(PC)Scott v. Palmer et al		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	VINVEND OF A	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FLOYD SCOTT,	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-01329-LJO-SKO PC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION AND DIRECTING CLERK'S OFFICE TO SEND PLAINTIFF COURTESY COPY OF DOCKET
11	v.	
12	J. PALMER, et al.,	(Doc. 137)
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff Floyd Scott, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action pursuant	
16	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on July 29, 2009. On March 22, 2013, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking an order	
17	directing re-service of a motion, on the ground that Plaintiff received mail from the Office of the	
18	Attorney General addressed to him but containing documents relating to another inmate's case.	
19	Defendants responded to the motion on March 22, 2013, and stated that they did not send Plaintiff	
20	anything on or around that date pertaining to this case.	
21	The Court cannot order re-service, as it appears that the envelope was merely misaddressed	
22	to Plaintiff. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion is DENIED. However, the Clerk's Office is DIRECTED	
23	to send Plaintiff a courtesy copy of the docket.	
24		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: <u>March 25, 2013</u>	/s/ Sheila K. Oberto JNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		STATED STATES MASISTRATE JUDGE
28		
		1

Doc. 139