Clarke et al v. City	of Madera	Doc. 24
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		CT ATEC DICEDICT COURT
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	STANLEY BRADFORD CLARKE, ANDREA VENTURI,	CASE NO. CV F 09-1301 LJO DLB
10	ORDER ON REQ	ORDER ON REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO AMEND AND ORDER VACATING
11		HEARING
12	CITY OF MADERA,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	By notice filed on May 5, 2010, plaintiffs request leave to amend the Complaint. Leave to	
16	amend is freely granted under the Rule 15. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15, this Court "should freely give	
17	leave when just so requires." No prior amendment has been filed, and plaintiffs may amend as a matter	
18	of course. Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)(1). There is no justification for delay in granting leave. Accordingly,	
19	plaintiffs will be granted an opportunity to amend.	
20	The May 24, 2010 hearing on defendants' motion to dismiss is VACATED and the motion is	
21	terminated.	
22	Plaintiff shall have 20 days from the service of this order to file an amended complaint. Plaintiffs	
23	are admonished that failure to timely file an amended complaint may result in dismissal of this action.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		/s/ Lawrence L O'Neill
26	Dateu	/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27		
28		
	1	