and arm's-length 13 negotiation. The Arredondo Action was vigorously litigated for seven years before the 14 Settlement Agreement was reached. Subclasses had been certified and decertified 15 following significant class discovery, joint employment had been tried, Plaintiffs had 16 submitted a trial plan, and the Court was on the verge of determining whether a trial on 17 liability and damages could proceed on that trial plan in light of the Court's ruling that no 18 additional time would be provided for survey work and no additional survey would be 19 allowed. Though the Paniagua Action is relatively nascent, the factual context of the 20 Paniagua claims is well understood as a result of having litigated the Arredondo Action. 21 While the claims in Paniagua and Arredondo are distinct, both involve wage-and-hour 22 claims for agricultural field work under the employ of farm labor contractors at Delano 23 Farms, and many members of the Arredondo class are also members of the putative 24 Paniagua class. In fact, before initiating the Paniagua Action, Class Counsel sought 25 leave to amend the Arredondo complaint to assert Paniagua claims on behalf of the 26 Arredondo class (a request which was denied). Further, payroll records and written policies at issue in Paniagua were voluntarily provided to Plaintiffs prior to the most 27

recent mediation that resulted in the Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the Parties have engaged in arm's-length negotiations, including seven full days in mediation before four different professional neutrals across various stages of the litigation. The Parties have also engaged in direct negotiations. The most recent mediation, with the well-respected mediator Antonio Piazza, also included negotiations over the <u>Paniagua</u> Action.

7 b. The Settlement Agreement was negotiated prior to the certification 8 of a litigation class in <u>Paniagua</u>, and so the Court has reviewed the Settlement for any 9 "subtle signs" of collusion. Bluetooth Headset Prods. Liab. Litig., 654 F.3d 935, 946-47 10 (9th Cir. 2011). Such signs include: (1) a disproportionate distribution of the settlement 11 fund to counsel; (2) negotiation of a 'clear sailing' arrangement for payment of attorney's 12 fees separate and apart from class funds; and (3) an arrangement for funds not awarded 13 to revert to defendant. Id. Here, Current Class Counsel has requested \$1,500,000 in 14 fees, which equals 25 percent of the \$6 million settlement. (ECF No. 501). For the 15 reasons stated in the Court's Order Regarding Enhancement Awards and Attorney's 16 Fees and Costs (ECF No. 533), the fees awarded here are not disproportionate to the 17 overall settlement. Nor is there an arrangement for funds not awarded to revert to 18 Defendants. The Settlement does contain a "clear-sailing" provision in which Defendants 19 conditionally agree not to oppose Class Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' 20 fees, provided that the fees required do not exceed 33% of the Settlement Amount. 21 However, the Ninth Circuit has distinguished between clear-sailing provisions in 22 settlements where the attorneys' fees are to come out of the settlement fund versus being paid by defendants in addition to the settlement fund. Rodriguez v. W. Publ'g 23 24 Corp., 563 F.3d 948, 961 n.5 (9th Cir. 2009). The former scenario "does not signal the 25 possibility of collusion because, by agreeing to a sum certain, [the defendants] were 26 acting consistently with their own interests in minimizing liability." Id. Because the 27 Settlement does not provide for the payment of attorneys' fees on top of the settlement

fund, the clear sailing provision is not indicative of collusion. The parties' agreement that
approval of the Settlement does not hinge on approval of the attorney's fees requested
also tends to indicate that there has been no collusion.

The Settlement Agreement provides for significant cash payments to

Settlement Class Members who choose to submit Claim Forms. No portion of the 5 6 \$6,000,000 Settlement Amount will revert back to Defendants. The portion of the 7 Settlement Amount to be allocated to attorney's fees and costs, settlement 8 administration expenses, enhancement awards to the Representative Plaintiffs, and 9 Taxes or other payments due as a result of making payments to the Settlement Class is 10 reasonable. The resulting Net Settlement Fund to be distributed to Claiming Class 11 Members provides a substantial benefit. The Court has considered the realistic range of 12 outcomes in the Arredondo and Paniagua Actions, including the amount Settlement 13 Class Members might receive if they prevailed at trial, the strength and weaknesses of 14 the cases, the novelty and number of the complex legal issues involved, the risk that 15 Settlement Class Members would receive less than the Settlement Amount or take 16 nothing at trial or otherwise, and the risk of a reversal of any judgment. The value of the 17 Settlement Agreement to Settlement Class Members is fair, reasonable, and adequate in

view of these factors and is well within a range of reasonableness.

- 19 d. Before reaching the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiffs and 20 Defendants vigorously litigated their claims and defenses in extensive proceedings 21 before this Court in the Arredondo Action, including without limitation: (i) Plaintiffs' motion 22 for class certification; (ii) Defendants' motion for summary judgment on joint 23 employment and the subsequent bench trial on same; (iii) Defendants' motions to 24 decertify and to require Plaintiffs to submit a trial plan; (iv) at least a dozen discovery 25 motions filed by the Parties; (iv) numerous information discovery dispute conferences; 26 (v) Plaintiffs' motion for leave to amend the complaint; and (vi) Plaintiffs' motions to 27 modify the case schedule and related trial plans. Over the seven-year life of the
- 28

18

4

C.

