1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED OT A	TES DISTRICT COURT
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	KEITH ZAVALA,	CASE NO. 1:09-cv-01352-BAM PC
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADDRESSING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
11	v.	
12	CHRIS CHRONES, et al.,	(ECF Nos. 101, 102, 104)
13	Defendants.	
14		/
15	Plaintiff Keith Zavala is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil	
16	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding on Plaintiff's second amended	
17	complaint, filed May 24, 2010, against Defendants Chris Chrones, S. Kays, D. Smith, S. Chandler,	
18	C. Martin, and Soto for deliberate indifference in violation of the Eighth Amendment. (ECF No. 19.)	
19	This action is currently set for jury trial on February 12, 2013. (ECF No. 100.)	
20	On September 25, 2012, an order issued requiring the parties to indicate whether a settlement	
21	conference would be beneficial in this action. (ECF No. 101.) On October 15, 2012, Defendants	
22	filed a response stating that they do not be	lieve that this case is ripe for mediation. (ECF No. 102.)

Plaintiff filed a notice on the same date indicating that he is willing to engage in settlementnegotiations. (ECF NO. 104.)

Upon review of the responses of the parties, the Court declines to schedule a settlement
conference at this time. No settlement conference will be scheduled until such time as *both* parties
agree that settlement in the action is possible. Should both parties be willing to participate in a
///

1

1	settlement conference in a good faith effort to settle this action, they may file a joint request for a	
2	settlement conference.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Dated:November 26, 2012/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffeUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		