(PC)Scott v.	Palmer et al
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	Existence of chemoneter
9	FLOYD SCOTT, CASE NO. 1:09-cv-01329-LJO-SKO PC
10	Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL AS PREMATURE
11	v. (Doc. 127)
12	J. PALMER, et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	Plaintiff Floyd Scott, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action pursuant
16	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on July 29, 2009. On February 27, 2013, Plaintiff filed a motion to compel a
17	response to his request for the production of documents, set two.
18	On February 25, 2013, the Court granted Defendants an extension of time to March 28, 2013,
19	within which to serve responses. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion is HEREBY DENIED as
20	premature.
21	
22	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	Dated: February 28, 2013 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25	CIVILD STATES WAYGISTICKTE VODGE
26	
27	
28	
	1

Doc. 129