<u>Arredondo</u> litigation, the Court has held numerous hearings, status conferences, and a
 bench trial. Plaintiffs' deadline for filing a trial plan, expert disclosure and survey had
 passed, and the Court had set a briefing schedule to determine whether the case ought
 to proceed to trial based on Plaintiffs' operative plan.

e. Before reaching the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiffs and
Defendants also conducted extensive discovery on class certification, joint-employer
status, and trying liability and damages on a class-wide basis in the <u>Arredondo</u> Action.
These discovery efforts included without limitation:

9 i. Plaintiffs propounded a total of 25 document requests and 10 reviewed hundreds of thousands of pages of documents produced by Defendants in 11 response and analyzed extensive electronic payroll databases produced by Defendants. 12 Plaintiffs served dozens more document requests with their deposition notices. Plaintiffs 13 also served 37 interrogatories. In turn, Plaintiffs spent substantial time and effort 14 responding to Defendants' document requests, interrogatories, and requests for 15 admissions. Over the course of the litigation the Parties issued more than 10 subpoenas 16 requesting documents from non-parties and received thousands more pages of 17 documents in response.

ii. Class Counsel retained and consulted with numerous experts
to assist in the review and analysis of documents produced through discovery and to
formulate expert opinions in support of class certification, damage models, liability
issues, and a survey and trial plan.

iii. In addition to written discovery, Plaintiffs prepared for and
conducted a total of 35 depositions of Defendants' agents and employees, experts, and
third parties. Defendants have conducted a total of 125 depositions of class members,
experts, and third parties.

f. During the course of the <u>Arredondo</u> and <u>Paniagua</u> Actions, Plaintiffs
obtained discovery on subject matter beyond the specific allegations in the Amended

28

<u>Arredondo</u> Complaint. Plaintiffs' investigations, contentions, and allegations
 encompassed virtually all factual circumstances surrounding the wage-and-hour claims
 of the Settlement Class against Defendants.

g. Based upon this litigation of the legal issues relevant to the
<u>Arredondo</u> and <u>Paniagua</u> Actions and the extensive investigation of the underlying facts
in both formal and informal discovery, Plaintiffs and Defendants were fully informed of
the legal and factual bases for the claims and defenses herein and capable of balancing
the risks of continued litigation (both before this Court and on appeal) and the benefits of
the proposed Settlement Agreement.

10 The Settlement Class is and was at all times adequately h. 11 represented by the Representative Plaintiffs and Class Counsel, including in litigating the 12 Arredondo and Paniagua Actions and in entering into and implementing the Settlement 13 Agreement, as required to satisfy Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 23, and 14 applicable law. Class Counsel submit that they have fully and competently prosecuted all 15 causes of action, claims, theories of liability, and remedies reasonably available to the 16 Settlement Class Members. Further, both Class Counsel and Defendants' counsel are 17 highly experienced trial lawyers with experience in complex litigation. Class Counsel and 18 Defendants' counsel are capable of properly assessing the risks, expenses, and duration 19 of continued litigation, including at trial and on appeal. Class Counsel submit that the 20 Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate for the Settlement Class 21 Members.

i. Defendants deny all allegations of wrongdoing and disclaim any
 liability with respect to any and all claims alleged by Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class,
 including the propriety of class certification. Defendants contend that the <u>Arredondo</u> and
 <u>Paniagua</u> Actions were at risk of being decertified, and if decertification were achieved,
 far less would be recovered than is afforded under the Settlement Agreement.
 Accordingly, Defendants agree that the proposed Settlement Agreement will provide

28

substantial benefits to Settlement Class Members. Defendants consider it desirable to
resolve the <u>Arredondo</u> and <u>Paniagua</u> Actions to finally put Plaintiffs' and the Settlement
Class's claims to rest and avoid, among other things, the risks of continued litigation, the
expenditure of time and resources necessary to proceed through trial and any
subsequent appeals, and interference with ongoing business operations.

j. The selection and retention of the Settlement Administrator wasreasonable and appropriate.

8 k. As further addressed below and in this Court's earlier Order of 9 Certification and Preliminary Approval, through the distribution of the Class Notice in the 10 form and manner ordered by this Court, the Settlement Class has received the best 11 practicable notice of the certification of the Settlement Class, the Settlement Agreement, 12 their Anticipated Settlement Share, the Fairness and Approval Hearing, and Settlement 13 Class Members' rights and options, including their rights to opt out, object to the 14 Settlement, object to their Settlement Share, and/or appear at the Fairness and Approval 15 Hearing, and of the binding effect of the orders and Judgment in the Arredondo and 16 Paniagua Actions on all Settlement Class Members. Said Class Notice has fully satisfied 17 all notice requirements under the law, including the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 18 the due process requirements of the United States Constitution and the California 19 Constitution.

20 Ι. The response of the Settlement Class to the Settlement 21 Agreement—including the definition of the Settlement Class, the scope of the Releases, 22 the amount of the fee request by Current Class Counsel, and the requested 23 enhancement awards-after full, fair, and effective notice thereof strongly favors final 24 approval of the Settlement Agreement. Out of 36,054 Settlement Class members, 5,815 25 submitted valid and timely claims, only 222 submitted opt-out forms (with 179 of those 26 who opted out also submitting settlement claims) and none objected to the Settlement 27 Agreement.

