-GSA (PC) Ivory v	<sup>r</sup> . Tilton, et al. Il	Doc. 50	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DIS	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	NORMAN IVORY,	1:09-cv-01272-OWW-GSA-PC	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR	
13	v.	COURT ORDER DIRECTING PRISON TO FOLLOW RULES AND	
14	M. V. SEXTON, et al.,	) REGULATIONS AND ALLOW ) PLAINTIFF FURTHER ACCESS TO THE LAW LIBRARY	
15	Defendants.	(Doc. 48.)	
16			
17	Plaintiff is a prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On		
18	June 3, 2011, Plaintiff filed a motion for a court order directing the prison to follow the rules and		
19	regulations regarding inmate access to the law library, to enable Plaintiff further access to the law library.		
20	(Doc. 48.)		
21	The court recognizes that prison administrators "should be accorded wide-ranging deference in		
22	the adoption and execution of policies and practices that in their judgment are needed to preserve		
23	internal order and discipline and to maintain institutional security." Whitley v. Albers, 475 U.S. 312,		
24	321-322 (1986) ( <i>quoting</i> Bell v. Wolfish, 441 U.S. 520, 547 (1970). Accordingly, the court shall defer		
25	to the prison's policies and practices in granting access to the law library.		
26	Further, the court lacks jurisdiction to issue such an order. The order requested by Plaintiff		
27	would not remedy any of the claims upon which this action proceeds. This action is proceeding against		
28	defendants based on events occurring in 2008 and 2009. Plaintiff now requests a court order affecting		

1	present and future actions. Because such an order would not remedy any of the claims upon which this		
2	action proceeds, the Court lacks jurisdiction to issue the order sought by Plaintiff, and Plaintiff's motion		
3	must be denied. City of Los Angeles v. Lyons, 461 U.S. 95, 102, 103 S.Ct. 1660, 1665 (1983); Valley		
4	Forge Christian Coll. v. Ams. United for Separation of Church and State, Inc., 454 U.S. 464, 471, 102		
5	S.Ct. 752, 757-58 (1982). Should Plaintiff require additional time to meet a court deadline, he should		
6	file a motion for extension of time.		
7	Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for a court order		
8	directing the prison to follow the rules and regulations regarding inmate access to the law library is		
9	DENIED.		
10			
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
12	Dated: June 6, 2011 /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			