28

m. As set forth in the Settlement Agreement, Defendants have denied,
and continue to deny, any wrongdoing or liability relating to the <u>Arredondo</u> and <u>Paniagua</u>
Actions. Defendants have filed a statement of non-opposition to Plaintiffs' Final Approval
Motion and separately requested final approval of the Settlement Agreement, dismissal
of the <u>Arredondo</u> and <u>Paniagua</u> Actions with prejudice, and entry of the judgment in this
action on the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

6. Class Notice. Based upon the declarations of counsel and the Settlement
8 Administrator, the Court finds and concludes that:

a. The First Mailing of the Class Notice was made on May 19, 2017
and the Second Mailing on June 12, 2017. Both mailings were performed in the form and
manner agreed to under the Settlement Agreement and approved by the Court in the
Order of Certification and Preliminary Approval. Ultimately, 5,239 of the 35,797 Class
Notice packets remained undeliverable.

14 A toll-free information line began on May 8, 2017, and a website b. 15 disseminating the Class Notice was established by the Settlement Administrator on May 16 18, 2017. The Settlement Administrator also placed 545 radio public-service 17 announcements from June 19, 2017 until July 14, 2017, and placed three 18 advertisements in English and Spanish language media and/or publications that serve 19 the Delano, Bakersfield, and Visalia areas between May 19, 2017 and May 31, 2017. In 20 addition, a community-outreach administrator selected by Class Counsel assisted with 21 notifying Settlement Class Members of the Settlement Agreement, completing and 22 submitting Claim Forms, answering questions about the Settlement Agreement, and 23 updating addresses and contact information for Settlement Class Members. Although not 24 required by the Order of Certification and Preliminary Approval, the Bangi Defendants 25 also distributed flyers to current employees with their paychecks, advising them of the 26 settlement and how to make a claim in text approved by Class Counsel.

- 27
- 28

c. The Class Notice and the procedure for its dissemination was the
 best notice practicable of the certification of the Settlement Class, was reasonably
 calculated under the circumstances to apprise the Settlement Class Members of their
 rights, including their right to opt out of the Settlement Class, and satisfied the
 requirements of due process and all other applicable provisions of law.

6 d. The Class Notice also provided fair and effective notice to the 7 Settlement Class of the proposed Settlement Agreement and the terms thereof, including 8 but not limited to those terms related to the determination of the Net Settlement Fund, 9 Plan of Allocation, and Claim Form process; the claims and parties released; the binding 10 effect of the Settlement Agreement (if approved) on all Settlement Class Members; the 11 provisions for payment of Class Counsel attorneys' fees and costs, Representative 12 Plaintiffs' enhancement awards, Settlement Administration costs, Taxes or other 13 payments due in connection with payments to the Settlement Class, and Class 14 Counsel's intention to petition the Court for approval of the same; the date, time, and 15 place of the Fairness and Approval Hearing; the process for Settlement Class Members 16 to file Claim Forms and/or challenge their Notice of Anticipated Settlement Shares; and 17 Settlement Class Members' rights to object to the Settlement Agreement and to appear 18 at the Fairness and Approval Hearing (on their own or through counsel of their own 19 selection, at their own expense) in support of any timely and validly filed objection, all as 20 set forth in the Class Notice.

e. The above-described form and manner of giving notice, including
the steps taken for creating and updating the Class Data List, researching alternate
mailing data, mailing of supplemental notices, re-mailing returned notices, community
outreach efforts, and receiving and responding to Settlement Class Member inquiries
(including the support services provided by the Settlement Administrator, Class Counsel,
and the community-outreach administrator), constitute the best notice practicable, and
were reasonably calculated under the circumstances to apprise the Settlement Class of

28

their rights under the Settlement Agreement. The Court further finds that the Settlement
 Class was afforded a reasonable period of time to exercise any rights they may have
 had pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and the Class Notice.

f. The Class Notice, in the form and manner approved by the Court,
satisfies the requirements of due process, the United States Constitution and the
California Constitution, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and other applicable
provisions of law.

8 7. **Requests for Exclusion**. A list of those persons who have timely and 9 validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class by submitting the Opt-Out Form 10 pursuant to the terms of the Class Notice and Settlement Agreement has been filed with 11 the Court. (ECF No. 527-2.) The persons on this list are excluded from the Settlement 12 Class and are therefore not Settlement Class Members, shall not be bound by the 13 Settlement Agreement or Final Order and Judgment in the Action, and shall not receive 14 any portion of the Settlement Amount. All other Settlement Class Members, regardless 15 of whether they received actual notice of certification or the Settlement Agreement 16 through the mailing or publication of the Class Notice documents, are included in the 17 Settlement Class and shall be bound by all proceedings, orders, and judgments in the 18 Arredondo and Paniagua Actions, including but not limited to this Final Order and 19 Judgment.

20 8. Notices Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1715. Based on the requirements of the 21 Settlement Agreement and the declarations submitted in support of final approval, the 22 Court finds that all notices and requirements of the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 23 U.S.C. § 1715, have been satisfied. The Settlement Agreement was filed on November 24 18, 2016 (ECF. No. 463-1). In November 2016, Defendants served the notices required 25 by 28 U.S.C. § 1715(b). On June 22, 2017, Defendants served a supplement to their 26 original notice as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1715(d). Among other things, these notices 27 informed the appropriate federal and state officials that the Court had preliminarily

approved the Settlement Agreement and of the date and time of the Fairness and
Approval hearing. (ECF No. 505-12.) More than ninety (90) days have passed since the
service of the foregoing notices. No written objections or responses to the Settlement
Agreement were filed by any federal or state official, including any recipient of the
foregoing notices. No federal or state official, including any recipient of the foregoing
notices, appeared or requested to appear at the Fairness and Approval Hearing.

9. Settlement Class Member Objections. Full and fair notice of Settlement
Class Members' right to object to the Settlement Agreement and to appear at the
Fairness and Approval Hearing in support of such an objection has been provided in the
form and manner required by the Settlement Agreement, the Court's Order of
Certification and Preliminary Approval, the requirements of due process, and other
applicable law. No Settlement Class Member has submitted any objection to the
Settlement Agreement.

14 10. Final Settlement Approval and Binding Effect. The terms and 15 provisions of the Settlement Agreement have been entered into in good faith, are fair, 16 reasonable, and adequate as to and in the best interests of the Settlement Class 17 Members, and are in full compliance with all applicable requirements of the Federal 18 Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process 19 Clause), the California Constitution, and any other applicable law. Therefore, the 20 Settlement Agreement is approved. The Settlement Agreement (including its Releases), 21 this Final Order and the Judgment shall be forever binding on the Plaintiffs and all other 22 Settlement Class Members, as well as their predecessors, successors, assigns, heirs, 23 executors, administrators, attorneys, and agents, and shall have res judicata and other 24 preclusive effect in all pending and future claims, lawsuits, or other proceedings 25 maintained by or on behalf of any such persons to the fullest extent allowed by law. 26 Attorneys bound by this Final Order and Judgment include, without limitation: Myers, 27 Widders, Gibson, Jones & Feingold, LLP; Law Offices of Marcos Camacho; Wasserman,

28

1 Comden & Casselman LLP; Martinez Aguilasocho & Lynch, APLC; Law Office of Ball & 2 Yorke; and Law Office of Wilcoxen Callaham, LLP.

3 11. **Implementation of Settlement**. The Parties and Settlement Administrator 4 are directed to implement the Settlement Agreement according to its terms. Except as 5 otherwise indicated in paragraphs 52, 57, 58(b), (c), (f) & (h), and 64 of the Settlement 6 Agreement, Defendants, the Released Parties, and defense counsel shall have no 7 responsibility, liability, or involvement with regard to administering the Settlement Fund, 8 processing of claims, or distribution of payments to class members. Plaintiffs and their 9 counsel shall communicate with the Settlement Administrator as necessary to achieve 10 compliance with the Settlement Agreement approved by the Court, provided that all 11 communications concerning material matters or requiring the approval of or notice to 12 Defendants under the Settlement Agreement copied otherwise are or 13 contemporaneously provided to defense counsel.

14 12. Appeal and Implementation. Any Settlement Class Member who failed to 15 timely and validly submit his or her objection to the Settlement Agreement in the manner 16 required by the Settlement Agreement, the Class Notice, and this Court's Order of 17 Certification and Preliminary Approval has waived any objection. Any Settlement Class 18 Member seeking to appeal from the Court's rulings approving the Settlement Agreement 19 must: (a) request a stay of implementation of the Settlement Agreement; and (b) post 20 such bond as deemed appropriate by the Court. Absent satisfaction of these 21 requirements, the Parties and Settlement Administrator are authorized (but not required), 22 subject to their mutual consent, to proceed with the implementation of the Settlement 23 Agreement—subject to other provisions in the Settlement Agreement and this Final 24 Order and Judgment, including the definition of the Effective Date—even if such 25 implementation would moot any appeal.

- 26
- 27
- 28

1

13. Releases.

a. The Court hereby orders that the following releases by the
Settlement Class Members are and shall be, as of the Effective Date, fully effective:

4 Each Settlement Class Member and each Representative Plaintiff, and each of their predecessors, successors, 5 assigns, heirs, executors, administrators, attorneys, and agents, hereby releases each of Delano Farms Company, 6 Cal-Pacific Farm Management, L.P., T&R Bangi's Agricultural 7 Services Inc., Kern Ag Labor Management Inc., La Vina Contracting Inc., and Elite Ag Labor Services, Inc. individually 8 and collectively, and each's subsidiaries, parents (including without limitation Anderson and Middleton Company), 9 Affiliates (including without limitation Blanc Vineyards), shareholders. general and limited owners. partners. 10 predecessors, insurers, agents, employees, heirs, executors, 11 successors, assigns, transferees, officers, officials, directors, members, managers, attorneys, beneficiaries, trustees, 12 personal representatives, or other representatives (collectively the "Released Parties") of and from any and all 13 claims, actions, rights, demands, charges, debts, liens, obligations, costs. expenses, wages, restitution. 14 compensation, disgorgement, benefit(s) of any type, 15 equitable relief, contract obligations, liquidated damages, statutory damages, damages, penalties of whatever type or 16 description, attorneys' fees, interest, complaints, causes of action, obligations, or liability of any and every kind, known or 17 unknown, at law or in equity, contingent or otherwise (i) that were asserted or that could have been asserted in the 18 Arredondo Action or the Paniagua Action, including without 19 limitation in the Amended Arredondo Complaint, or (ii) that are, were, or could be based on, that arose or could arise out 20 of, or that in any way relate to the same or substantially similar facts, transactions, events, policies, acts, or omissions 21 as alleged in either action including in the Amended Arredondo Complaint (collectively the "Released Claims"). 22 For clarity, the Released Claims include but are not limited to 23 any and all claims against each and all of the Released Parties for or relating to allegedly unpaid wades. 24 unreimbursed tool expenses, failure to pay for rest or recovery periods or other nonproductive time, failure to make 25 rest, recovery, or meal periods available, failure to relieve 26 Settlement Class Members of all duties during meal periods. discouraging, preventing, or otherwise hindering employees 27 from taking rest, recovery, or meal periods, the provision of inaccurate wage statements, and/or incomplete or inaccurate 28

record-keeping, from July 17, 2005 until the date of the Court's entry of Order of Certification and Preliminary Approval.

3 In addition to the releases set forth in the preceding paragraph, the b. 4 Representative Plaintiffs and Released Parties mutually release each other from and of 5 not only the Released Claims set forth above but any and all claims, known or unknown, 6 as of the date of entry of the Order of Certification and Preliminary Approval. The Court 7 hereby orders that such additional releases are effective as of the Effective Date.

1

2

8 14. Permanent Injunction against Prosecution of Claims. Upon and after 9 the Effective Date, each Settlement Class Member, Representative Plaintiff, and any 10 other person bound by the releases referenced in paragraphs 13(a) and 13(b) above 11 shall be and hereby is enjoined from initiating, asserting, commencing or prosecuting 12 any claim or action subject to those releases.

13 15. **Enforcement of Settlement**. Nothing in this Final Order and Judgment 14 shall preclude any action to enforce the Settlement Agreement. Any action or other 15 proceeding seeking to enforce or interpret the terms of the Settlement Agreement, or 16 which seeks to interpret, determine, or adjudicate in any way any legal consequences of 17 or the effect of the Settlement Agreement, the Order of Certification and Preliminary 18 Approval, this Final Order and Judgment, or the Releases in the Settlement Agreement 19 shall be brought solely in this Court.

20 16. Class Counsel Attorneys' Fees and Class Counsel Costs. The Court 21 has fully assessed Plaintiffs' motion for attorneys' fees and costs (ECF No. 501, as 22 amended and supplemented by ECF Nos. 502, 521, 523-29), former Class Counsel's 23 notices of claim for attorneys' fees (ECF No. 494, 499), Plaintiffs' motion to strike these 24 claims (ECF No. 503), and the court papers filed in connection therewith (including those 25 filed in response to the Court's Minute Order, ECF No. 504). The Court has entered a 26 separate order providing for the parties to propose procedures for resolution of class 27

counsel's various fee claims after final approval of the settlement. (ECF No. 517.) The
 Court expressly retains continuing jurisdiction over these claims.

In accordance with the Order Regarding Enhancement Awards and Attorney's
Fees and Costs (ECF No. 533), the Court awards Class Counsel fees and costs as
follows:

6 The payment of attorneys' fees in the amount of \$1,500,000 and a. 7 \$476,289.17 in costs as approved and directed in the Order Regarding Enhancement 8 Awards and Attorney's Fees and Costs shall be the sole award of fees and expenses to 9 which Class Counsel or any other counsel are entitled or may claim with respect to the 10 Arredondo or Paniagua Actions or the Settlement Agreement, or Class Counsel's 11 administration of the Settlement Agreement. This Final Order and Judgment expressly 12 extinguishes any and all claims and potential claims for attorneys' fees, costs, and 13 expenses of and by any and all Class Counsel (including Current Class Counsel and all 14 former Class Counsel) and anyone else. Current Class Counsel, and each of them, by 15 and through the Settlement Agreement, have released each and all of the Released 16 Parties of and from any and all claims for attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, or any 17 monetary sums of any type connected with or relating in any manner to the Arredondo 18 Action or the Paniagua Action, or any of the claims released as part of the Settlement 19 Agreement. Any claims that Class Counsel may have against the Released Parties are 20 hereby extinguished by this Final Order and Judgment.

b. The attorneys' costs awarded shall paid by the Settlement
Administrator from the Qualified Settlement Fund as soon as practicable following both
the Effective Date and the deposit to the Qualified Settlement Fund of the full Settlement
Amount, but before any Settlement Class Member's Share is distributed.

c. The attorneys' fees awarded shall remain in the Qualified Settlement
Fund absent further order of the Court regarding allocation of the fees. However, the

28

amount of fees awarded shall be deducted from the net settlement fund before any
 Settlement Class Member's Share is distributed.

d. Defendants and the Released Parties shall have no obligation to
pay attorneys' fees or costs or litigation expenses with respect to the <u>Arredondo</u> or
<u>Paniagua</u> Action, the Settlement Agreement, or the administration of the Settlement
Agreement to any other person, firm, or entity. No Plaintiff or other Settlement Class
Member shall have any obligation to pay Class Counsel (including both Current Class
Counsel and former Class Counsel) any amounts for attorneys' fees, costs, or litigation
expenses in or relating to the <u>Arredondo</u> or <u>Paniagua</u> Actions.

e. The Court finds that the terms of the Settlement Agreement are the
product of non-collusive, arms'-length negotiation conducted under the auspices of an
experienced mediator. The Court notes in particular that approval of the Settlement
Agreement was not conditioned on the award of any attorneys' fees and costs, and that
the terms of the relief to the Settlement Class were reached through the involvement of
several independent mediators after the certification of a litigation class in <u>Arredondo</u>
and before the parties discussed attorneys' fees and costs.

17 17. Enhancement Awards to Representative Plaintiffs. In accordance with 18 the Order Regarding Enhancement Awards and Attorney's Fees and Costs (ECF No. 19 533), the Court approves enhancement awards of \$7,000 each to the five Arredondo 20 class representatives and an award of \$2,000 for Mr. Paniagua. These awards shall be 21 paid by the Settlement Administrator from the Qualified Settlement Fund as soon as 22 practicable following both the Effective Date and the deposit to the Qualified Settlement 23 Fund of the full Settlement Amount but before any Settlement Class Member's Share is 24 distributed.

18. Payment to the Qualified Settlement Fund. Based upon the
declarations of counsel and the Settlement Administrator, the Court finds that \$700,000
has been paid by Defendants to the Qualified Settlement Fund. Defendants shall deposit

1 the remaining Settlement Amount (\$5,300,000) within 30 days of the Effective Date of 2 the Settlement Agreement, or earlier at Defendants' option. Full payment by the 3 Defendants of the Settlement Amount to the Qualified Settlement Fund shall fully satisfy 4 Defendants' obligations hereunder; Plaintiffs, Class Counsel, and the Settlement Class 5 bear any risk of loss associated with amounts paid to the Qualified Settlement Fund. 6 Defendants and the Released Parties shall have no responsibility or liability for, relating 7 to, or arising from or in connection with the appointment of the Settlement Administrator, 8 any actions or omissions by the Settlement Administrator, its agents, or the agents of 9 Class Counsel, or any obligation or liability of the Qualified Settlement Fund. Without 10 limitation, Defendants and the Released Parties are not responsible and shall have no 11 liability in connection with the distribution of any unclaimed funds or any obligation to 12 remit such funds to the State of California, the failure to obtain or report accurate 13 taxpayer information, the failure to withhold, remit, or pay sufficient Taxes, or the 14 calculation and distribution of payments to Settlement Class Members. Settlement Class 15 Members are responsible for and may owe taxes to the extent the amounts they may 16 owe in this regard have not been fully withheld.

17 19. Payments from Settlement Amount. The \$6,000,000.00 Settlement 18 Amount shall be the total, complete, and maximum amount payable collectively by 19 Defendants and any of the Released Parties pursuant to and in consideration of the 20 Settlement Agreement, which amount cannot, may not, and shall not increase under any 21 circumstances. All payments to the Settlement Class and/or to anyone else in 22 connection with, arising from, relating to, or in consideration of the Settlement 23 Agreement or the resolution of the Arredondo Action or the Paniagua Action shall come 24 from this Settlement Amount, including without limitation all payments and distributions 25 to the Settlement Class, all attorneys' fees and costs awarded or due to anyone in 26 connection with the Arredondo Action or the Paniagua Action or the Settlement 27 Agreement, all costs and expenses relating to the Settlement Agreement and Class

Notice or the administration of either, any enhancement awards to the Representative
 Plaintiffs, and all Taxes, including without limitation employer-side payments such as
 FICA, SUTA, and FUTA payments and all wage or other withholdings.

4 20. Reserve for Administrative Expenses and Taxes. As soon as 5 practicable following both the Effective Date and the deposit to the Qualified Settlement 6 Fund of the Settlement Amount but before any Class Member's Share is distributed, the 7 Settlement Administrator shall establish a reserve sufficient to cover fees and costs 8 incurred by the Settlement Administrator that become due after the Settlement Amount is 9 deposited in the Qualified Settlement Fund (the "Reserve") in the amount of \$185,000. 10 Based upon the declarations of Class Counsel and the Settlement Administrator, the 11 Court finds that the Settlement Administrator shall add \$300,000 to the Reserve to cover 12 all Taxes due as soon as practicable following both the Effective Date and the deposit to 13 the Qualified Settlement Fund of the Settlement Amount but before any Class Member's 14 Share is distributed, making the total Reserve amount \$485,000. If any portion of the 15 Reserve remains in the Qualified Settlement Fund after the ultimate payment of all 16 Taxes, Settlement Administrator expenses, and any other fees due or payments to 17 anyone other than distributions to the Settlement Class, the amount so remaining shall 18 be distributed to the Claiming Class Members pro rata according to the Plan of Allocation 19 as a supplemental payment.

20 21. Payment to the Claiming Class Members. As soon as practicable 21 following the disbursement or set-aside of the payments identified in paragraph 19 and 22 the establishment of the Reserve required by paragraph 20, the Settlement Administrator 23 shall issue and mail checks to the Claiming Class Members pursuant to the Plan of 24 Allocation and shall remit appropriate payment for or related to Taxes to the appropriate 25 governmental authorities. No person shall have any claim against Defendants, the 26 Released Parties, Plaintiffs, Class Counsel, defense counsel, the Settlement Class, 27 and/or the Settlement Administrator based on any determinations, distributions, or other

28

awards made in accordance with the Settlement Agreement or in furtherance of its
 implementation.

3 22. **Allocation of Payments.** The Court recognizes that it is impractical if not 4 impossible to precisely allocate the Net Settlement Fund among the various claims asserted by the Representative Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class. The Court also 5 6 recognizes that disbursement of the Net Settlement Fund may trigger certain reporting 7 and tax obligations. Because of the uncertainties involved, and in order to facilitate 8 compliance with all applicable reporting and tax requirements, the Parties have agreed 9 that the following allocation is reasonably related to the claims asserted by the 10 Representative Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class: the Net Settlement Funds distributed 11 to the Claiming Class Members shall comprise 45% percent wages (including Section 12 226.7 premium payments and reimbursement of tool expenses) and 42.5% interest (non-13 wages), and 12.5% penalties (non-wages). This allocation was negotiated at arm's 14 length, in good faith, and in an adversarial setting and is consistent with the underlying 15 facts and circumstances and risks of the case, and the Court hereby orders that this 16 allocation is appropriate and adequate.

17 23. Uncashed Settlement Payments. The Settlement Administrator shall 18 make reasonable efforts to re-notify or re-mail checks to Claiming Class Members who 19 have not cashed their checks within 60 days of the initial mailing of such checks, 20 including additional efforts to obtain a correct address for such Claiming Class Members. 21 If, upon the expiration of 60 days after re-mailing of undeliverable checks or re-22 notification to Claiming Class Members whose checks remained uncashed, such checks 23 still remain uncashed, the Settlement Administrator shall cause stop-payment notices to 24 be issued against the checks not cashed. The Settlement Administrator will then 25 distribute and deliver the amount of the total uncashed checks to the remaining Claiming 26 Class Members pro rata according to the Plan of Allocation as a supplemental payment. 27

28

1 24. **Remaining Unclaimed Funds.** With respect to Taxes that have been paid 2 to state or federal agencies relating to any uncashed checks, the Court understands that 3 those agencies may take a significant amount of time to issue refunds, if any, of such 4 Taxes to the Settlement Administrator and that at some point the unclaimed funds 5 remaining for distribution will be too small to justify the cost of redistribution to the 6 Claiming Class Members. Plaintiffs have advised the Court that the total unclaimed 7 funds and/or refunded Taxes are expected to be less than \$50,000. The Court orders 8 that, following the refund of Taxes to the Settlement Administrator, with respect to any 9 distributions of such refunds or other unclaimed funds as the Settlement Administrator 10 deems reasonable under the circumstances, Class Counsel shall be entitled to receive 11 such funds as part of their recoverable fees, provided that the returned amounts do not 12 exceed \$50,000. In the event the returned amounts exceed \$50,000, the overage shall 13 be held in the Qualified Settlement Fund for distribution by order of the Court on motion 14 made once the amount thereof is finally determined. Defendants and the Released 15 Parties shall have no liability based on any claim by any party, Settlement Class 16 Member, or third party that the funds related to the uncashed checks should have been 17 treated as unclaimed property of the original payee or otherwise distributed in a different 18 way to a different person.

19 25. **Retention of Jurisdiction**. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Final 20 Order and Judgment. Without in any way affecting the finality of this Final Order and 21 Judgment, for the benefit of the Settlement Class, Defendants, and Released Parties, 22 the Court expressly retains continuing jurisdiction as to all matters relating to the 23 Settlement Agreement, including but not limited to any modification, interpretation, 24 administration, implementation, effectuation, or enforcement of the Settlement 25 Agreement; the administration of the Settlement Agreement, including payments 26 thereunder; the Class Notice and sufficiency thereof; any objection to the Settlement 27 Agreement; any request for exclusion from the Settlement Class; the adequacy of

1 representation by Class Counsel and/or the Representative Plaintiffs; the amount of 2 attorneys' fees and litigation costs paid to Class Counsel; the amount of the 3 enhancement awards to be paid to the Representative Plaintiffs; any claim by any 4 person or entity relating to the representation of the Settlement Class by Class Counsel; 5 enforcement of the Releases and injunction provisions of the Settlement Agreement and 6 of this Final Order and Judgment; any proceedings on remand after appeal or denial of 7 any appellate challenge; any collateral challenge made regarding any matter related to 8 the Arredondo Action, the Paniagua Action, or the Settlement Agreement or the conduct 9 of any party or counsel relating to this litigation or the Settlement Agreement; and all 10 other issues related to these Actions or the Settlement Agreement.

11 26. **No Admission**. Nothing in this Final Order and Judgment, the Settlement 12 Agreement, or any related documents, pleadings, court papers, or other documents, and 13 no actions taken or statements made to effectuate or implement this Final Order and 14 Judgment or the Settlement Agreement, shall be construed as, offered as, received as, 15 used as, or deemed to be evidence of any kind or for any purpose in any judicial, 16 administrative, regulatory, or other action or proceeding (including in the Arredondo or 17 Paniagua Actions), except for purposes of obtaining approval of the Settlement 18 Agreement or entry of judgment in these Actions, enforcement or implementation of the 19 Settlement Agreement, or to support any defense by Defendants or the Released 20 Parties based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, waiver, good-21 faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, full faith and credit, setoff, or any other 22 theory of claim preclusion, issue preclusion, release, injunction, or similar defense or 23 counterclaim to the extent allowed by law. Without limiting the foregoing, neither the 24 Settlement Agreement nor any related negotiations, statements, mediation positions, 25 notes, drafts, outlines, memoranda of understanding, or court filings or proceedings 26 relating to the Settlement Agreement or its approval shall be construed as, offered as, 27 received as, used as, or deemed to be evidence of or an admission or concession by

1 any person of any liability or wrongdoing on the part of Defendants or Released Parties, 2 or as a waiver by Defendants or Released Parties of any defense, including without limitation any applicable statute of limitation. 3

4

Dismissal of Action. The Arredondo Action is hereby dismissed with 27. 5 prejudice, without an award of attorneys' fees or costs to any party except as provided in 6 this Final Order and Judgment. In accordance with the Settlement Agreement, and within 7 three days of the date of this order, the parties shall file in the Paniagua action a 8 voluntary dismissal with prejudice.

9 28. **Right to Terminate**. Any Party to the Settlement Agreement, by and 10 through his, her, or its counsel of record, shall have the right to terminate the Settlement 11 Agreement by providing written notice of election to do so to all other Parties to the 12 Settlement Agreement within 20 days of the date upon which any of the following 13 conditions may occur:

14 a. This Final Order and Judgment is modified or reversed in any 15 material respect by the Court or the United States Court of Appeals or the United States 16 Supreme Court; or

17 b. The Court declines to dismiss the Paniagua action with prejudice 18 and without costs to any party.

19 Before giving notice of termination, the Parties shall meet and confer and make 20 reasonable efforts to address changes that might allow a revised settlement to be 21 reached that would then be submitted for approval.

22 29. Effect of Termination or Failure of the Settlement Agreement to 23 Become Effective. In the event the Settlement Agreement is terminated or fails to 24 become effective for any reason, the Parties to the Settlement Agreement shall be 25 deemed to have reverted to their respective litigation positions as of August 24, 2016 26 and shall proceed in all respects as if this Final Order and Judgment, any related orders,

- 27
- 28

and the previous orders of the Court with regard to or relating to the Settlement
 Agreement had not been entered. In such event:

a. The Settlement Agreement shall have no force and effect, no party
shall be bound by any of its terms, and nothing in it may be used against any party in the
<u>Arredondo</u> or <u>Paniagua</u> Actions or in any other proceeding (except that any Party may
enforce the provisions of the Settlement Agreement regarding termination of the
Settlement Agreement or the effect of such termination);

8 b. No pleading, brief, motion, or other submission to the Court relating 9 to the Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Submissions"), including without limitation 10 the Settlement Agreement, the Motion for Certification of the Settlement Class and 11 Preliminary Approval of the Settlement Agreement and any proposed order, and the 12 Stipulation and Order Granting Leave to File Amended Complaint, shall constitute an 13 admission of any party of any kind or shall limit any claim, defense or argument in any 14 way, whether substantive or procedural; and nothing in any Settlement Submission may 15 be used against any party in the Arredondo or Paniagua Actions or in any other 16 proceeding (except that any party may enforce the provisions of the Settlement 17 Agreement regarding termination of the Settlement Agreement or the effect of such 18 termination);

c. Defendants and the Released Parties shall have no obligation to
make any payments;

d. The Order of Certification and Preliminary Approval, this Final Order
and Judgment, the Order Regarding Enhancement Awards and Attorney's Fees and
Costs, and any other orders approving, implementing, or otherwise relating to the
Settlement Agreement shall be vacated, shall be of no effect whatsoever, and may not
be used against any party in the <u>Arredondo</u> or <u>Paniagua</u> Actions or in any other
proceeding;

- 27
- 28

e. Any order or stipulation granting leave to amend the complaint in the
 <u>Arredondo</u> Action shall be vacated, shall be of no effect whatsoever, and may not be
 used against any party in the <u>Arredondo</u> or <u>Paniagua</u> Actions or in any other proceeding;

- f. The Amended <u>Arredondo</u> Complaint, the Motion for Certification of
 the Settlement Class and Preliminary Approval of the Settlement Agreement, and any
 submissions relating to the Settlement Agreement or its approval shall all be withdrawn
 and stricken, and the Parties will proceed to litigate the <u>Arredondo</u> Action and the
 <u>Paniagua</u> Action with respect to the pleadings on file as of the time of execution of the
 Settlement Agreement;
- g. Except as submitted to this Court, all negotiations, statements,
 documents, and proceedings relating to the Settlement Agreement shall be deemed
 confidential and not subject to disclosure for any purpose in any proceeding; and
- 13 h. Any portion of the Settlement Amount previously paid or caused to 14 be paid by Defendants to the Qualified Settlement Fund, together with any interest 15 earned thereon, less any Taxes required to be withheld with respect to such interest, 16 shall be returned to Defendants within 10 business days. To the extent that any portion 17 of any payment already made by Defendants cannot be returned (because, for example, 18 some portion of the amounts paid by Defendants into the Qualified Settlement Fund 19 were spent by the Settlement Administrator in implementing the Settlement Agreement), 20 Defendants shall receive credit for that payment such that any judgment or other 21 settlement ultimately obtained by the Plaintiffs or any class certified in the Arredondo 22 Action and/or the Paniagua Action shall be reduced by an identical amount.
- ²³ IT IS SO ORDERED.
 24
 25 Dated: <u>September 29, 2017</u>

26

27

28

Ist Michael J. Seng

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE